Temporal Variation in Sindbis Virus Antibody Prevalence in Bird Hosts in an Endemic Area in Sweden

Jenny Christina Hesson¹-²*, Jan O. Lundström¹,3, Atalay Tok¹, Örjan Östman⁴, Åke Lundkvist¹,5

¹ Zoonosis Science Center, Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology (IMBIM), Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, ² Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom, ³ Swedish Biological Mosquito Control Project, Nedre Dalälvens Utvecklings AB, Gysinge, Sweden, ⁴ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Coastal Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Oregrund, Sweden, ⁵ Laboratory of Clinical Microbiology, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden

* Current address: Centre for Organismal Studies, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany

jenny.hesson@imbim.uu.se

Abstract

Sindbis virus (SINV) is a mosquito-borne bird virus that occasionally causes human disease in Fennoscandia, suggested to have cyclic 7-year intervals between outbreaks. Reliable data on human infections in Sweden is however lacking. Here we investigated the SINV antibody prevalence among birds in a Swedish area endemic to SINV to scrutinize if a cyclic variation in antibody prevalence is present in the natural host of SINV. Serum from birds were sampled in the summers of 2002–2004 and 2009 in the floodplains of the River Dalälven in central Sweden, with 2002 and 2009 representing hypothesized years of SINV outbreak. A total of 963 birds from 52 species (mainly passerines) were tested for the presence of SINV antibodies using a plaque reduction neutralization test. The highest SINV antibody prevalence was found in Turdidae species, specifically Fieldfare, Redwing and Song thrush in which more than 70% of sampled individuals had antibodies to SINV in 2009. The SINV antibody prevalence significantly varied between years with 2% in 2002, 8% in 2003, 14% in 2004 and 37% in 2009. Antibodies were found equally often in hatchlings and in adults and increased from early to late in the season. Clearly, the SINV antibody prevalence was not elevated in the bird hosts in the predicted outbreak year 2002, thus solid evidence of a cyclic occurrence of SINV in Sweden is still lacking.

Introduction

Cyclic phenomena in nature, involving parasites and their hosts are often discussed for rodent borne hantaviruses and tularaemia in Fennoscandia [1,2]. Far less investigated is the dynamics of Sindbis virus (SINV, Togaviridae: Alphavirus), a mosquito-borne bird virus that occasionally causes disease in humans in this region. The disease goes under the name Ockelbo disease in Sweden and Pogosta disease in Finland and manifests with rash, fever and joint pains, which in
some cases develop into chronic arthritis [3,4]. Based on the numbers of reported human cases in Finland, Brummer-Korvenkontio et al. [5] suggested a cyclic occurrence of SINV with peaks of infection every 7th year in Fennoscandia, potentially driven by herd immunity among birds. Since then, the concept of a 7-year cycle has been widely quoted but never thoroughly investigated in neither Finland nor Sweden [4,6–15]. In Sweden, the awareness of disease caused by SINV is low and human cases are not notifiable. Human data usually involve only a couple of known cases per year (range 0–66) and peak numbers of disease have been reported during two of the expected outbreak years: 57 cases in 1988 and 46 cases 1995. However in 2013 (an intermediate year according to the hypothesis) SINV unexpectedly infected more than 50 people in a small town, far north of its normal range [16,17]. The human antibody prevalence in endemic areas in Sweden has been estimated to 3.6% [18].

Birds are the natural hosts of SINV, and five previous studies have thoroughly investigated the bird species involved in the transmission of the virus in Sweden [19–23]. Studies of SINV antibody prevalence in wild and captive birds have shown that a wide variety of species are infected by SINV in nature, and they represent all orders that have been tested (Passeriformes, Galliformes and Anseriformes) [19,20,23]. The overall SINV antibody prevalence found in the Swedish bird population measures roughly 8% [20,23], with the highest prevalence found in the passerine species Fieldfare (Turdus pilaris) (43.3%), Redwing (Turdus iliacus) (37%) and Song thrush (Turdus philomelos) (22.2%) [20]. Experimental SINV infection in 14 bird species (Passeriformes, Galliformes and Anseriformes) with SINV showed that all species tested produced a viremia sufficient to infect the main enzootic mosquito vector Culex torrentium, i.e. all reached a titer $\geq 10^{1.7}$ plaque forming units per millilitre (PFU/ml). Most passerine species, including Fieldfare, Redwing and Song thrush, reached viremia titers that would also be sufficient to infect the suggested mosquito bridge vector Aedes cinerus, i.e. a titer of $>10^5$ PFU/ml. Also, the duration of viremia was long lasting ($\geq 3$ days) in most Passeriformes, sometimes reaching beyond the 5 days testing period [22,24,25]. Based on these studies, and the high population densities of these species in endemic areas, Passeriformes are considered the most important hosts for SINV in Sweden, with thrushes (Fieldfare, Redwing and Song thrush in particular) proposed as the main amplifying hosts [20].

