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Finding the right one: Host-plant choice and its transgenerational influence on behaviour and life-history traits in Spodoptera littoralis

Abstract
Herbivorous insects have an intricate relationship with their host plants, which they use as food, oviposition substrate, as well as a rendezvous site for mating. For generalist herbivorous insects that have a wide host range, finding a suitable host plant in a complex environment is of great importance for their offspring’s development and survival. Most herbivorous insects use olfactory cues for host-plant location, where changes in odour profiles of plants due to extrinsic factors from both biotic and abiotic stressors must be taken into consideration when locating a suitable host. Several generalist insects are known to use odour cues experienced during their larval development to facilitate host-plant location in the adult stage, even if they undergo complete metamorphosis between the two developmental stages. This may funnel individuals onto a particular plant species, increasing the chances of their offspring ending up in a matching environment to that of the parent. The use of previous experience is thought to facilitate transgenerational acclimatization, where parents acclimatize their offspring to the environment that they experienced.

This thesis investigates how herbivory influences host-plant choice in the generalist moth Spodoptera littoralis (paper I) and the transgenerational impact of plant choice on preference and life-history traits (papers II and III).

I found that herbivory by conspecifics influenced host-plant location and preference hierarchies of S. littoralis in a sex-specific manner where the odours released from damaged plants were driving the behavioural shifts. Furthermore, I found that (previous) parental experience does not influence host-plant location in neonate larval or adult females, but that host-plant choice relies on innate preference hierarchies and within-generational phenotypic plasticity. Moreover, I demonstrated how transgenerational acclimatization could influence developmental traits while reproductive output shows no signs of transgenerational acclimatization but rather the opposite. Thus, the use of previous experience may be a way to facilitate host-plant location in adult individuals, which comes at the expense of the reproductive output of their offspring.

The findings in this thesis emphasize the complexity of host-plant choice in generalist insects and the influence the plant community have on behaviour and life-history traits within- and across-generations.

Keywords: chemical ecology, preference hierarchy, plant volatiles, herbivore-induced plant volatiles, phenotypic plasticity, transgenerational effects, transgenerational acclimatization, within-generation phenotypic plasticity, carry-over effects, insect-plant interaction
Abstract

Växtätande insekter har ett invecklat förhållande till sina värdväxter som agerar föda, äggläggningssubstrat och mötesplats vid parning. För generalister, som har ett brett spektrum av potentiella värdväxter, är det av stor betydelse att hitta en lämplig värdväxt i en komplex miljö för deras avkommas utveckling och överlevnad. De flesta växtätande insekter använder doftsignaler för att hitta sina värdväxter, där förändringar i växternas doftprofiler på grund av yttre biotiska och abiotiska stressfaktorer måste tas i beaktande för att lokaliserera en lämplig värdväxt. Flera generalistiska insekter är kända för att använda doftsignaler som de upplevt under sin larvutveckling för att underlätta valet av värdväxt i det vuxna stadiet, även om de genomgår fullständig metamorfas mellan larv- och vuxnenstadiet. Detta kan styra individer mot en viss växtart, vilket ökar chansen att deras avkomma hamnar i en miljö som motsvarar förälderns. Användningen av tidigare erfarenhet anses underlätta generationsöverskridande akklamatisering, där föräldrar tillvänjer sin avkomma till den miljö som de själva har upplevt.

Denna avhandling undersöker hur herbivori påverkar valet av värdväxt hos den generalistiska nattfjärilen *Spodoptera littoralis* (artikel I) och de konsekvenser värdväxtvalet har på beteende, utveckling och reproduktiv förmåga över generationsgränsen (artikel II och III).

Jag fann att herbivori från artfränder påverkar värdväxtval och preferenshierarkier i *S. littoralis* på ett könssektivikt sätt där dofterna som avges från de skadade växterna driver förändringarna i beteendet. Dessutom fann jag att (tidigare) erfarenhet hos föräldern inte påverkar värdväxtvalet hos nykläckta larver eller vuxna honor, utan att värdväxtvalet är beroende av medfödda preferenshierarkier och tidigare erfarenhet inom generationen. Dessutom visar jag hur generationsöverskridande akklamatisering kan påverka utvecklingen av avkomman medan reproduktiv förmåga inte visar några tecken på generationsöverskridande akklamatisering utan snarare motsatsen. Användningen av tidigare erfarenheter kan alltså snarare vara ett sätt att underlätta värdväxtvalet hos vuxna individer på bekostnad av deras avkommas reproduktiva förmåga.

Resultaten i avhandlingen betonar den komplexitet som valet av värdväxt innebär för generalistiska insekter och det inflytande som växtsamhället har på beteende, utveckling och reproduktiv förmåga, inom och över generationsgränsen.

*Keywords*: kemisk ekologi, preferenshierarki, växtdofter, herbivorinducerade växtdofter, fenotypisk plasticitet, transgenerationella effekter, generationsöverskridande anpassning, fenotypisk plasticitet inom generationen, överföringseffekter, insekt-växt interaktioner
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**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Antennal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-MS</td>
<td>Combined gas chromatography and mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLV</td>
<td>Green leaf volatile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Gustatory receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHSP</td>
<td>Hopkins host selection principle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPV</td>
<td>Herbivore-induced plant volatile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDS</td>
<td>Non-metric multidimensional scaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Olfactory receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSN</td>
<td>Olfactory sensory neuron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>Principal component analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERMANOVA</td>
<td>Permutational multivariate analysis of variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGP</td>
<td>Transgenerational phenotypic plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGP</td>
<td>Within-generation phenotypic plasticity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

Insects and plants have co-existed for over one hundred million years and the interactions between the two are both mutualistic and antagonistic (Schoonhoven et al. 2005). Their co-evolution has been postulated to be an important underlying force giving rise to the great diversity of terrestrial life forms (Ehrlich & Raven 1964). As for insects, this group makes up half of all the species described worldwide (Grimaldi & Engel 2005; Mayhew 2007), whereas herbivorous insects make up a third (approximately 500 000) of all described eukaryotes (Hardy et al. 2020). Two-thirds of herbivorous insects belong to the two orders Coleoptera (beetles) and Lepidoptera (butterflies and moths), where the larvae and adult beetles and the larval stage of butterflies and moths devour plant tissue by chewing, tearing or snipping with their mouthparts (Schoonhoven et al. 2005). In agroecosystems, herbivorous insects pose a serious threat, with estimates of around 15% yield reduction due to the damage they cause to the plants (van der Meijden 2014; Savary et al. 2019). This makes insect-plant interactions not only interesting from an ecological and evolutionary perspective but also from an applied aspect due to their impact on food availability (Schoonhoven et al. 2005).

In Lepidopterans, which are holometabolous insects, a pupal stage separates the larval and the adult stage (Wiegmann et al. 2009). Resource acquisition is predominantly limited to the larval stage, while the adult stage is responsible for mating and host-plant location (García-Robledo & Horvitz 2012). For adult females, utilizing host-plant chemosensory cues to find a suitable oviposition substrate for larval development is therefore of great importance (Bruce et al. 2005; Bruce & Pickett 2011). For males, host-plant chemosensory cues in combination with female pheromones are crucial for finding a mating partner (Reddy & Guerrero 2004).
In this thesis, the focus lies on the herbivorous moth *Spodoptera littoralis*, a highly polyphagous insect herbivore, which is considered a quarantine pest in Europe and a phytosanitary problem on several continents (CABI 2022; EPPO 2023). This species utilizes odour cues to locate its host plant and is known to use previous experience to facilitate host-plant choice (Anderson *et al.* 2013; Thöming *et al.* 2013; Borrero-Echeverry *et al.* 2015; Proffit *et al.* 2015). In species that utilize previous experience to guide behavioural decisions, the chances of parent-offspring diets to match increase. This has been proposed to facilitate transgenerational acclimatization, where parental generations could preadapt their offspring to an expected environment (Cahenzli *et al.* 2015). In this thesis, I investigated how changes in plant-odour cues due to herbivory affect male and female host-plant choice and how host-plant experience influences behaviour, development and reproduction in a transgenerational context.
2. Research background

2.1 Insect plant interactions

Herbivorous insects show various feeding strategies, in which certain species feed throughout their lifetime, while other species have particular life stages where feeding takes place and other life stages where mating and mate location occur (Pierce & Berry 2011). In Lepidopterans, which are holometabolous and, thus, undergo a complete metamorphosis, the larval stage is responsible for most of the resource acquisition that will influence the adult individual resource allocation, e.g. egg production (Jiménez-Pérez & Wang 2004; Rhainds 2015). However, adult individuals can replenish themselves by feeding on nectar, which may increase their flight ability and fecundity (Levin et al. 2017). The adult stage is responsible for reproduction, such as locating a rendezvous site for mating and finding a suitable oviposition substrate for larval development (Gripenberg et al. 2010). Finding a suitable oviposition substrate is a complex task where the adult female must consider several aspects of plant suitability. The adult female must be able to discriminate between plants of different suitability in terms of larval development (Jaenike 1978; Thompson & Pellmyr 1991) and competition from conspecifics (De Moraes et al. 2001; Allmann et al. 2013). Furthermore, she must also be able to find a plant with a low abundance of natural enemies or a plant that, due to its architecture, further complicates the host location by the natural enemies (Bernays & Graham 1988; Thompson & Pellmyr 1991; Jones 2022). However, many empirical examples of host-search gone wrong have coined the term ‘optimal bad motherhood’ (also known as ‘parent-offspring conflict’), where the mothers choose suboptimal host plants for their offspring to increase their longevity.
(Mayhew 2001; Garcia-Robledo & Horvitz 2012). Although less mobile than their winged adult counterpart, host-plant location, or relocation, does occur in larvae. Young larvae in the Lepidoptera order are known to use ballooning behaviour, using a silk thread they spin to migrate within dense plant communities (Zalucki et al. 2002; Bell et al. 2005). Furthermore, later larval instars are more mobile and individuals can migrate towards neighbouring plants by crawling (Mitra et al. 2021).

