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**Summary**

The tomato is one of the most popular vegetables in Europe, but since the introduction of modern production systems much attention has been paid to the issue ‘lack of taste’. Consumers’ experienced taste and quality, however, are not only dependent on attributes of the tomato such as taste and texture, but also on product appearance and labels signalling credence (e.g. origin and production method) and personal factors such as attitudes affecting consumers’ quality experience. In this paper we hypothesise that credence labels (*i.e.* ‘Swedish’, ‘Dutch’ and ‘Organic’) have an effect on consumers’ experienced liking of taste and total impression of tomatoes, and that attitudes towards those labels are correlated with experienced quality. Through a taste assessment with a consumer panel, we found a significant difference in liking of taste between tomatoes labelled ‘Dutch’ (M=4.54, SD=1.68) and tomatoes
labelled ‘Swedish’ (M=5.88, SD=1.70) and ‘Organic’ (M=6.05, SD=1.70),
respectively. As for overall impression, tomatoes labelled ‘Dutch’ (M=4.24, SD=1.74)
received lower grades than ‘Swedish’ (M=5.59, SD=1.76) and ‘Organic’ (M=6.00,
SD=1.63). We found that attitudes towards origin are significantly correlated with
liking of taste of tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’ in a positive direction and ‘Dutch’ in a
negative direction. We also found that positive attitudes towards organic products are
positively correlated with liking of tomatoes labelled ‘Organic’. The hypotheses are
accepted and theoretical and practical implications are discussed.

Introduction

The tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is one of the most popular vegetables in
the European Union with an average annual consumption of 12 kg per person and a
total production of over 15 million tonnes (Eurostat 2008). While the Swedish
tomato consumption has increased, the domestic market shares during the main
production season (April-October) have fallen from 43 to 26% between 1998 and
2008, with imports coming mainly from the Netherlands (Tjärnemo et al. 2010).
Less than 4% of the Swedish greenhouse tomato area consists of organic production
(Swedish Board of Agriculture 2007). Although consumption increases, ‘lack of
taste’ has become a reason for consumer dissatisfaction (Bruhn et al. 1991;
Fernqvist and Hunter 2012), as the industry has been focusing on yields,
resistance, product homogeneity, durability and a low price (Friedland 2006;
Ekelund and Jönsson 2011).

Consumers’ quality perceptions can be based upon intrinsic or extrinsic attributes, or
cues, of a product (Olshavsky 1985) and consumer products have been categorised
as search, experience, or credence goods based on different types of quality attributes
available to the consumer (Nelson 1970; Darby and Karni 1973). Experience (e.g.
taste or satisfaction) and credence attributes (trust and beliefs) are transformed into search attributes often in the form of labels signalling for example nutritional value, food safety, ethics or trust (Caswell and Padberg 1992; Caswell and Mojuszka 1996). In a conceptual model of the consumer quality perception process (Steenkamp 1990), judgements of perceived quality emerge in a contextual setting consisting of comparative, personal and situational factors, explaining how quality cues affect perceived quality through the intervening role of quality attributes. The Theory of Reasoned Action (Fishbein and Ajzen 1975) and the extended Theory of Planned Behaviour (Ajzen 1991) use attitudes and subjective norms to predict intended behaviour. An attitude can be described as a learned predisposition and based upon beliefs about the object. However, it does not predispose the person to perform a specific behaviour (Fishbein and Ajzen 1975), which is often referred to as the attitude-behaviour gap (Viermier and Verbeke 2006). Using the framework of Steenkamp (1990), we assume that attitudes (or the underlying beliefs) can be used to predict experienced quality of food. Labels signalling country of origin (COO) (Dransfield et al. 2005; Ekelund, Fernqvist and Tjärnemo 2007) and organic production (Johansson et al. 1999; Ekelund, Fernqvist and Tjärnemo 2007; Grankvist et al. 2007; Poelman et al. 2008) have been shown to have strong effects on consumers’ quality perceptions of food. In the case of Sweden, the national organic label ‘KRAV’ is known by 98% of the Swedish consumers (KRAV 2012), while the label of EU-organic is recognised by only 20% (Andersson and Ekelund 2012). The purpose of this paper is to explore consumer attitudes towards two of the most common credence attributes connected to tomato - country of origin and organic production – thus focusing on the effect of different labels on consumers hedonic liking (taste) and overall impression of tomatoes. The Swedish KRAV-label is used as
the organic label, due to its strong signal value, and Swedish and Dutch are used as labels of origin, due to them being the main competing countries of origin during the Swedish production season. The alternatives, thus, represent those that the consumer meets in an every-day shopping situation. Based on the theory of the quality perception process (STEENKAMP 1990) we test the hypotheses that (a) labels signalling credence attributes affect consumers’ perceived taste (either positively or negatively), and that (b) experienced taste and quality impression of labelled tomatoes are correlated with positive (or negative) attitudes towards those labels, or what they represent.