This study aimed to investigate the temporal variation of SINV infections in its natural bird hosts in Sweden, in relation to the hypothesis of a cyclic occurrence of outbreaks every 7th year in Fennoscandia. By investigating the prevalence of SINV neutralizing antibodies in the host population in two hypothesized outbreak years (2002 and 2009) and two intermittent years (2003 and 2004) we addressed the following main questions: (1) does the SINV neutralizing antibody prevalence vary in bird populations over time and (2) is SINV neutralizing antibody prevalence higher in bird populations during hypothesized outbreak years than during intermittent years?

**Materials and Methods**

**Bird collection and sampling**

Birds were caught in the River Dalälven floodplains in central Sweden during the summers of 2002 (June 6th to September 8th), 2003 (May 29th to September 7th), 2004 (May 13th to August 20th) and 2009 (May 14th to September 16th). These floodplains are within the main endemic area for SINV [18], and the virus has been isolated from mosquitoes collected in this region [26]. The collections were performed at several study sites (Fågle (60.2420° N; 16.7294° E), Gysinge (60.2913° N; 16.8690° E), Koversta (60.2936° N; 16.8319° E), Norrån (60.3064° N; 16.7738° E), Tärnsjö (60.1600° N; 16.9062° E), Valmbäcken (60.2973° N, 16.8415° E) and Österfärnebo (60.3067° N; 16.7997° E)) all located around Lake Färnebofjärden in the centre of
the River Dalälven floodplains. The study sites were in alder swamps, bush-lands of wet meadows, mixed humid deciduous and coniferous forest, and areas near houses. Bird collections were performed with Japanese mist nets operated in mornings and evening, and collected birds were identified to species, sex and age [27]. Birds hatched during the current season are referred to as hatchlings and birds born any previous season are referred to as after hatching year birds. Each bird was marked with a unique coded metal leg-ring [28], and then sampled for 0.1 ml of blood from the jugular vein. The blood-samples were centrifuged within a few hours to separate serum from cells and thereafter stored at -20°C until analyzed.

Plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT)
The serum-samples were analysed by a SINV plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) using confluent Vero cells and the SINV Edsbyn 5/82 strain (passage four) as antigen. In each round of experiments a positive control (human serum from a SINV infected patient) and a negative control (uninfected rabbit serum) were included. Serum samples were diluted 1:10 to give an endpoint dilution of 1:20 when mixed with an equal volume of diluted virus. The virus was diluted to produce 80–120 plaque-forming units (PFUs) per well in a 24-well cell culture plate (Corning Costar, USA). Each serum-virus dilution was allowed to react for one hour before being seeded onto duplicate wells and overlaid by 0.5 ml per well of a mixture containing 2% agar Noble dissolved in water (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA), 2X Minimum Essential Medium (MEM; Life Technologies, CA, USA) and 0.04% DEAE-Dextran, and incubated at 37°C over night. On day two all wells were overlaid a second time by a mixture of the same composition with neutral red added for visualization of plaques [19]. The plates were again incubated over night before the number of plaques formed by non-neutralized virus was counted. A sample was considered positive for SINV neutralizing antibodies when the number of plaques were reduced by > 80% compared to a control containing virus only.

Statistics
To evaluate the variation in SINV antibody prevalence we used generalised linear models with a logit-link function, using the 'glm'-function in R3.1.1. [29]. If a bird had been infected (1) or not (0) was used as dependent variable. Taxonomic group, year, sex and age (hatchling or after hatching year) of the bird were used as category variables, and Julian day was used as a continuous variable. Type-II ANOVA-tables were calculated using the 'car'-function in R3.1.1. [30].

Ethics statement
The study was carried out on private ground and all landowners gave the permission to conduct the study on their respective properties. The bird catching and ring-binding was approved by the Swedish Museum of Natural History and appropriate approvals for taking blood samples from birds were obtained from the Uppsala Ethical Committee (C106/99 for 2002–2004, C129/9 for 2009).