2.1.1 Chemosensation in insects

For host-plant location to occur, the adult insects must be able to sense the world around them. This is accomplished from afar through olfaction using their primary olfactory organ, the antennae (Hansson 1999). The antennae contain hair-like sensilla which house olfactory receptors (ORs) and ionotropic receptors which can be activated by ligands that bind to the receptor surface (Sachse & Krieger 2011). For herbivorous insects, ligands of importance are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) released from plants in the surrounding environment or pheromones released by conspecifics. VOCs released from plants are composed of organic compounds with low molecular weight and high vapour pressure at ambient temperatures, causing them to easily volatilize (Pichersky et al. 2006; Dudareva et al. 2013). The receptors detecting VOCs released from plants can be both narrowly or broadly tuned, while pheromone receptors are directly tuned to the pheromone in question (Andersson et al. 2015). When a VOC is detected by the OR, olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) forward the information towards the antennal lobe (AL), where OSNs expressing the same receptors coincide at a single glomeruli (Sachse & Krieger 2011). Multiple glomeruli present in the AL acts as coding units where the combinatorial activation underlie the olfactory discrimination (Galizia et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2003). The information is then forwarded through projection neurons to the higher brain centres in the protocerebrum of the insect containing the lateral horn and the mushroom bodies, where odour perception takes place (Hansson 1999; Sachse & Krieger 2011). The outcome of processed stimuli induces behaviours, such as upwind flight towards the source of the stimuli, where odour cues from plants create an odour plume that can be followed by the receiver to reach the emitter, much like that of a ‘path’ in a physical landscape (Conchou et al. 2019).
When in physical contact with the host plant, insects also utilize contact cues (Schoonhoven et al. 2005). These are detected by gustatory receptors (GRs) that have a similar function as ORs although they sense chemosensory contact cues (Agnihotri et al. 2016). The GRs are located on the mouthparts, antennae, tarsi, wings and ovipositor of insects (Benton 2015; Agnihotri et al. 2016). Ligands such as water, sugars, sugar alcohols, salt, amino acids, and bitter substances bind to the GR, which activates downstream signalling of information to the central nervous system of the insect, eliciting a behavioural response, e.g. feeding or deterrence (Tang et al. 2014).

2.1.2 Plant volatile organic compounds
All plants continuously release VOCs from most of their tissues (Penuelas & Llusià 2001; Dudareva et al. 2006) and the composition of the released VOCs varies greatly among plant genera (Vivaldo et al. 2017). VOCs are secondary metabolites, which impose that they are not responsible for the direct metabolism of the plant (Crozier et al. 2006; Picazo-Aragonés et al. 2020). However, the production of VOCs by the plant requires carbon, nitrogen, sulphur and energy provided by primary metabolism (Dudareva et al. 2013). This implies that the production and release of VOCs are directly influenced by the primary metabolism.

Almost all VOCs released from plants originate from four metabolic pathways. Terpenoids, which are the most numerous and structurally diverse group of compounds, originate from the methylerythritol phosphate and mevalonic acid pathways (Cheng et al. 2007). Phenylpropanoid and benzenoid compounds, the second largest class of plant volatiles (Knudsen et al. 2006), are produced from the shikimate pathway (Maeda & Dudareva 2012). Green leaf volatiles, which consists of saturated or monosaturated six to nine-carbon aldehydes and alcohols, originate from the lipoxygenase pathway (Dudareva et al. 2013). Other metabolic pathways do exist, although these are generally associated with species-specific compounds (Dudareva et al. 2013).

In plants, VOCs are considered to be the most important secondary metabolite due to their interaction with the surrounding environment (Picazo-Aragonés et al. 2020). It is responsible for several ecological functions, such as the attraction of mutualistic organisms including pollinators (Muhlemann et al. 2014; Schiestl 2015), seed dispersers (Hodgkison et al. 2007; Borges et al. 2008; Youngsteadt et al. 2008),
microorganisms (Schulz-Bohm et al. 2018) and natural enemies of herbivores (Dicke 2009; Dicke & Lucas-Barbosa 2020). For herbivorous insects, the use of VOCs released from plants can be used to differentiate between host and non-host, but also hosts of different suitability (Bruce et al. 2005; Bruce & Pickett 2011; Silva & Clarke 2020). VOCs are also important in plant-to-plant communication (Baldwin et al. 2006; Heil & Karban 2010; Ninkovic et al. 2021) and tolerance towards abiotic stressors, such as high temperatures (Jun-Wen & Cao 2005).

2.1.3 Extrinsic factors influencing the release of VOCs

Although plants show a continuous release of VOCs, the odour profile of a particular plant is not always constant. The volatiles released from a plant vary due to the ontogenetic state of the plant (Bracho-Nunez et al. 2011) and due to the time of day or night (Badra et al. 2021). Furthermore, abiotic factors such as drought, temperature, ozone and CO₂ (Dicke & Loreto 2010; Conchou et al. 2019), as well as biotic factors, e.g. insect herbivory and oviposition (Dicke & Van Loon 2000; Dicke 2009), are important factors that influence the release of VOCs.

During herbivory by larvae, the tearing of the leaf by the insect mouthparts creates open wounds that cause a direct release of already stored compounds (Loughrin et al. 1994; McCall et al. 1994). Moreover, elicitors from the feeding larva and ovipositing adult, e.g. saliva and secretion respectively, can cause phytochemical changes in the plant, initiating changes in metabolic pathways (Mumm & Dicke 2010; Hilker & Fatouros 2015). This influences the production of de-novo synthesized compounds, which can be produced by undamaged parts of the plant (Turlings & Tumlinson 1992; Pare & Tumlinson 1997; Röse & Tumlinson 2005). Natural enemies of herbivores are known to eavesdrop on the released VOCs from herbivory, often referred to as herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPV), as a way of locating their prey (Dicke & Van Loon 2000; Dicke & Lucas-Barbosa 2020). The HIPVs released during damage from herbivores belong to the three major classes of compounds; terpenoids, aromatic compounds and green leaf volatiles (Dicke 2009; Dicke & Lucas-Barbosa 2020) (figure 1). Directly after herbivore damage, constitutively stored volatiles are released from the damaged plant part, influencing the odour blend composition (Jansen et al. 2011). Furthermore, variations in odour blend composition take place days after herbivore attack, with different compounds
having a peak in release at different time points (Holopainen & Blande 2013).

The influence of extrinsic factors on the volatile profiles of plants increases the complexity of the olfactory environments experienced by insects. In a natural context, multitrophic interactions influence the release of VOCs, where soil microbiota (Farré-Armengol et al. 2016), the type of insect feeding on the plant (Mann et al. 2021), neighbouring plant community (Ninkovic et al. 2021) and interaction between herbivory and plant community complexity (Kigathi et al. 2019) play influential roles on plant-odour profiles.

Figure 1. Examples of common HIPVs from the three major classes of compounds originating from the four metabolic pathways mentioned in the ‘plant volatile organic compound’ section 2.1.2. Modified from Dicke (2009) with the publisher’s permission.

2.1.4 Making sense of the olfactory environment

Insects use their primary olfactory organ, the antennae, to make sense of their surrounding environment and the volatiles it contains. Odours emitted from
potential host plants need to be distinguished from those of non-hosts in an environment with a constant odour background (Beyaert & Hilker 2014; Conchou et al. 2019). As if this was not a complex task on its own, the VOCs released form odour bouquets that differ both quantitatively and qualitatively, where hundreds of different compounds in different combinations and concentrations can be released from a single plant (Bruce & Pickett 2011).

Some species use taxonomically specific cues, in which a plant is identified based on one particular compound or a small set of specific compounds (Bruce et al. 2005). The Brassicaceae specialists cabbage aphid Brevicoryne brassicae (Nottingham et al. 1991) and the cabbage seed weevil, Ceutorhynchus assimilis (Blight et al. 1995), have been shown to utilize Brassicaceae species-specific isothiocyanates as key compounds for host-plant location. However, the vast majority of insects are dependent on a wider range of more ubiquitously occurring compounds independent of their diet range, i.e. whether they are specialists, oligophagous or generalists (Bruce et al. 2005). This implies that the different combinations of compounds and ratios play an important role, with the variation in compound composition and ratios creating endless possibilities of combinations (Bruce & Pickett 2011). In the moth Manduca sexta, which is a good example of this, it has been shown that individuals were not attracted to single compounds from its host plant, but to a blend of synthetic compounds, where nine out of the sixty compounds released by the plant were sufficient to mimic the plant (Riffell et al. 2009). Interestingly, it has also been shown that compounds present in a blend eliciting attraction could be repellent if presented alone (Webster et al. 2010).