Material and Methods

The material consists of a consumer panel evaluation of tomatoes and a consumer survey including background data of the respondents and a package of questions regarding consumer attitudes. The assessments were made at a centralised location on the campus of the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in Alnarp, and a convenience sample was recruited from the general public and university staff and students. In total 97 respondents, none of who were involved in vegetable production or research, completed the tomato taste evaluation and questionnaire.

Consumer panel - questionnaire

The consumers in the panel received a questionnaire including questions regarding a) gender; b) age; c) consumption frequency; d) general satisfaction with purchased tomatoes; and e) reasons for dissatisfaction with tomato purchases. Following the usual supply in an ordinary supermarket during the Swedish season, the respondents also marked; f) which type of tomato (i.e. ‘on-the-vine’, ‘cherry and cocktail varieties’, ’single round’, ‘organic’, ‘plum varieties’) they usually buy. The final part of the questionnaire was a scheme of 17 attitude items (statements) to be graded on a
9-graded hedonic scale where the end-points were marked (1) totally disagree and (9) totally agree, comprising aspects like attitudes towards taste, appearance, colour, origin, production method, price and place of purchase. The specific questions are presented in the results section (Table 1).

**Consumer panel - taste evaluation**

Four tomatoes were part of the experiment evaluating the effects of different labels on hedonic liking. These were labelled ‘Organic’, ‘Swedish’ and ‘Dutch’, while a fourth (reference) tomato received a randomised three-digit number. The tomatoes were all of the same variety ‘Arvento’ (Rijk Zwaan); identical single round tomatoes harvested in the red ripening stage, collected from a local grower (WP-Grönt, Malmö) and stored for two days at room temperature (20°C). In addition to these four tomatoes, the participants received four samples of another variety, so that identical tomatoes were not presented after each other. The tomatoes were tested in a design made up by two blocks consisting of A-D (four varieties not part of this experiment) and E-H (the four ‘Arvento’ tomatoes of the same origin, but with different labels), which were altered so that two tomatoes from the same block were never presented right after each other. The serving order was altered between six sessions to overcome order and learning effects and the probability of sensory fatigue. All tomatoes except the three tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’, ‘Dutch’ and ‘Organic (KRAV)’ were given randomized three-digit numbers, which were different between the serving rounds. The tomatoes were served separately on paper plates marked with labels or number. Each panellist received a quarter of a tomato cut into three slices, and each tomato was judged separately. Parameters analysed are: (a) liking of the tomato taste; (b) overall impression of the tomato. The attributes were evaluated on a 9-point hedonic scale (LAWLESS and HEYMANN 2010). The panellists had a break between each serving
when they received water and unflavoured crackers to neutralise the taste. After the sensory evaluation, the respondents filled in the form with background and attitude questions.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed with analysis of variance regarding tomato taste and overall impression, and correlations between taste and attitudes. Consumer survey questions regarding attitudes were analysed through principal component analysis (PCA). All analyses were made using SPSS.

**Results**

**Consumer survey**

Out of 97 respondents, 64% were female and 33% male. Mean age was 54 years, ranging between 19 and 80. 75% indicated that they consumed tomatoes three times a week or more. A majority of the consumers indicated that they were very satisfied (11%) or satisfied (70%) with their tomato purchases, while 18% were generally dissatisfied. 66% of the respondents indicated too little taste as the main reason for dissatisfaction, followed by 29% finding them hard, 25% too expensive, 24% grainy in texture, 19% too soft, 19% they never ripen, and 9% bad appearance (the total response rate exceeds 100%, since the respondents could indicate up to three alternatives). Tomatoes ‘on-the-vine’ were the most frequently purchased type of tomato indicated by 48%, followed by cherry and cocktail varieties (24%), single round (21%), organic (13%) and plum varieties (5%) (up to two alternatives could be chosen).
**Attitudes**