Results
In total, 963 birds from 52 species were captured and analyzed for SINV neutralizing antibodies using PRNT. Mainly Passeriformes were captured and analyzed (44 species, 948 individuals) but also Piciformes (4 species, 9 individuals), Accipitridiformes (1 species, 2 individuals) and Charadriiformes (3 species, 4 individuals). Within Passeriformes, species from 15 families were analyzed with Turdidae species as the most common (7 species, 383 individuals), followed...
Table 1. Bird species with Sindbis virus antibodies during four years in the River Dalälven floodplains, Sweden.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piciformes</td>
<td>Picidae</td>
<td>Lesser spotted woodpecker (<em>Dendrocopos minor</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charadriformes</td>
<td>Scolopacidae</td>
<td>Eurasian woodcock (<em>Scolopax rusticola</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passeriformes</td>
<td>Corvidae</td>
<td>Eurasian jay (<em>Garrulus glandarius</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
<td>2/3(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lanidae</td>
<td>Red-backed shrike (<em>Lanius collurio</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>2/7(29)</td>
<td>2/9(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emberizidae</td>
<td>Passerida</td>
<td>Yellowhammer (<em>Emberiza citrinella</em>)</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>1/3(33)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>1/18(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunellidae</td>
<td>Fringillidae</td>
<td>European greenfinch (<em>Carduelis chloris</em>)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2(50)</td>
<td>2/3(67)</td>
<td>3/6(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common chaffinch (<em>Fringilla coelebs</em>)</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>1/24(4)</td>
<td>4/7(57)</td>
<td>5/48(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eurasian bullfinch (<em>Pyrrhula pyrrhula</em>)</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>4/5(80)</td>
<td>4/13(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Fringillidae</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/16</td>
<td>2/30(6)</td>
<td>10/15(50)</td>
<td>12/67(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paridae</td>
<td>Eurasian blue tit (<em>Parus caeruleus</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/9(11)</td>
<td>1/8(13)</td>
<td>2/18(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Great tit (<em>Parus major</em>)</td>
<td>1/16(6)</td>
<td>1/16(6)</td>
<td>2/19(11)</td>
<td>6/36(17)</td>
<td>10/87(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Paridae</td>
<td>1/16(6)</td>
<td>1/17(6)</td>
<td>3/28(11)</td>
<td>7/44(16)</td>
<td>12/105(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylviidae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Marsh warbler (<em>Acrocephalus palustris</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Willow warbler (<em>Phylloscopus trochilus</em>)</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>10/57(18)</td>
<td>10/89(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eurasian blackcap (<em>Sylvia atricapilla</em>)</td>
<td>1/3(13)</td>
<td>3/12(25)</td>
<td>7/17(41)</td>
<td>8/14(57)</td>
<td>19/51(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Garden warbler (<em>Sylvia borin</em>)</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>6/13(46)</td>
<td>3/4(75)</td>
<td>16/29(55)</td>
<td>25/53(47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common whitethroat (<em>Sylvia communis</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/7(14)</td>
<td>1/7(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Sylviidae</td>
<td>1/22(5)</td>
<td>9/39(23)</td>
<td>11/34(30)</td>
<td>35/107(33)</td>
<td>56/202(28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turdidae</td>
<td></td>
<td>European robin (<em>Erithacus rubecula</em>)</td>
<td>1/34(3)</td>
<td>0/49</td>
<td>2/25(8)</td>
<td>23/38(61)</td>
<td>25/146(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Redwing (<em>Turdus iliacus</em>)</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>1/19(5)</td>
<td>6/32(19)</td>
<td>16/21(77)</td>
<td>23/79(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common blackbird (<em>Turdus merula</em>)</td>
<td>0/21</td>
<td>2/17(12)</td>
<td>4/29(14)</td>
<td>22/39(56)</td>
<td>28/106(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Song thrush (<em>Turdus philomelos</em>)</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>1/7(14)</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>12/17(71)</td>
<td>13/37(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fieldfare (<em>Turdus pilaris</em>)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10/12(83)</td>
<td>10/13(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Turdidae</td>
<td>1/68(1)</td>
<td>4/93(4)</td>
<td>12/93(13)</td>
<td>83/127(65)</td>
<td>100/381(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/121(2)</td>
<td>16/181(9)</td>
<td>32/202(16)</td>
<td>142/326(44)</td>
<td>193/830(23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Number of PRNT positives/number of tested individuals (percentage positive)