Although most specialists do not use species-specific cues, the narrow host range can facilitate host-plant location due to the fine-tuning towards a particular blend of cues (Bruce et al. 2005; Bruce & Pickett 2011; Silva & Clarke 2020). Contrarily, for generalists, the vast range of odour cues released from the wide range of potential hosts upon which they must discriminate can make host-plant choice difficult due to neural limitations (Bernays 2001). This has been shown to influence host-plant choice where generalists are slower to locate a host than specialists in general (Bernays 1999; Bernays & Funk 1999; Janz 2003). To unravel how generalists recognize their host plants remains a major challenge that requires further studies on insect-plant systems (Bruce & Pickett 2011; Cunningham 2012).
2.2 Phenotypic plasticity

Phenotypic plasticity is the ability of a single genotype to achieve different phenotypic endpoints due to environmental influence (West-Eberhard 1989; Whitman & Agrawal 2009). Plasticity can include changes in biochemical, physiological, behavioural and developmental traits, in which the first three are reversible throughout the lifetime of an individual, influencing the phenotypic endpoint. Contrarily, developmental plasticity may cause irreversible changes during early development that cannot be changed (Pigliucci et al. 2006; Sheehy & Laskowski 2023). It has been proposed that organisms experiencing heterogeneous environments, where environmental fluctuations are somewhat predictable and the cost of plasticity is low, should be favoured by phenotypic plasticity (Levins 1968; Scheiner 1993; Uller 2008). Furthermore, since evolution acts on a longer timescale, plasticity could allow for resilience to environmental change in populations on a short timescale (Diamond & Martin 2021). Although heavily debated, it has also been proposed that phenotypic plasticity could have an impact on evolution through genetic assimilation where previously expressed phenotypes are subjected to selection, causing canalization where the new phenotypes are established in the population (Pigliucci et al. 2006; Levis & Pfennig 2016).

2.2.1 Phenotypic plasticity influencing behaviour in insects

Many insects follow innate behaviours during e.g. host-plant choice, where the behavioural outcome follows a hierarchical ranking of hosts based on host cues (Dukas 2008). To facilitate behavioural decisions in heterogeneous environments, plasticity is of great importance (Whitman & Agrawal 2009). Behaviour, being one of the more plastic phenotypic traits, has been proposed to be easily influenced by environmental cues through within-generation phenotypic plasticity (WGP) (West-Eberhard 1989). Many examples of WGP and its influence on behaviour exist, where an emphasis has been made on holometabolous insect species (Wright & Schiestl 2009) in the orders Hymenoptera (Turlings et al. 1993; Menzel & Müller 1996), Diptera (Busto et al. 2010), and Lepidoptera (Anderson & Anton 2014). In generalist insects, the use of previous experience could facilitate behavioural decisions such as host-plant location (Bernays 2001). In Lepidopterans, both males and females are influenced by previous experience (Anderson & Anton 2014; Silva & Clarke 2020). Females utilize previous experience to find a suitable host for oviposition (Olsson et al. 2006; Anderson et al. 2013;
Thöming et al. 2013; Proffit et al. 2015; Kemp 2019) and males for locating a mating rendezvous site (Li et al. 2005; Anderson et al. 2013; Thöming et al. 2013; Proffit et al. 2015; Kemp 2019). Thus, previous experience could cause association with a particular plant species, causing the generalist insect to act more as a specialist on that particular plant (Mechaber & Hildebrand 2000; del Campo et al. 2001).

2.2.2 Transgenerational phenotypic plasticity

The phenotype may not only be influenced by the genotype and the current environmental state but also by the environment experienced in previous generations (Mousseau & Fox 1998a). This is referred to as transgenerational phenotypic plasticity (TGP), which is a wide concept that includes various mechanisms of transfer, e.g. epigenetics (Youngson & Whitelaw 2008), vertical transfer of symbionts (Paniagua Voirol et al. 2018) and resource transfer (Fox & Czesak 2000). Transgenerational phenotypic plasticity has a plethora of different names in the literature, depending on its mechanism of transfer and where it originates from, i.e. mother, father or both (Mousseau & Fox 1998b; Mousseau & Fox 1998a; Marshall & Uller 2007; Engqvist & Reinhold 2016; Bonduriansky & Crean 2018). It has been shown that for invertebrates and annual plants, TGP is more common compared to vertebrates and perennial plants (Yin et al. 2019). It has also been proposed that TGP is more important than previously anticipated and that individual organisms might benefit from TGP to a similar extent as WGP (Salinas et al. 2013). In some systems, the use of TGP might even be more optimal compared to WGP, since parents could react to environmental cues and create an optimal offspring phenotype if they anticipate the environmental cues correctly (Jablonka et al. 1995).

In insects, several phenotypic traits could be influenced by TGP, where more evidence exists on morphological and physiological traits compared to that of behavioural traits (Woestmann & Saastamoinen 2016 and references therein; Donelson 2018; Gowri & Monteiro 2021). Although some examples of the influence of TGP on host-plant choice behaviour in insects do exist within Lepidoptera where either nutritional qualities of food (Cahenzli et al. 2015) or odour cues (Gowri 2019) increase the salience for the plant experienced by the parent in ovipositing females and food searching larvae respectively. The use of previous experience from parental generation guiding behaviours could play an important role in rapid adaptation to novel
environments (Ledón-Rettig et al. 2013). When TGP influences developmental traits, this could be accomplished through transgenerational acclimatization, where the parental generation predicts the environment of the offspring based on environmental cues experienced and adapts its offspring to the experienced environment (Mousseau & Fox 1998b; Marshall & Uller 2007; Munday 2014). This causes the offspring to have an increased fitness when parent-offspring environments are matched (Uller 2008; Engqvist & Reinhold 2016). Some examples of this in Lepidopterans do exist, although they are scarce. In the butterflies, Pieris rapae and Coenonympha pamphilus, parents acclimatize their offspring to a plant of the same nutritional qualities as they fed on, increasing their offspring's fitness (e.g. pupal weight and wing size) when parent and offspring diets match (Rotem et al. 2003; Cahenzli & Erhardt 2013; Cahenzli et al. 2015). However, in another study, P. rapae, were unable to transgenerationally acclimatize its offspring when parent and offspring were reared on similar plant species (Petrén et al. 2021). This could infer that different populations of insects react differently, or that transgenerational acclimatization could act on within-species variation in terms of nutrition. Interestingly, it has been shown that differences in epigenetic markers between populations do exist, which is one potential mechanism that could have an influence on phenotypic expression through TGP (Herrera & Bazaga 2010).

Carry-over effects, where a lack or surplus of e.g. nutrients in one generation influence upcoming generations is another example of a transgenerational effect (Marshall & Uller 2007; Uller et al. 2013). These are not anticipatory and influence the offspring regardless of their environmental context, although they could coexist with transgenerational acclimatization (Engqvist & Reinhold 2016). Such effects are common and many examples exist in a wide range of organisms (Lindström 1999; Qvarnström & Price 2001; Taborsky 2006; van de Pol et al. 2006; Krist 2011; Segers & Taborsky 2011; Franzke & Reinhold 2013). In insects, carry-over effects based on food suitability, i.e. nutrients and secondary metabolites, have shown positive and negative influence on offspring fitness in terms of development, survival and fecundity (Valtonen et al. 2012; Zizzari et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2020; Zeender et al. 2023). Therefore, when investigating parental effects, in particular transgenerational acclimatization, it is important to take carry-over effects into perspective (Engqvist & Reinhold 2016).
2.2.3 Behavioural plasticity as a facilitator for transgenerational acclimatization

Both WGP and TGP are favoured by environmental heterogeneity which should benefit both types of plasticity in organisms that inhabit heterogeneous environments (Uller 2008). Furthermore, mathematical models have suggested the co-occurrence of the two for a particular trait and that information between TGP and WGP could be integrated to achieve an optimal phenotypic endpoint (Leimar & McNamara 2015). This has been supported in various taxa (Agrawal et al. 1999; Sultan et al. 2009; Mikulski & Pijanowska 2010).

In insects, with an emphasis on Lepidopterans, it has been suggested that their ability to express WGP should favour TGP (Woestmann & Saastamoinen 2016). In herbivorous insects, specifically in individuals that use WGP for host-plant choice, the increased chance of a matching parent-offspring environment could favour the ability to transgenerationally acclimatize the offspring to the environment experienced by the parent (Cahenzli et al. 2015; Petrén et al. 2021). This would link the two types of plasticity, emphasising the importance of WGP for TGP (Kuijper & Hoyle 2015).