The respondents graded 17 attitudinal questions on a hedonic scale between 1 (totally disagree) and 9 (totally agree) (Table 1). The grading for each item (statement) is grouped into three segments, where the lowest grades (1-3) indicate a negative attitude (disagree), the highest grades (7-9) indicate a positive attitude (agree), and the indications in between (4-6) represent ‘neutral’ answers or an indifferent attitude (Table 1). Out of the 97 respondents, 88 answered all the attitudinal questions, while the response rate on the individual attitudinal questions was between 90 and 94. The statement receiving the highest scores was ‘good taste is important’, with a mean of 8.46 and 96% indicating the highest grades, followed by positive attitudes towards local produce (M=7.48) and positive attitude towards Swedish produce (items N and O). The items A and B show that our respondents prefer sweet tomatoes to acidic ones. Item C shows that 60% find it important that the tomatoes are red at the time of purchasing and item G that 43% of the respondents find a nice and attractive appearance important. 53% of the respondents find that tomatoes from the open-air market taste better than those bought in the supermarket. The view on price differed between three groups of similar size. A new factor of consumer attitude towards Swedish, ‘SWE’, was created by the mean of the attributes concerning origin (H, N, O, and L, M with reversed scales), (M=6.91, with 57% indicating a strong positive attitude towards Swedish). Similarly, a new factor of consumer attitude towards Organic, ‘ORG’, was made by the mean of the factors concerning organic (I, P) (M=5.63, with 37% showing a strong positive attitude towards organic). The attitudinal data are illustrated in Table 1, where they are also divided into three subcategories of origin, production method and hedonic and other statements. The items of the new factor ‘SWE’ show a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.77, and the corresponding
factor for ‘ORG’ is 0.73, indicating reliable scales following the recommendations of an alpha value above 0.7 (NUNALLY 1978).

Table 1 is inserted here

A principal component analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation and Kaiser Normalisation was made, to test if the 17 attitude statement items could be reduced to a smaller set of dimensions. The outcome revealed six clearly distinguishable factors with eigenvalues >1, explaining a cumulative 67.8% of the variance, as shown in Table 2. The first factor (16.9% of variance explained) consisted of statements concerning attitudes towards Swedish origin, local production and place of purchase (items N, O, J, H, Q). The second factor (12.8%) concerned production method (items E, I and P). Factors three (10.8%) and four (9.6%) contained items of hedonic statements, price and appearance, while the fifth factor (9.0%) concerned attitudes towards imports in relation to domestic produce. The last factor (8.7%) contained two items concerning taste preferences; sweet and acidic taste. The analysis shows that, similarly to what is presented in Table 1, attitudes concerning origin and production method, respectively, are distinguishable from other attitude variables. Place of purchase and attitude towards local production also seem to be related to domestic origin.

Table 2 is inserted here
The taste assessment was completed by 97 respondents and a one-way between-group analysis showed a statistically significant difference in ‘liking of taste’ at the $p=0.05$ level in grading between the four tomatoes: $F(3, 384)=15.9$, $p=0.000$, with a calculated eta square=0.11 showing a medium effect (Cohen 1988). Tukey HSD indicated that the mean grade for the tomato labelled ‘Dutch’ (M=4.54, SD=1.68) was significantly lower than for the tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’ (M=5.88, SD=1.70), ‘Organic’ (M=6.05, SD=1.70) and the reference tomato (M=5.55, SD=1.61), whereas there were no significant differences between the latter three (Table 3). There was a significant difference in ‘overall impression’ at the $p<0.05$: $F(3, 384)=18.0$, $p=0.000$, with an eta square=0.12, showing a medium, near large, effect (Cohen 1988). Tukey HSD indicated that the mean grade for the tomato labelled ‘Dutch (M=4.24, SD=1.74) was significantly lower than for the tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’ (M=5.65, SD=1.76), ‘Organic’ (M=6.00, SD=1.63) and the reference tomato (M=5.34, SD=1.86). The tomato labelled ‘Organic’ also received significantly higher grades than the reference tomato, but not than the tomato labelled ‘Swedish’ (Table 3). The results show that we can accept our first hypothesis, that credence attributes affect taste experiences, but with no difference between the two attributes Swedish and Organic.