B No individuals tested

* The table total differs slightly from the overall antibody prevalence, since 133 individuals of 31 bird species without SINV neutralising antibodies are not included in the table for clarity. These are (alphabetically ordered): 1 Barred warbler (*Sylvia nisoria*), 1 Common grasshopper warbler (*Locustella naevia*), 1 Common redstart (*Phoenicurus phoenicurus*), 5 Common reed buntings (*Emberiza schoeniclus*), 2 Common snipes (*Gallinago gallinago*), 2 Common starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*), 6 Eurasian nuthatches (*Sitta europaea*), 2 Eurasian sparrow hawks (*Accipiter nisus*), 7 Eurasian tree creepers (*Certhia familiaris*), 2 Eurasian tree sparrowss (*Passer montanus*), 9 Eurasian wrens (*Troglydtes troglodytes*), 2 Eurasian wrynecks (*Jynx torquilla*), 7 Eurasian siskins (*Carduelis spinus*), 2 European crested tits (*Parus cristatus*), 1 European green woodpecker (*Picus viridis*), 10 European pied flycatchers (*Ficedula hypoleuca*), 5 Great-spotted woodpeckers (*Dendrocopos major*), 1 Green sandpiper (*Tringa ochropus*), 5 Icterine warblers (*Hippolais icterina*), 4 Lesser whitethroats (*Sylvia curruca*), 3 Long-tailed tits (*Aegithalos caudatus*), 6 Marsh tits (*Parus palustris*), 1 Meadow pipit (*Anthus pratensis*), 1 Mistle thrush (*Turdus viscivorus*), 2 River warblers (*Locustella fluvatilis*), 2 Sedge warblers (*Acrocephalus schoenobaenus*), 12 Spotted flycatchers (*Muscicapa striata*), 20 Tree pipits (*Anthus trivialis*), 4 White wagtails (*Motacilla alba*), 6 Willow tits (*Parus montanus*), 1 Wood warbler (*Phylloscopus sibilatrix*).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162005.0001

by Sylviidae (12 species, 218 individuals), Paridae (6 species, 122 individuals) and Fringillidae (4 species, 74 individuals).

In total, 193 individual birds from 21 species were found with SINV neutralizing antibodies, of which all were Passeriformes except for 2 positive individuals belonging to Charadriiformes (Eurasian woodcock) and Piciformes (Lesser spotted woodpecker) (Table 1). Antibody prevalence differed between the families ($\chi^2 = 19$, df = 4, $P<0.001$), and were highest within Sylviidae (28%) where 5 of 12 investigated species had antibodies, and Turdidae (26%) where 5 of 7 investigated species had antibodies.
The SINV antibody prevalence varied over years with an overall prevalence of 2% in 2002, 8% in 2003, 14% in 2004 and 37% in 2009 ($\chi^2 = 132$, df = 3, $P < 0.001$). A significant yearly variation could be seen for Turdidae ($\chi^2 = 115$, df = 3, $P < 0.001$) and Fringilidae ($\chi^2 = 15$, df = 3, $P = 0.002$), but not for Paridae ($\chi^2 = 1.6$, df = 3, $P = 0.7$) and Sylviidae $\chi^2 = 5.1$, df = 3, $P = 0.2$ (Table 1). Most families and individual species reached their maximum antibody prevalence in 2009, including all five Turdidae species found with antibodies against SINV (the European Blackbird, Redwing, Song thrush, European Robin and Fieldfare) (Fig 1). There was a significant difference in total SINV antibody prevalence between species within Turdidae ($\chi^2 = 12$, df = 6, $P = 0.05$), highest in the Fieldfare (78%) and lowest in the European Robin (17%) (Table 1).

Two long distance migrants were tested for antibodies against SINV; the European pied flycatcher (n = 10) and the Willow warbler (n = 89). SINV antibodies were only detected in the Willow warbler, which had a prevalence of 18% in 2009 (n = 57). Five of the positive individuals were sampled in early summer (first positive bird sampled on May 20th), one in the middle of summer, and four in late summer. No SINV antibodies were detected in Willow warblers collected in 2002–2004 (n = 33).