2.3 *Spodoptera littoralis*

The Egyptian cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* Boisduval (Lepidoptera, Nuctuidae), is a generalist herbivore that is widespread in Africa, the Middle East, and Southern Europe (CABI 2022). Due to its wide host range, utilizing plants from more than 40 plant families, with more than 87 plant species of economic importance, and its ability to spread into temperate regions, it’s been considered a quarantine pest and phytosanitary problem (EPPO 2015; CABI 2022). Many generalist insect pests belong to the *Spodoptera* genus, where *Spodoptera frugiperda*, the fall armyworm, native to South America has gained fame for its recent expansion to Africa and Asia, threatening food security (Mendesil et al. 2023). The problematic pests within the *Spodoptera* genus and the polyphagous lifestyle of *S. littoralis* make it an interesting model to study host-plant selection, both from an evolutionary and an agricultural perspective.
Figure 2. Conceptual representation of known intrinsic and extrinsic factors influencing host-plant choice during mate location and oviposition in male and female *S. littoralis*. Modified from Carrasco *et al.* (2015) with the publisher’s permission.

Due to its wide host range, the challenges *S. littoralis* faces during host plant search could give an insight into other closely related species or species with a wide host plant range. Behavioural studies have shown that *S. littoralis* is influenced by both intrinsic (innate preference hierarchy) and extrinsic factors (environmental conditions) during host-plant choice (Anderson *et al.* 2013; Thöming *et al.* 2013; Proffit *et al.* 2015) (figure 2). It has also been demonstrated plant volatile cues are important for host-plant choice and the phenotypic plasticity in *S. littoralis* (Lhomme *et al.* 2018a). Plants present in the preference hierarchy show species-specific odour profiles of the VOCs that are sensed by *S. littoralis* antennae (Conchou *et al.* 2017). The odour profile can change due to previous damage, causing the plant to produce HIPVs influencing behavioural decisions in both males and females which could cause associational resistance in neighbouring plants (Zakir *et al.* 2013a; Zakir *et al.* 2013b).
In this thesis, based on the importance of VOCs for host-plant choice in *S. littoralis*, changes in odour profiles due to conspecific herbivory and its influence on the preference hierarchy in several plant species were studied in **paper I**.

The innate preference hierarchy of *S. littoralis* is influenced by previous experience through WGP (Anderson *et al.* 2013; Thöming *et al.* 2013; Proffit *et al.* 2015) (figure 2). During oviposition, this increases the chance of individuals being placed on the same plant as experienced by the mother. Due to its plastic behaviour through WGP and its possibility to facilitate TGP, *S. littoralis* was used to study whether both behaviour and life-history traits were influenced through TGP in **papers II and III**.
3. Aim and objectives

This thesis aimed to investigate how changes in environmental cues (host-plant odours) influence the host-plant choice of a generalist insect and how host-plant shifts influence behaviour and life-history traits in a transgenerational context.

The first part of the thesis (Paper I) investigates how changes in host-plant odour emission due to herbivory from conspecifics influence host-plant discrimination of *S. littoralis* within- and between-plant species. The specific objectives were:

- Identify whether male and female within-species host-plant choice is influenced by the physiological changes in the plant due to herbivory.
- Assess the consequences of damage-induced host plants on the preference hierarchy in males and females (between-species host-plant choice).
- Analyse the odour emission of the host-plant states (damaged and undamaged) to identify key compounds responsible for the potential behavioural shifts.
- Evaluate key compounds and assess their influence on the behaviour of males and females.

The second part of this thesis (paper II) explores whether TGP influences host-plant choice behaviours in *S. littoralis*. The following objective was:

- Investigate whether TGP influences host-plant choice, increasing the salience for the plant experienced by the parent, in first-instar larvae and ovipositing females.
The third part of this thesis (papers II and III) investigates the influence of transgenerational effects on offspring development and reproductive output. The following objectives were:

- Investigate whether the influence of parental diet, through transgenerational acclimatization, influences offspring development.
- Investigate whether reproductive output and survival are influenced by transgenerational acclimatization and interpret the role of the parental diet and its qualities (nutrition and secondary metabolites).
4. Methods

The following chapter will give a brief overview of the methods used in the compiled studies (papers I-III). For a more detailed methodology, check the corresponding paper.

4.1 Rearing procedures of model organisms

4.1.1 *Spodoptera littoralis*

The generalist insect *S. littoralis* studied in this thesis was reared in the laboratory at the facilities in SLU Alnarp. The colony originated from the vicinity of Alexandria, Egypt where pupae were collected in 2008. The colony was reinvigorated throughout the years with new individuals originating from the same geographical area. Insects in the general rearing were reared on a potato-based artificial diet (Hinks & Byers 1976) at 25 ± 2°C, RH 65 ± 2% with 17:7 h L:D cycles in their larval stage and 25 ± 2°C, RH 50 ± 2% with 16:8 h L:D cycles in their adult stage (paper I). In papers II and III, RH in the adult chamber was increased to 65 ± 2%. Males and females were separated based on pupal sexual dimorphism.

4.1.2 Host plants

Four host plants of *S. littoralis* were used throughout the thesis (table 1). All the plants were grown in a greenhouse for 5-6 weeks at 25 ± 2°C, 70 ± 5 % RH supplied with artificial light at 16:8 h L:D cycles. Damaged plants that were used in paper I had been subjected to herbivory by adding five larvae in their third instar to one of the leaves of the plant for 24 hours. Plants subjected to damage were used for experimental purposes six days after initiation of herbivory. The particular plant species were chosen on the premise that they had different nutritional value as a host, regarding insect
development, but also due to the plant's position in the preference hierarchy of *S. littoralis*. To elaborate on this:

- **In paper I**, the three plants cotton, cowpea and cabbage were used as they are found on different levels of the preference hierarchy. The most preferred plant is cowpea, followed by cotton and lastly cabbage (Thöming *et al.* 2013). This allowed us to test whether herbivory by *S. littoralis* affected the preference among plants and thus the preference hierarchy.

- **In paper II**, the two plants cotton and cowpea were used in oviposition bioassays to test for transgenerational effects influencing behaviour. The plants were used on the premise that cowpea is preferred over cotton when individuals are reared on an artificial diet but if individuals are reared on cotton the preference is shifted to cotton (Thöming *et al.* 2013). Cotton was therefore used to test if it was possible to induce a preference for this plant transgenerationally. Furthermore, maize and cotton were used on the same premise for larval behaviour, both plants can be elevated in the preference hierarchy within species. *S. littoralis* also show differences in development on the two plants.

- **In paper III**, the three plants cotton, maize and cabbage were used. The first two were chosen based on previous experiments where cotton had shown transgenerational effects influencing larval development (**Paper II**, Rösvik *et al.* 2020). In addition, a third plant, cabbage, was added since *S. littoralis* does not prefer it as a host. This gave us three plants that were ranked differently in the preference hierarchy of *S. littoralis*.

### Table 1. Table of host plants and their respective cultivar or subspecies used throughout the thesis. A ticked box indicates the species and cultivar or subspecies used in that particular paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Cultivar/subspecies</th>
<th>Paper I</th>
<th>Paper II</th>
<th>Paper III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage (<em>Brassica oleracea</em>)</td>
<td>cv. Sunta</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton (<em>Gossypium hirsutum</em>)</td>
<td>cv. DeltaPineland 90</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowpea (<em>Vigna unguiculata</em>)</td>
<td>subsb. Unguiculata</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize (<em>Zea mays</em>)</td>
<td>cv. Golden nugget</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cv. Sweet nugget</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1.3 Artificial diets

The lab colony of *S. littoralis* was maintained on a potato-based artificial diet (Hinks & Byers 1976). In previous studies on *S. littoralis*, this artificial diet has been modified by adding secondary metabolites or removing nutrients e.g. protein, causing direct effects on insect development (Lhomme *et al.* 2018a). In paper III, three artificial diets from Lhomme *et al.* (2018a); (Lhomme *et al.* 2018b) were used to investigate transgenerational effects in *S. littoralis* since they represent modified nutritional qualities and the presence of secondary metabolites of host plants.

4.2 Behavioural assays

4.2.1 Larval behaviour

Arena-type approaches for testing insect response have been used extensively within chemical ecology research (e.g. Lelito *et al.* 2009; Wright *et al.* 2012; Kandasamy *et al.* 2019). In *S. littoralis*, the use of Petri dishes is a suitable arena, specifically for small neonate larvae (de Fouchier *et al.* 2018; Ljunggren *et al.* 2019). In paper II, behavioural transgenerational effects in neonate *S. littoralis* larvae were tested by using a Petri dish arena. In short, the first instar larvae were put in the centre of a Petri dish with two leaf discs on either side (cotton or maize). The larvae were then monitored for four hours and the first choice was noted.