**Correlating taste with attitudes**

Our second hypothesis was that a positive attitude towards credence attributes (i.e. country-of-origin and organic) is positively correlated with liking for tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’ and ‘Organic’ as compared with unlabelled tomatoes or tomatoes labelled ‘Dutch’. To test this hypothesis we made correlations between the new factors of attitude towards Swedish, ‘SWE’, and attitude towards organic, ‘ORG’, and the results of experienced taste and overall impression in our taste assessment. The
results (Table 3) show a significant correlation between attitude towards Swedish and liking of taste of tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’ and labelled ‘Organic’ on the 0.05-level. The attitude towards Swedish and the experienced overall impression were significantly and positively correlated with the tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’ and labelled ‘Organic’ at the 0.01-level, and negatively correlated with the tomato labelled ‘Dutch’ at the 0.05-level. The strength in these cases is below 0.3, indicating a weak correlation. In one case, the organic label concerning overall impression, the r-value is between 0.3 and 0.5 (0.35), indicating a moderate correlation. The combined factor of attitude towards organic ‘ORG’ is positively correlated with experienced liking of taste, and overall impression of tomatoes labelled ‘organic’ at the 0.01-level (Table 3).

In all cases, the strength of the relationships is weak, with an r-value below 0.3.

Table 3 is inserted here

Discussion

Our results show that tomato taste is a major concern, as previously described by FERNQVIST and HUNTER (2012). When asked to evaluate statements, a majority of the respondents found ‘Swedish’ tomatoes tastier than ‘imports’, and ‘organic’ tastier than conventional. A majority, 57%, showed a strong positive attitude towards ‘Swedish’, while 37% showed a strong positive attitude towards ‘organic’. ‘Imports’ was considered more negative. In the taste assessment, tomatoes with a ‘Dutch’ label received significantly lower grades than unlabelled reference tomatoes and tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’. This indicates a negative COO-effect of imports compared with domestic, which is also the case in many other countries (VERLEGH, STEENKAMP and MEULENBERG 2005). Also in previous taste evaluations carried out in 1994, 1995 and 2004, Swedish consumers ranked tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’ higher than identical tomatoes with other COO labels. Imported tomatoes were considered inferior while
there was little perceived taste difference between ‘Swedish’ and ‘organic’ (Ekelund 1996; Ekelund, Fernqvist and Tjärnemo 2007; Klintman et al. 2008). The negative experienced taste due to a Dutch label seems to be constant over time, but has apparently not impeded the increase of Dutch imports. In a real shopping situation, there are no ‘anonymous’ tomatoes, since EU regulations state that country of origin must be presented at point-of-purchase (Swedish Board of Agriculture 2012). Nearly a third of our consumers indicated that they strongly agree with the statement that organic tomatoes taste better than conventional ones, and the tomatoes labelled ‘Organic’ received the highest score for taste. The ‘organic’ consumers, the frequent buyers of organic tomatoes, were 13% of the respondents, while at the same time 37% had strongly positive attitudes towards organic produce. The result confirms the gap between positive attitudes towards organic and behaviour as discussed by Vermeir and Verbeke (2006).

Even though our correlations between liking and attitudes were weak, our analysis showed that positive attitudes towards Swedish are positively correlated with experienced taste and overall impression of the tomato labelled ‘Swedish’. It also showed a negative correlation with the tomato labelled ‘Dutch’ concerning the overall impression. Positive attitudes towards organic were positively correlated with both ‘liking of taste’ and ‘overall impression’ of tomatoes labelled ‘Organic’, but not with taste and impression regarding the tomatoes labelled ‘Swedish’, ‘Dutch’ or the reference tomato. Poelman et al. (2008) showed similar results by exploring the influence of information of organic production and fair trade on hedonic and analytic judgements. Also positive attitudes towards Swedish are correlated with a positive taste and overall impression of tomatoes labelled ‘Organic’. This suggest that there is a general belief among consumers that ‘organic tastes better’, not only specific for
heavy organic consumers, or consumers with a strong positive attitude towards organic. LEA and WORSLEY (2005) showed that a majority of consumers believed organic food tastes better than conventional food, and taste has been shown, among food safety and health, to be the primary motive for buying organic (McEachern and McClean 2002). However, the most frequently purchased type of tomato among our respondents is ‘on-the-vine’ tomato, a type not commonly produced in Sweden, but imported from the Netherlands. Thus, if the preference for ‘on-the-vine’ is stronger than for Swedish, consumers will choose the Dutch products. Nearly two thirds of our respondents strongly agree that ‘on-the-vine’ tastes better than ordinary single round, which could indicate that the type of tomato is more important than origin. Further studies are recommended, as we have a limited sample size of consumers not representing a national average and the assessment was carried out in the main tomato production district. Further, the study focused on taste and labels and not a real-life purchasing situation, where size, shape, price and other search attributes are available and where tomatoes may carry more than one type of credence attribute (e.g. brands, health labels, certifications) and taste may vary between varieties and types.