There was no effect of age on antibody prevalence, as 20% of all hatchlings (67/334) and 20% of all after hatching year birds (126/629) had antibodies against SINV.
up roughly one third (67/193) of all seropositive birds and the SINV antibody prevalence in hatchlings differed between years in a similar fashion as for all birds in total: 3% in 2002, 5% in 2003, 7% in 2004 and 44% in 2009 ($\chi^2 = 77$, df = 3, $P < 0.001$) (Table 2).

The earliest hatchlings with antibodies were a European robin sampled on June 21st 2004, a Great tit sampled on June 24th 2004 and a Song thrush sampled on June 28th 2009. Earlier in the season, 58 after hatching year birds had antibodies against SINV, the earliest being two Fieldfares sampled on May 13th 2004. Overall, the antibody prevalence increased between May and September ($\chi^2 = 28$, df = 1, $P < 0.001$) (Table 2). This was mainly due to a seasonal increase in Turdidae ($\chi^2 = 24$, df = 1, $P < 0.001$), and could not be seen for Paridae ($\chi^2 = 0.2$, df = 1, $P = 0.6$), Fringillidae ($\chi^2 = 3.1$, df = 1, $P = 0.078$) or Sylviidae ($\chi^2 = 0.3$, df = 1, $P = 0.6$) (Fig 2). This seasonal increase was also significant for hatchlings ($\chi^2 = 5.8$, df = 1, $P = 0.016$) (Table 2).

No association between SINV antibody prevalence and sex ($\chi^2 = 1.5$, df = 1, $P = 0.2$) was detected.

**Discussion**

The temporal variation in the enzootic activity of SINV was investigated in a SINV endemic area in Sweden in 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2009, by measuring SINV neutralizing antibody prevalence in wild birds. Despite varying sampling success between years and species the results showed a significant variation in SINV antibody prevalence over years, however not in a cyclic 7-year fashion as suggested by an existing hypothesis [5]. According to the mentioned hypothesis, year 2002 and 2009 should have been years of high virus transmission. However, the avian antibody prevalence in 2002 was only 2%, and was exceeded by all other years investigated. Thus, potential herd immunity in the host population in the investigated region does not coincide with the suggested cycle. The SINV infection rate in enzootic vector mosquitoes collected in the same region was higher in 2002 compared to 2001 and 2003 (J.O. Lundström and J.C. Hesson unpublished data), but this appears not to have been sufficient to induce a detectable antibody prevalence response in neither the bird host or number of human cases (four were reported in 2002) [16].

Year 2009 stands out as a year with intense enzootic transmission in several aspects. The overall (and Passeriformes only) antibody prevalence in 2009 reached 37%, which can be compared to 27% ($n = 51$) in Passeriformes in 1988, another hypothesised outbreak year, where 57 human cases were reported in Sweden [16,23]. Earlier investigations of temporal variation in Passeriformes in adjacent areas in Sweden have measured 12.1% and 8.4% in 1990 and 1994,

---

**Table 2. Within-season variation of Sindbis virus antibody prevalence in birds in the River Dalälven floodplains, Sweden.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early summer All birds</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>4/81 (5)$^A$</td>
<td>17/107 (16)</td>
<td>37/161 (23)</td>
<td>58/362 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchlings</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid summer All birds</td>
<td>1/89 (1)</td>
<td>6/63 (10)</td>
<td>13/108 (12)</td>
<td>22/58 (38)</td>
<td>42/318 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchlings</td>
<td>0/44</td>
<td>0/28</td>
<td>3/40 (8)</td>
<td>1/10 (10)</td>
<td>4/122 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late summer All birds</td>
<td>2/38 (5)</td>
<td>6/62 (10)</td>
<td>2/19 (11)</td>
<td>83/164 (51)</td>
<td>93/283 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchlings</td>
<td>2/22 (9)</td>
<td>4/47 (9)</td>
<td>1/13 (8)</td>
<td>56/120 (47)</td>
<td>63/202 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total All birds</td>
<td>3/140 (2)</td>
<td>16/206 (7)</td>
<td>32/234 (14)</td>
<td>142/383 (37)</td>
<td>193/963 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchlings</td>
<td>2/69 (3)</td>
<td>4/75 (6)</td>
<td>4/59 (7)</td>
<td>57/131 (44)</td>
<td>67/334 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sindbis virus (SINV) prevalence in early summer (May 13 – June 19), mid summer (June 20—August 10) and late summer (August 11- September 16) shown over four years and for all birds tested or hatchlings only.