Since *S. littoralis* females oviposit directly on the leaf surface, neonates hatch and are directly in contact with the host plant chosen by the mother. Although the female decides where the egg batches are put, larvae can make up for an erroneous choice through migration (Zalucki *et al.* 2002; Carrasco *et al.* 2015). In the case of *S. littoralis*, neonate larvae can use a ballooning behaviour, spinning a silk-like thread from which they can hang from the plant to become more mobile (Zalucki *et al.* 2002). In paper II, apart from the first choice of the larvae, the influence of transgenerational effects on migration behaviour was also tested to create a more natural setting compared to the petri dish arena. In short, a rectangular arena was used with two plant leafs (cotton or maize) provided with water to avoid drying out. The two leaves were put on opposite sides and a group of twenty larvae from different treatment backgrounds were put on one of the leaves. After three days, the number of larvae on each leaf was noted.
4.2.2 Adult male behaviour

Adult male *S. littoralis* utilize plant-odour cues in combination with pheromone from the conspecific female to locate suitable mating rendezvous sites (Borrero-Echeverry *et al.* 2018). The response towards various volatile cues has previously been tested through different methodologies in moths such as wind-tunnel experiments (Thöming *et al.* 2013; Borrero-Echeverry *et al.* 2015; Proffit *et al.* 2015; Borrero-Echeverry *et al.* 2018) and olfactometers (Hern & Dorn 1999; Vallat & Dorn 2005; Liu *et al.* 2022).

In paper I, to test male mating rendezvous site selection, a two-choice bioassay was developed using a y-tube olfactometer based on a previous setup by Jönsson (2005). In short, the males were exposed to two host-plant odours in combination with a synthetic pheromone solution based on two compounds released from females (estimated release 880pg/min (Z,E)-9,11-tetradecadienyl acetate and 9pg/min (Z,E)-9,12-tetradecadienyl acetate). These two compounds are important components in the Egyptian populations of *S. littoralis* pheromone blend (Saveer *et al.* 2014; El-Sayed 2023 and references therein). Charcoal-filtered air was pumped through the olfactometer, passing containers with intact plant and the pheromone wick-bait dispensers (see ‘synthetic blend bioassays’ section 4.2.4 for elaboration) and then into the glass y-tube where the odour plumes were intermixed at the conjunction where the two arms met. The male choice between the two odours (arms) was then monitored and a choice was considered once the male had entered one of the arms.

4.2.3 Adult female behaviour

Locating a suitable oviposition site is key for offspring survival and development in herbivorous insects such as *S. littoralis* (Jaenike 1978). From afar, they use olfactory cues released from plants and when nearby other types of information such as visual, mechanosensory and gustatory also influence whether the female accepts the potential host or keeps searching (Bernays 2001; Schoonhoven *et al.* 2005). This implies that in oviposition bioassays, olfactory cues alone are not solely representative of the oviposition choice of the female, but rather a combination of sensory information. In paper I, an oviposition cage assay was used to test for female host-plant acceptance (oviposition) in response to changes in the plant's physiological state caused by herbivory. In short, two plants of each treatment were put on either side of the cage (four plants in total). Five females and five males were then introduced to a mesh cylinder in the bigger cage to mate. After 24 hours the moths were introduced to the larger cage by opening the mesh cylinder. After 72 hours, the number of egg batches oviposited on either option was counted and weighed.
In paper II, to test for transgenerational effects of parental diet on offspring oviposition, a detached leaf bioassay was used. Previous studies in *S. littoralis* have shown that detached leaves give a similar oviposition response to that of whole plants (Sadek *et al.* 2010) and this assay has been commonly used in *S. littoralis* since (Anderson *et al.* 2013; Thöming *et al.* 2013; Proffit *et al.* 2015; Lhomme *et al.* 2020). In short, detached plant leaves were put in water-filled vials and put in opposite corners of the cage (two leaves per cage). A mated female was then introduced to the cage containing the detached leaves and allowed to oviposit for 72 hours. After that, the collected eggs were weighed.

The type of oviposition assay in papers I and II was selected due to the nature of the experimental question asked. In paper I, since the physiological state and influence of herbivory were investigated, a detached leaf assay was considered inappropriate since detaching causes lesions to the leaf. In paper II, a full cage bioassay seemed excessive since detached leaf assay studies had previously been used to check for host-plant preference between plant species and have been proven comparable to those of intact plants (Sadek *et al.* 2010).

### 4.2.4 Synthetic blend bioassays

To test the influence of olfaction on adult behaviour to fully understand which chemical cues influence the behavioural output, bioassays based on the addition of synthetic chemical compounds to plants are key within the field of chemical ecology (Thöming 2021). In the male and female behavioural experiments in paper I, synthetic compounds representative of damaged cotton plants were released using wick-bait dispensers. An undamaged cotton plant was used as a background odour source with a synthetic mixture of compounds representing the volatiles from the damaged plant released from the dispensers. The experiments were otherwise carried out as described in the ‘adult male bioassay’ section 4.2.2 and ‘female bioassay’ section 4.2.3 above.

Wick-bait dispensers, initially described in Lejfalk and Birgersson (1997) have been used widely to verify the influence of chemical compounds on behavioural output (Zakir *et al.* 2013a; Karlsson *et al.* 2017; Khan *et al.* 2023). In short, single compounds or a combination of compounds are dissolved in a solvent, placed in a dispenser (vial), and then emitted through a wick. The release rate of the compound or compounds present in the solution can then be modified by changing the concentration of the synthetic components added.
4.3 Plant-odour collection and analysis

Plants produce metabolites some of which are volatile (Pichersky et al. 2006). These are released from the plant tissue and provide information to the surrounding environment that can be used by other organisms (Nordlund & Lewis 1976; Dicke & Sabelis 1988). Identification of such VOCs is key in the field of chemical ecology to understand the complex interplay between plants and other organisms in the environment such as insect-plant interactions (Bruce et al. 2005). Different methodologies on how to entrap, identify and quantify the release of VOCs exist with various benefits and limitations (Tholl et al. 2006). In paper I, dynamic headspace extraction of VOCs released from S. littoralis host plants of various physiological stages was used. Plant foliage was enclosed in an oven bag and clean air was sucked through a charcoal filter into the bag containing the plant foliage and through an adsorbent (Porapak Q). Plant odours were collected for 15 hours and the volatiles collected were then desorbed using pentane. The headspace extracts obtained were injected on a gas chromatograph coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) were the volatiles released were tentatively identified. The GC columns separated the compounds present in the sample by their affinity to the stationary phase that the GC column is coated with. As a first step, this was done on a polar GC column (DB-WAX) and key compounds of interest for the synthetic bioassays were further identified using a non-polar GC column (HP-5) to fully verify the identifications made. The VOCs were first putatively identified by calculating retention indices based on known alkanes and the electron impact fragmentation pattern received from the MS, which are compared through NIST, Wiley or in-house libraries. Verification of the tentatively identified compounds were done through co-injection. Due to the wide array of compounds released from plants (Knudsen et al. 1993; Bruce et al. 2005), paper I focused on compounds known to be electrophysiologically active in S. littoralis (see appendix table A1 for reference list, paper I), i.e. compounds detectable by the insect.

4.4 Insect performance

Phytophagous insects are heavily dependent on the nutritional and chemical composition of their host plants for their development and fitness (Coley & Bateman 2006; Behmer 2009). In S. littoralis and other holometabolous moth species, the larval stage is responsible for acquiring food which not only influences the life-history traits of the larvae but also that of the adult (Pierce & Berry 2011; García-Robledo & Horvitz 2012). In the insect performance experiments, insects were kept in rearing chambers in the same
conditions mentioned in section 4.2.1 above. In both papers II and III, individuals were reared in a fully factorial design, creating four parent-offspring combinations in paper II (two had matching parent-offspring diets and two had mismatched diets). As for paper III, the factorial design created nine parent-offspring combinations in paper III (three had matching parent-offspring diets and six had mismatched diets). In paper II, insects were reared individually in cups while in paper III insects were reared in groups of ten in boxes. Additionally, in the mortality experiments in paper III, insects on plant diets were also reared singly in cups to decrease cannibalism on some plant diets. For insects reared on both plant diet and artificial diets, the individuals monitored throughout their development or used for experimental purposes were fed ad libitum.

4.4.1 Larval performance and survival
Survival, larval development time (time from hatching until pupation) and pupal weight are common proxies for fitness (Rieger et al. 2004; Beukeboom 2018). A fast development time could reduce the time exposed to e.g. natural enemies and parasitoids (Feeny 1976; Williams 1999) while a higher pupal weight is known to be positively correlated with reproductive output (Jiménez-Pérez & Wang 2004; Rhainds 2015; Beukeboom 2018). In paper II, the influence of transgenerational effects, due to parental and offspring diet, on larval development time and pupal weight were monitored. In paper III, individuals were followed from egg hatching until pupation and the number of surviving individuals was noted.

4.4.2 Reproductive output
The reproductive output is an important aspect of fitness in adult individuals. In paper III, the influence of transgenerational effects on reproductive output i.e. fecundity and fertility were monitored in adult individuals from different dietary exposures in the parental and offspring generations. This was accomplished by pairing adult males and females from the same parent-offspring diet combinations and of similar pupal weights in a mating box covered in paper. The mating boxes were then left for 72 hours before the eggs oviposited were removed and weighed. The weight of the eggs (mg) where then multiplied by 20 since previous studies have shown that 1 mg egg batch corresponds to 20 eggs (fecundity) (Sadek et al. 2010; Zakir et al. 2013a; Zakir et al. 2013b). All eggs were then left in individual cups for hatching. Approximately a week after the weighing, all the hatched larvae were dead and the cup containing the dead larvae was photographed. The
number of larvae (fertility) was then quantified using the image analysis platform Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012) and hatching success was calculated.
5. Results and discussion

5.1 Part I: Influence of herbivory on adult male and female *S. littoralis* host-plant preference hierarchies.

Both abiotic and biotic stressors impact the release of VOCs from plants, which serve as important indicators of plant suitability (Dudareva *et al.* 2013). During host-plant location, insects must be able to differentiate between odour profiles of hosts and non-hosts, as well as hosts of different suitability (Bruce *et al.* 2005; Bruce & Pickett 2011; Silva & Clarke 2020).

