

List of errata for Olsson, "European bioenergy markets..." (2009)

Kappa/summary

Page 11	Instead of "...contribute to the understanding of how European" Read "contribute to the understanding of European"
Page 15	Instead of "...and lays out the steps that were need to" Read "...and lays out the steps that were needed to"
Page 17	Instead of "The increasing demand for bioenergy has also led to increased." Read "The increasing demand for bioenergy has also led to increased bioenergy trade."
Page 24	Instead of "...the world become" Read "...the world becomes"
Page 24	Instead of "...market for pine sawlogs to be" Read "...market for pine sawlogs were"
Page 26	Instead of "...between two locations is zero formulation and hence this formulation is" Read "between two locations is zero. This formulation is"
Page 27	Instead of "...and the 18 th century Catalonian labour market" Read "and labour in 18 th century Catalonia"
Page 31	Instead of "...since the turn of the 21 st century, due mainly to high prices for fossil fuels" Read "...since the turn of the 21 st century, due mainly to high prices of fossil fuels"
Page 33	Instead of "...trade flows between the countries make it difficult" Read "...trade flows between the countries makes it difficult"

Page 36

Instead of “Estonia, Finland and Sweden”
indicate this...”

Read “Estonia, Finland and Sweden”
indicate that this...”

Page 37

Instead of “...although the close price
connections between Germany and Austria
certainly indicate”

Read “...although the close price
connections between Germany and Austria
indicate”

Page 37

Instead of “...is difficult to go deeper into
the causes for market integration”

Read “...is difficult to explain the absence of
market integration”

Page 38

Instead of “...and that aim to”

Read “...and which aim to”

Paper I

Instead of “...the methodology in this study
rests on the use or”

Page 4

Read “...the methodology in this study rests
on the use of”

Page 17

Instead of “...the conclusion is that whereas
the results indicate”

Read “...the conclusion is that the results
indicate ”

Paper II

Page 4

Instead of “...could be considered to be
integrated, although fully”

Read “...could be considered to be
integrated, although full”

Page 20

The graph in figure 6 has been recalculated
(see correct version below)