$^A$ Number of PRNT positive individuals/number of tested individuals (percentage positive)

*doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162005.t002*
and 2.2% and 11.5% in 1996 and 1997 [20]. In 2009, the antibody prevalence in Fieldfare, Song thrush and Redwing all reached over 70%, which is among the highest SINV prevalence ever measured for an individual bird species. Only the 94% SINV antibody prevalence reported for the Olive thrush (Turdus olivaceus) in South Africa [31] is higher than the prevalence observed for Turdus species in Sweden. Attempts to detect and isolate virus in the enzootic mosquito vector population also indicated high SINV transmission in 2009 [26]. SINV was isolated with the highest infection rate (IR) ever recorded for the enzootic vectors that are responsible for the bird-to-bird transmission: 36 infected mosquitoes of 1000 Cx. torrentium and eight infected mosquitoes per 1000 Culex pipiens. Despite this, the numbers of reported human cases were only six in 2009 [16]. However, the actual numbers of people infected are estimated to be 20–40 times as many as the diagnosed cases since SINV is neither a well-known or notifiable disease [18], thus human data is highly unreliable. A striking attribute of 2009 was that an unexpected number of Cx. torrentium and Cx. pipiens mosquitoes were collected [26]. To present knowledge, these species are not known to bite humans in Sweden, however detailed blood-
meal analysis studies on field caught specimens have not yet been performed. The bridge-vector Ae. cinerus and the potential bridge-vector Aedes rossicus were also plentiful in 2009 (J.O. Lundström and J.C. Hesson unpublished data). Thus, although SINV transmission was extraordinarily intense among birds and enzootic vector mosquitoes and mosquito abundance was high, it did not result in an increased number of reported cases of human disease in 2009.

A difficulty in temporal seroprevalence studies can be to determine when the initial infection was acquired. The duration of immunity in birds varies between a few weeks to years depending on bird species as well as virus type and initial viremia [32–34]. Experimentally infected Swedish Passeriformes started producing neutralizing antibodies later than five days post inoculation with SINV, and reached 73% positive individuals after one month. After three months only 15% of the infected Passeriformes had detectable antibodies and one year after infection no bird retained detectable antibodies. For comparison, 71% of Swedish Anseriformes produced detectable antibodies already after five days, and these were still detectable one year after infection in 42% of tested birds [21]. Thus the antibody prevalence detected in Passeriformes in this study represents infections that were acquired five days to three months before sampling, i.e. in the current season or at the overwintering grounds for birds sampled in early summer [21]. It cannot be excluded that after hatching year birds without detectable antibodies have been infected in earlier seasons and, despite the absence of detectable antibodies, are still immune to SINV. Such long lasting protection have been shown for St Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV) where birds are immune to re-infection despite that antibodies cannot longer be detected [33–35].

Birds that are hatched within the study region and during the year of sampling provide the most solid data on new and locally acquired infections. For newly hatched birds, it is estimated that about 20% can be protected by maternal antibodies for up to nine days, i.e. the first period when they are sparsely feathered and bound to the nest, after which they are susceptible to infection [36]. Our 67 positive hatchlings were all sampled after they had left the nests and should thus be free of maternal antibodies and locally infected in the specific summer. Likewise, the detection of SINV antibodies in ten captive birds, born and bred at a local site in Sweden, and in two of the ten weeks old and two of the six weeks old Canada geese (Branta canadensis) in mid July 1988 [23], and hatching year European robin, Song thrush, Yellowhammer and Redwing [20] are also evidence of local transmission. We found that there was an increase in SINV antibody prevalence in hatchlings from early to late summer in line with the results for all birds in this study (Table 2) and earlier findings by Lundström et al. [20]. The first virus isolates in mosquitoes are from the middle of July, despite massive virus screening effort of potential vector mosquitoes also earlier in the summer, with 33% of the total season catch of Ae. cinereus (n = 10780) and 65% of the total season catch of Cx. pipiens/torrentium (n = 1267) [19]. The early summer findings of newly infected hatchlings and the increasing prevalence of SINV antibodies in the bird population towards late summer show that there is local transmission in the bird population and a subsequent build up of infections before the virus can be detected in the mosquitoes, in agreement with what has been indicated in earlier studies [19,20].