In **paper I**, we focused on the changes in odour profiles of *S. littoralis* host plants due to conspecific herbivory and its influence on adult behaviour. We found that, when tested within-plant species, males discriminated among damaged and undamaged plants in cotton and cowpea, but not in cabbage plants (figure 3a, **paper I**). On the other hand, females discriminated between the two states in cotton but not in cowpea or cabbage (figure 3bc, **paper I**). Interestingly, male and female behaviour towards the damaged and undamaged states differed, with males being attracted to damaged cotton and cowpea while females were deterred by damaged cotton (figure 3abc, **paper I**). To our knowledge, this is the first time that *S. littoralis* males show attraction towards plants subjected to herbivory. Sex-specific differences in behavioural output to damaged and undamaged host plants have been shown previously in other plant-insect systems with an attraction to damaged plants in males and a deterrence in females (Ballhorn *et al.* 2013).
Figure 3. Within-species choice of male and female *S. littoralis* between damaged and undamaged plants of three host-plant species. (A) Horizontal bar chart showing the percentage of males entering arms supplied with odour from damaged (striped) or undamaged (unstriped) plants in a two-choice olfactometer. (B) Horizontal bar chart showing the percentage of egg batch weight distribution and (C) number of egg batches on damaged (striped) or undamaged (unstriped) plants in two-choice oviposition experiments. Asterisks indicate a preference for a particular host-plant state (damaged or undamaged) when compared against no preference (50-50 distribution) (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). Figure from paper I.
The model insect *S. littoralis* has been found to rank host plants based on an innate preference hierarchy (Thöming *et al.* 2013) that can be modified by previous experience with plants and guide upcoming behavioural decisions (Thöming *et al.* 2013; Proffit *et al.* 2015). Based on this, we tested whether within-species changes in odour profiles due to herbivory influenced the preference hierarchy of the insect. By inflicting damage on high-ranked plants (cotton and cowpea) and testing male and female choice between a preferred host subjected to damage (cotton and cowpea) and an undamaged non-preferred host (cabbage). We found that males continued to show a strong attraction towards the high-ranked plant in both cotton and cowpea after damage (figure 4a, paper I). In females, however, the preference for cotton was reduced in terms of the number of egg batches laid (figure 4c, paper I) but not the total egg weight (figure 4b, paper I). When testing damaged cowpea against undamaged cabbage, the preference for cowpea was retained (figure 4bc, paper I). This demonstrates that the response to damage induction in the between-species experiments was host-plant dependent.
Figure 4. Between species choice of male and female *S. littoralis* between damaged plants high up in the preference hierarchy (cotton and cowpea) against undamaged cabbage (bottom of preference hierarchy). (A) Horizontal bar chart showing the percentage of males entering arms supplied with odour from damaged (striped) or undamaged (unstriped) plants in a two-choice olfactometer. (B) Horizontal bar charts showing the percentage of egg batch weight distribution and (C) number of egg batches on damaged (striped) or undamaged (unstriped) plants in two-choice oviposition experiments. Asterisks on the left side of the boxes indicate a preference for one of the plants and its state (damaged or undamaged) when compared against no preference (50-50 distribution) (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). Asterisks on the right side of the boxes indicate significant changes in the preference hierarchy when comparing how damage on a plant high up in the preference hierarchy influences behavioural outcome (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). Figure from paper I.
The next step was to verify whether differences in olfactory cues influenced the behaviours towards the damaged and undamaged plants. Volatile organic compounds known to be electrophysiologically active in *S. littoralis* (see appendix table A1 for reference list, paper I) were identified in the damaged and undamaged plants. In the cotton and cowpea plants, differences in the proportional abundance of VOCs between the damaged and undamaged states were found while this was not the case in cabbage (figure 5, paper I).

**Figure 5.** Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination of the volatile chemical composition of undamaged plants or plants subjected to herbivory based on Bray-Curtis distance, rotated by principal component analysis (PCA). Comparisons are based on permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on plant volatiles released from undamaged plants or plants subjected to herbivory (P<0.05 indicate significant values). Modified from paper I.
The change in odour profile between the damaged and undamaged plants did reflect the behavioural output where changes in behaviour and odour profiles were shown in cotton and cowpea but not cabbage for both males and females. The volatile profiles were then further analyzed using a Random Forest algorithm to identify a set of predictor compounds of a particular plant species and state. Out of the 18 compounds in cotton and the 24 compounds in cowpea, the Random Forest algorithm selected 4 compounds in cotton (α-humulene, β-caryophyllene, (E,E)-TMTT and linalool) and 1 compound in cowpea ((E)-2-hexenal) as predictor compounds for the damaged state (table 2, paper I).

**Table 2.** Predictor volatiles from the Random Forest analysis for the two plants that show differences in their odour profiles due to herbivory. The text in bold represents the compounds with higher proportional abundance in the damaged state. The absence of a compound e.g. lack of compound A in the damaged state compared to the undamaged state could also be a predictor for the damaged state compared to the undamaged state. Modified from paper I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Predictor VOCs</th>
<th>State with a higher proportional abundance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Damaged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>α-humulene</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-caryophyllene</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(E,E)-TMTT</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>linalool</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-cymene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α-pinene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-cymene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowpea</td>
<td>(E)-2-hexenal</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>limonene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α-pinene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Z)-β-ocimene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-pinene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>myrcene</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a

(E,E)-TMTT: (E,E)-4,8,12-trimethyl-1,3,7,11-tridecatetraene
To verify whether the volatiles representative of the damaged plant could explain the behavioural shifts seen in males and females, a synthetic blend containing the compounds representative of the damaged plants was emitted through wick-bait dispensers in behavioural assays with undamaged plants as a background. Undamaged plants were used with odours added to make them mimic damaged plants. This was done for the cotton system since we observed a behavioural shift in both males and females in this system. Interestingly, these experiments mimicking plant damage using a synthetic blend, showed a similar response to that of the plant experiments. Males showed an increased attraction to plants with the additional four compounds representing the damaged state (figure 6a, paper I). Contrarily, females showed a reduced number of egg batches oviposited (figure 6c, paper I) while a trend was shown for the egg batch weight (figure 6b, paper I) when the four compounds representing the damaged state were added.

Figure 6. Behavioural response of *S. littoralis* to undamaged cotton plants supplied with a four-compound solution representative of the damaged cotton state or a solvent (control). (A) Horizontal bar chart showing the percentage of males entering arms supplied with the four-compound solution (striped) or solvent (unstriped) in a two-choice olfactometer. Asterisks indicate a preference for a specific odour source (Exact binomial test, *P < 0.05). (B) Horizontal bar charts showing the percentage of egg batch weight distribution and (C) number of egg batches on plants supplied with the four-compound solution (striped) or solvent (unstriped) in two-choice oviposition experiments. Asterisks indicate a preference for one of the plants (supplied with the four-compound solution or solvent) when comparing towards no preference (50-50 outcome) (*P < 0.05, **P <0.01). Figure from paper I.
Altogether, these findings show that males and females perceive differences in odour profiles due to previous herbivory in different ways, showing contrasts in behavioural output. Furthermore, the female preference hierarchy is also influenced due to previous herbivory, causing her to adjust how she distributes her egg batches, laying fewer egg batches on cotton plants subjected to herbivory while allocating more egg batches on cabbage, the plant that is generally not preferred by ovipositing females. The identification of the four predictor compounds that elicited the male and female behaviours could serve as semiochemicals where their potential in behavioural manipulation should be investigated.

5.2 Part II: Innate preference and the influence of previous experience on behaviour in a transgenerational context.

In insects, it has been suggested that previous experience within a generation could act as a facilitator for complex behaviours such as host-plant choice (Bernays 2001). Often referred to as ‘Hopkins host-selection principle’ (HHSP), it implies that larval experience is retained through metamorphosis and influences adult behaviour (Hopkins 1917; Barron 2001). Although HHSP has been widely debated since it was first postulated, evidence of insects utilizing previous experience to facilitate behavioural decisions does exist (Anderson & Anton 2014). Behavioural plasticity in insects makes them an interesting group of organisms not only from a within-generation perspective but also from a transgenerational perspective (Gowri & Monteiro 2021). Studies in other insect systems have emphasised the use of TGP, where information from host plants in one generation could influence the behavioural traits of upcoming generations (Gowri 2019). We therefore ventured out to test whether parental experience influenced both larval and adult behaviour in S. littoralis.