The results indicate that taste is a major concern among the consumers and that two of the major credence attributes of tomatoes signalled through labels have an effect on perceived taste and quality. The findings strengthen the theory that perceived quality is affected by personal factors such as attitudes. Our hypotheses that credence labels affect perceived taste and that experienced taste and overall quality impression are correlated with the attitudes towards those labels, are accepted. Strong COO-effects on consumer liking of food have previously been shown, and this evidence is strengthened by our results. From a marketing perspective, as diversification on the tomato market has evolved at an increasing speed, and competition similarly become
stronger, the actors are forced to strengthen their competiveness and market position. 

An organic consumer segment has been identified, suggesting that diversification to satisfy consumers with different preferences may be a market strategy. Clear signalling of origin, and taste, in accordance with consumers' positive attitudes towards domestic produce is another way to position against bulk tomatoes. Thus, the findings may have implications for the industry and marketers.
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Table legends

Table 1. Statements and consumer attitudes (graded from 1-totally disagree to 9-totally agree) grouped on categories.

Table 2. SPSS Principal Component Analysis with Varimax Rotation. Consumer attitude items and factor loadings.

Table 3. Consumer assessment. Experienced taste and overall impression of labelled tomatoes and the relationship between attitudes towards Swedish and organic and liking of assessed tomatoes.
Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Valid N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Segments(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree (negative towards statement (1-3))</td>
<td>Neutral (4-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Statement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin related statements**

H Swedish tomatoes taste better than imported
J Tomatoes from the open air market taste better than from the supermarket
L I prefer imported tomatoes to Swedish ones
M There is no taste difference between Swedish and imported
N I primarily choose Swedish tomatoes if I can
O It is important to buy Swedish
SWE\(^a\) Attitude towards Swedish

**Production method related statements**

E It is important that I know the production method
I Organic tomatoes taste better than conventional
P It is important to buy organic
ORG\(^b\) Attitude towards Organic

**Hedonic and other statements**

A I prefer sweet tomatoes
B I prefer acidic tomatoes
C It is important that the tomatoes are fully red when I buy
D A low price is important
F Good taste is important
G A nice and attractive appearance is important
K Tomatoes ‘on-the-vine’ taste better than ‘ordinary’
Q It is important to buy local

\(^a\) The new item SWE consist of the items H, L (reversed scale), M (reversed scale), N and O.

\(^b\) The new item ORG consist of the items, I and P.

\(^c\) Rounded percentages are used.
Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Factor 1</th>
<th>Factor 2</th>
<th>Factor 3</th>
<th>Factor 4</th>
<th>Factor 5</th>
<th>Factor 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. I primarily choose Swedish tomatoes if I can</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. It is important to buy Swedish</td>
<td></td>
<td>.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Tomatoes from the open air market taste better than from the supermarket</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Swedish tomatoes taste better than imported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. It is important to buy local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Organic tomatoes taste better than conventional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. It is important to buy organic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. It is important that I know the production method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Tomatoes ‘on-the-vine’ taste better than ‘ordinary’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. A Good taste is important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. It is important that the tomatoes are fully red when I buy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. A low price is important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. A nice and attractive appearance is important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. There is no taste difference between Swedish and imported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. I prefer imported tomatoes to Swedish ones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. I prefer acidic tomatoes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. I prefer sweet tomatoes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variance explained by the factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance explained by the factor</th>
<th>16.92</th>
<th>12.78</th>
<th>10.84</th>
<th>9.64</th>
<th>8.97</th>
<th>8.68</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Cumulative variance explained

| Cumulative variance explained   | 16.92 | 29.70 | 40.55 | 50.19 | 59.17 | 67.84 |
Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable in consumer assessment</th>
<th>Tomato label</th>
<th>Mean grade on a hedonic scale (1-9)(^a)</th>
<th>Correlation with preferences (^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attitude towards Swedish ‘SWE’ (Mean=6.91, N=89)</td>
<td>Attitude towards Organic ‘ORG’ (Mean=5.63, N=89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liking of taste</td>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>4.54(^a)</td>
<td>-.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>5.55(^b)</td>
<td>.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>5.88(^b)</td>
<td>.263(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>6.05(^b)</td>
<td>.258(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall impression</td>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>4.24(^a)</td>
<td>-.236(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>5.34(^b)</td>
<td>.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>5.65(^bc)</td>
<td>.296(^**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>6.00(^c)</td>
<td>.350(^**)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Different letters indicate a significant difference P<0.05.

\(^b\)*: Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); **: Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).