Birds that have detectable antibodies very early in the season could have been infected in their overwintering grounds, or possibly have been bitten by an overwintering female Cx. torrentium or Cx. pipiens taking its first blood meal after hibernation. In the present study the earliest hatchlings with SINV antibodies were a European robin on June 21st, a Great tit on June 24th and a Song thrush on June 28th. The earliest previous detection of antibodies in a hatching year bird is from a redwing on June 15th, 1990 [20]. The very earliest findings of a SINV positive bird in the present study is from May 13th, and in total 58 non-hatching year birds were found positive in early summer. Culex females exit their winter hideouts in March/April and
will blood feed before they lay their eggs. These eggs produce the next generation of *Culex* that are ready to emerge as adults in late June. A locally acquired infection before end of June would indicate vertical transmission in mosquitoes, since the last female generation of *Cx. torrentium* and *Cx. pipens* in the previous summer do not take a blood meal before entering their overwintering grounds. However, empiric data on SINV occurrence in early season mosquitoes is lacking, and it still remains unknown how the local enzootic transmission of SINV is started every season.

Bird-associated viruses can potentially be transferred between distant geographical locations by migrating birds. SINV has a large geographical distribution in the old world and isolates from Sweden show most resemblance to isolates from South Africa, and have less in common with isolates from central and southern Europe [37]. For Western equine encephalomyelitis virus (WEEV) and West Nile virus (WNV) it has been shown that virus can persist in a bird as a chronic latent infection [38,39]. Mosquito vectors could thus theoretically be infected after a recurrent viremia induced by migratory restlessness, such as the reactivation of *Borreliia garinii* infection in Redwings subjected to stress [40]. Such transfer of virus could potentially be undertaken by long distance migrants, migrating between Sweden and South Africa. There are two such species tested in this study; the Willow warbler had antibodies in 2009, with the earliest detected May 20th, and the European pied flycatcher, with no antibodies found. Directed sampling of 328 migrating birds arriving in Sweden was performed in spring 1983 and no SINV antibodies were found, while SINV antibody positive birds (10/136) was detected in July and August the same year [19]. Similarly, serology on migrating birds arriving in Finland during spring did not reveal any samples with SINV antibodies [6]. Thus, although reactivation of viremia is theoretically possible, import of virus with migrating birds is likely very rare.

This study confirmed that Turdidae species, especially the *Turdus* species, are often infected with SINV, and further proved that these birds show significant differences in antibody prevalence between years as well as a significant within-year seasonal increase. Thus Turdidae species appears to play the most important role for fluctuations in SINV transmission, and specifically Fieldfare, Redwing and Song thrush would be useful as local sentinels for surveillance of SINV transmission. For most other mosquito-borne bird viruses in the world, such as WEEV and Eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus (EEEV) (*Alphavirus*), SLEV and WNV (*Flavivirus*), Passeriform species are considered the most important hosts, often specifically involving Turdidae species [41–45]. A key factor making Passeriformes suitable hosts is their high abundance close to human settings. In Sweden, more than 90% of the breeding bird population are Passeriformes, and Fieldfare, Redwing, Song thrush and Chaffinch comprise 18% of the Swedish bird fauna [46]. A comparison of bird captures in central and southern Sweden showed that these species were more common in central Sweden, which coincides with human disease that occurs in central but not southern Sweden [18,20], indicating the importance of population density of the most important hosts. Detailed transect studies on densities of thrushes would be an interesting way to get more detailed information on the host abundance. Similarly, detailed studies on the antibody prevalence in Passeriforme birds in Finland would be of high interest since here Galliform species (Capercaillie (*Tetrao urogallus*) and Black Grouse (*Tetrao tetrix*)) are often suggested as main hosts of SINV, based on two human outbreaks that have coincided with population crashes of Grouse [5,13]. Four Galliform species have been investigated in the Swedish studies, and antibodies have been found in 17% (n = 24) of the investigated Capercaillie [23]. Four Capercaillie individuals have also been experimentally infected with SINV and all reached viremias >6.4 PFU/ml [22]. This shows that Capercaillie is a competent host of SINV and that it is infected in nature. However, Capercaillies are rare in Sweden, and their habitat is not in close proximity to humans. Thus, their role in SINV transmission in Sweden is of less importance than the passerine birds of the Turdidae family.
In conclusion, this study found no cyclic variation of SINV infections in the bird host population in the River Dalälven floodplains in Sweden. The causes of the yearly variations in the prevalence of SINV neutralizing antibodies in birds and its potential impact on the number of human cases of disease remain to be uncovered.
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