In the neonate larval experiments, we hypothesized that individuals would have an increased preference for the plant experienced by their parents. We found that neonate larval behaviour was not influenced by transgenerational effects in the first choice or migration experiments (figure 7ab, paper II). However, migration bioassays showed that the first instar larvae were able to discriminate between the two host plants based on their innate preference hierarchy (figure 7b, paper II). When put on maize, a high proportion of the larvae migrated towards cotton. Contrarily, when larvae were put on cotton, most individuals tended to stay.
**Figure 7.** Host-plant choice in first instar larvae of *S. littoralis*. (A) Bar chart showing first instar feeding preferences between maize (light green bar) and cotton (dark green bar) based on parental dietary background. (B) Box plot showing indices of first instar migration from plant material ranging from 0 (no migration) to 1 (migration of all the larvae) between maize (light green bar) and cotton (dark green bar) based on parental dietary background. Different letters indicate significant between treatment differences (P<0.05), NS indicate non-significant. Figure modified from paper II.

In the adult oviposition bioassay, we hypothesized that if TGP were guiding adult oviposition behaviour, a shift in preference towards the food plant experienced in previous generations would occur. We therefore reared larvae in the first (F0) generation on an artificial diet, which shows *S. littoralis* innate preference for cowpea in comparison to cotton. From the second until the fourth generation (F1-F3), we reared *S. littoralis* on cotton, hypothesising that this would influence oviposition behaviour transgenerationally and shift preference from cowpea to cotton. In the fifth generation (F4), individuals from the rearing line were put on either an artificial diet or cotton. The oviposition preference of each generation was then tested in comparison to the F0 generation. Since there was no difference in the fifth (F4) generation reared on an artificial diet compared to the first (F0) generation, but the oviposition preference of all generations (F1-F4) reared on cotton differed significantly, the interpretation of this was that adult oviposition behaviour is guided by WGP rather than TGP (figure 8, paper II).
These results suggest that *S. littoralis* use their innate preference hierarchy in combination with WGP rather than TGP to guide host-plant location.

**Figure 8.** Effects of larval diet experience in a transgenerational context on oviposition preference of the five generations (F0–F4) reared on either the artificial diet (beige bars) or cotton (dark green bars) when given a choice of cotton (> 0) or cowpea (< 0) plants. Asterisks indicate significant difference to F0 (P < 0.05), NS indicate non-significant. Figure modified from paper II.

5.3 Part III: Diet-mediated transgenerational effects on larval survival, development and adult reproductive output.

Previous studies have emphasised the important connection between WGP and that of TGP where the two are believed to be of particular importance in Lepidopterans (Woestmann & Saastamoinen 2016). It has been proposed that TGP should be favoured in insects that could use previous experience within a generation to facilitate host-plant choice since this would increase the chances of parent-offspring dietary environment to match (Cahenzli *et al.* 2015). The increased chance of a matching host-plant environment between parent and offspring could hence facilitate transgenerational acclimatization, where parents adapt their offspring to the experienced environment of the parent (Mousseau & Fox 1998b; Marshall & Uller 2007; Munday 2014). In papers II and III, we investigated whether transgenerational acclimatization influenced life-history traits in *S. littoralis*.

In paper II, the larval development experiments showed a transgenerational effect influencing larval pupal weight when parent-offspring diets were matched compared to unmatched in cotton (figure 9a paper II). In individuals reared on maize with a matching parental diet, we found no increase in pupal weight compared to individuals on a mismatched
diet (figure 9a, paper II). No influence on larval development time was seen (figure 9b, paper II). Furthermore, larvae reared on cotton had a faster development time and higher pupal weight compared to larvae reared on maize, irrespective of parental diet (figure 9ab, paper II). The lower pupal weight and delayed development time on maize compared to cotton indicate that cotton is the more optimal diet of the two. In addition, the increased migration of larvae from maize to cotton, in comparison to the migration from cotton to maize, further points towards differences in suitability as hosts. This indicates that transgenerational acclimatization and its effect on development is context-dependent in *S. littoralis*. Moreover, traits related to host-plant suitability, e.g. nutritional, and secondary metabolites, might be an influencing factor.

**Figure 9.** Transgenerational effect on development in *S. littoralis*. (A) Boxplots showing pupal weight and (B) development time in days of individuals reared on maize (light green boxes) or cotton (dark green boxes) with parents reared on either matching or mismatching diets. Different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05). Figure modified from paper II.

In paper III, we further investigated the role of transgenerational effects but this time on reproductive output and survival, with an emphasis on transgenerational acclimatization in the offspring when reared on a matching diet to that of the parent. We found no evidence of transgenerational acclimatization influencing reproductive output. Moreover, individuals who were reared on a matching diet to that of the parent suffered a decrease in fecundity compared to those reared on a mismatched diet, although this was only the case in plant diets (Figure 10, grey boxes, paper III), but not for artificial diets (figure 11, grey boxes, paper III). Furthermore, no signs of
Transgenerational acclimatization were seen in mortality for either plant or artificial diets (figure 12b and d, grey boxes, paper III), although, in the plant diets, individuals on maize had high mortality both in the parental generation and offspring generation (figure 12a and b, paper III).

**Figure 10.** Transgenerational influence on reproductive output in offspring generation (F1) on plant diets where (A) denotes the number of eggs laid, (B) hatching success and (C) total number of hatched larvae for the three respective diets cabbage, cotton and maize in a fully factorial design. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among parent dietary backgrounds within each F1 diet (P<0.05). Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences within a specific parent's dietary background across each F1 diet (P<0.05). Numbers in boxes represent the number of replicates. Grey boxes to the right correspond to contrasts between matching and mismatching diets, where “no” represents no transgenerational acclimatization and “opposite” represents an increased fitness on a mismatched diet. Modified from paper III.
Figure 11. Transgenerational influence on reproductive output in offspring generation (F1) on artificial diets where (A) denotes the number of eggs laid, (B) hatching success and (C) total number of hatched larvae for the three respective diets caffeine diet, low-nutrition diet and high-nutrition diet in a fully factorial design. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among parent dietary backgrounds within each F1 diet (P<0.05). Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences within a specific parent’s dietary background across each F1 diet (P<0.05). Numbers in boxes represent the number of replicates. Grey boxes to the right correspond to contrasts between matching and mismatching diets, where “no” represents no signs of transgenerational acclimatization. Modified from paper III.
**Figure 12.** Mortality in *S. littoralis* from first instar until pupation in (A) first generation reared on plants, (B) second generation reared on plants, (C) first generation reared on artificial diet, (D) second generation reared on artificial diet. In A and C, different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among the diets (P<0.05). In B and D, different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among parent dietary backgrounds within each F1 diet while uppercase letters indicate significant differences within a specific parent's dietary background across each F1 diet (P<0.05). The numbers above the boxes represent the number of replicates. Numbers in boxes represent the number of replicates. Grey boxes to the right correspond to contrasts between matching and mismatching diets, where “no” represents no signs of transgenerational acclimatization. Modified from **paper III**.
We hypothesise that the transgenerational effects seen on reproductive output and mortality could be explained by carry-over effects or transgenerational preadaptation. Thus, the nutritional qualities and presence of secondary metabolites in the parental diets may influence offspring reproductive output and survival in the plant diets (figure 10a-c and 12b, paper III), which is supported by the artificial diets (figure 11a-c and 12d, paper III).

Interestingly, the high mortality on the maize diet and the ability to use previous experience when reared on maize to elevate the plant in the preference hierarchy (Thöming et al. 2013) indicate that certain plant species could act as ecological traps where offspring are put in a suboptimal environment (Dwernychuk & Boag 1972). Other aspects, however, that influence survival in a natural system should not be overlooked, e.g. natural enemies (Bernays & Graham 1988; Khallaf et al. 2023) and cultivar of the plant (Chiriboga Morales et al. 2021). This shows that the use of previous experience in the parental generation could be a mechanism that facilitates female host-plant choice at the expense of the offspring, causing a parent-offspring conflict on host-plant suitability in terms of development (Garcia-Robledo & Horvitz 2012).
6. Concluding remarks and future perspectives

Plant-insect interactions in heterogeneous environments show a complex interplay that causes physiological shifts in both organisms involved. The Egyptian cotton leaf worm, *Spodoptera littoralis*, with its wide host-plant range, has been used as a model organism during the last decades to investigate generalist behaviour in plant-insect interactions. In this thesis, the aim was to disentangle how variation in host-plant odour cues influences the behavioural output of *S. littoralis* in a heterogeneous setting and the consequences the behavioural decisions have on behaviour and life-history traits in a transgenerational context.

In the first part of this thesis (part I; paper I), I studied how herbivory affected odour emission and host-plant choice in male and female *S. littoralis* in three of its host plants. I demonstrated, in a within-species context, that males were attracted to two out of the three host plants in their damaged state compared to undamaged plants, namely cotton and cowpea. Contrarily, females showed deterrence to one of these plants, damaged cotton. I then investigated how changes in behaviour due to herbivory influenced *S. littoralis* innate preference hierarchy in a between-species context. The male preference hierarchy was unaffected, while females had a decreased preference for one of their preferred host plants in its damaged state. To elaborate on changes in chemosensory cues as a potential mechanism for the observed behaviour, I analysed the odour profiles of the plants in their damaged and undamaged state. This showed that in plants in which we saw a behavioural shift, we also found alterations in their odour profiles between their damaged and undamaged states. From the odour profiles of damaged and undamaged plants, a subset of compounds were identified as unique for a particular plant state. I used the cotton system to verify that the subset of compounds identified for the damaged state was sufficient to mimic the behavioural shifts seen in males and females between the two plant states. Further investigation of the compounds eliciting the different behavioural
responses between the sexes is warranted in field conditions, where their potential as semiochemicals should be investigated, with a focus on repelling females while attracting males.

In the following part (part II; paper II), I investigated whether previous experience in the parental generation influenced the host-plant choice of both larval and adult females. I found no evidence of transgenerational effects influencing the behavioural output of *S. littoralis*, but rather that their innate preference hierarchy and previous experience within the generation facilitate host-plant location.

Finally, in the last part of the thesis (part III; papers II and III), I hypothesized that females might adapt their offspring to the plant which they had experienced through transgenerational acclimatization, increasing offspring fitness on that plant. I found that larval pupal weight was increased in individuals who were put on a matching plant of that of the parents on a good host plant (cotton) but not on a suboptimal host plant (maize). Interestingly, I found no evidence of transgenerational acclimatization influencing reproductive output or survival but rather the opposite. In the plant diets, when comparing matching diets against mismatching diets, offspring that had a matching environment to that of the parents had a lower fecundity compared to those where parent-offspring diets were mismatched. The transgenerational effects observed, although not acclimatory in nature, could potentially be explained through carry-over effects. Yet, studies on transgenerational effects and their impact on insect life-history traits remain limited. Given the contrasting results of its influence on larval development and adult reproductive output found in this thesis, further exploration is needed, where *S. littoralis* may serve as a promising model.
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Herbivorous insects pose a serious threat towards food security by reducing the yield and quality in cropping systems. The Egyptian cotton leafworm, with its scientific name *Spodoptera littoralis*, is one such herbivorous insect that is considered a problem. From its native range in Africa, this moth has spread to Europe and is now considered a quarantine pest. Its generalist nature makes it able to develop on a wide range of unrelated plants from over 40 plant families with at least 87 plants of economic importance. The ability to find and feed on such a large variety of plant species makes *S. littoralis* interesting not only from an agricultural perspective but also from an evolutionary and ecological perspective.

Like most herbivorous insects, the adult *S. littoralis* utilizes odour cues released from plants to locate suitable egg-laying or mating sites. The location of a suitable plant is of great importance, specifically for egg-laying females, since offspring are heavily dependent on their mother to allocate them to a plant on which they can develop and thrive. Due to its ability to sustain itself on many different, but far from all, plants, *S. littoralis* is up for a daunting task when it comes to locating its potential hosts based on the widely different odour cues released from plants in its surroundings. As if this was not a hard task on its own, the odour cues released from the plants can change with e.g. the time of the day, temperature, and damage from insect herbivores chewing on them, further complicating host-plant location. In part I of this thesis, we investigated how changes in the plant odour, due to herbivory, influenced host-plant choice in *S. littoralis*. We found that herbivory changed the odours of plants in some but not all plant species tested. In the plant species where the odours changed, we found an influence on both male and female behaviour where damaged plants attracted males while females were deterred.
Interestingly, in *S. littoralis*, previous experience from its larval stage is maintained throughout metamorphosis as larvae undergo their transformation into winged adults. The experience can then be used by the adult stage to facilitate host-plant location, increasing the preference for the plant experienced during their larval stage. Lately, there has been an increasing interest from researchers in whether previous experience in the parental generation could influence offspring behaviour. In part II, we therefore investigated whether previous experience in the parental generation influences offspring host-plant choice. We found no evidence of parental experience influencing offspring behaviour, either in larva or adult individuals. Instead, offspring behaviour was shown to depend on an innate preference that could be influenced by their own previous host-plant experience.

Due to the ability to use previous experience from the larval stage of the insect to facilitate host-plant location in the adult, the chances of the offspring ending up on a similar plant as their parents increase. It is believed that parents could prepare their offspring for the environment which they have experienced, increasing the offspring’s fitness in that type of environment. In part III of this thesis, we investigated whether parents could acclimatize their offspring to the host plant that they experienced, increasing the offspring's fitness on that type of host plant. We found that parents were able to acclimatize their offspring, producing offspring that were heavier when the offspring was reared on the same plant species as their parent. However, we found no evidence of such effects influencing offspring reproductive output but rather the opposite. Offspring that were put on a different plant than their parent showed an increased reproductive output. To disentangle the complex relationship between generalist insects and their surrounding plant community, future work with an emphasis on both intra- and transgenerational aspects is warranted.

Likt de flesta växtätande insekter använder S. littoralis sig av växtdofter för att hitta lämpliga äggläggnings- eller parningsplatser. Att hitta en lämplig värdväxt är av yttersta vikt, speciellt för honor, vars avkomma är i allra högsta grad beroende av att deras moder placerar dem på en växt där de kan utvecklas och frodas. Eftersom S. littoralis kan livnära sig på många olika växter, står den inför en svår uppgift när det gäller att hitta sina potentiella värdväxter baserat på de vitt skilda doftsignaler som växterna i dess omgivning avger. Som om detta inte vore en svår uppgift i sig, kan de doftämnen som frigörs från växterna förändras med t.ex. tiden på dygnet, temperaturen och skador som uppstår av bladtuggande herbivor, vilket ytterligare försvårar lokaliseringen av värdväxten. I del I av denna avhandling undersökte vi hur förändringar i växtens doft på grund av herbivori, påverkade valet av värdväxt. Vi fann att herbivori förändrade växtarnas doft hos vissa, men inte alla, testade växtarter. Hos de växtarter där dofterna förändrades fann vi även en påverkan på både hanars och honors
beteende där växter som blivit skadade av herbivorer attraherade hanar medan de avskräckte honor.

Intressant är att hos *S. littoralis* kan tidigare erfarenhet från larvstadiet bibehållas under metamorfosen där larverna omvandlas till bevingade vuxna. Denna erfarenhet kan därefter användas för att underlätta lokalisering av värdväxter hos den vuxna individen där preferensen ökar för den växten individen har erfarenhet av från larvstadiet. På senare tid har det funnits ett ökat intresse från forskare om huruvida tidigare erfarenheter i föräldragenerationen kan påverka avkommans beteende. Av denna anledning undersökte vi om värdväxterfarenhet i föräldragenerationen påverkade avkommans värdväxtval. Vi fann inga bevis för att föräldragenerationens erfarenhet påverkar avkommans beteende, varken hos larver eller vuxna individer. Istället visade det sig att avkommans beteende bygger på medfödda preferenser som kan påverkas av tidigare värdväxterfarenhet.

Genom att använda erfarenheter från insektens larvstadium för att underlätta lokaliserings av värdväxter hos den vuxna individen, ökar chansen att avkomman hamnar på samma växtart som sina föräldrar. Föräldrar antas ibland kunna förbereda sin avkomma för den miljö som de själva har upplevt, vilket resulterar i att avkommans framgång ökar i den typen av miljö. I del III av denna avhandling undersökte vi om föräldrar kunde anpassa sin avkomma till ett liv på den värdväxt som de själva växte upp på, och på så sätt öka avkommans förmåga att klara sig på den typen av värdväxt. Vi fann att föräldrar kunde anpassa sin avkomma på så sätt att deras vikt ökade när de föddes upp på samma växtart som föräldern. Vi fann däremot inga bevis för att sådana effekter skulle påverka avkommans reproduktionsförmåga utan snarare tvärtom, där avkomma som placerades på en annan värdväxt än föräldern hade en högre reproduktiv förmåga. För att ta reda ut det komplexa förhållandet mellan generalistiska insektsarter och deras omgivande växtsamhällen är det motiverat att framtida forskning betonar aspekter både inom och över generationsgränsen.
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Appendix

**Table A1.** Reference list of plant-derived compounds electrophysiologically active (electroantennography or single sensillum recording) on *S. littoralis* antennae found in the odour samples. Table from supplementary material paper I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-pinene</td>
<td>e, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myrcene</td>
<td>a, c, f, h, i, j, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eucalyptol</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-β-ocimene</td>
<td>a, c, h, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-β-ocimene</td>
<td>a, c, h, i, j, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linalool</td>
<td>a, c, d, e, h, i, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-DMNT</td>
<td>a, c, d, i, j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-3-hexenyl acetate</td>
<td>a, c, d, h, i, j, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-3-hexen-1-ol</td>
<td>a, c, d, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl salicylate</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzaldehyde</td>
<td>b, d, h, j, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)-4,8,12-trimethyl-1,3,7,11-tridecatetraene</td>
<td>c, d, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)-α-farnesene</td>
<td>c, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indole</td>
<td>c, d, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-caryophyllene</td>
<td>c, d, e, i, j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-humulene.</td>
<td>c, d, e, i, j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-2-hexenal</td>
<td>c, d, e, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-pinene</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonanalan</td>
<td>e, h, j, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sabinene</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-carene</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-cymene</td>
<td>f, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-terpinolene</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methylbutyl ethanoate</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>camphene</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-phellandrene</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electrophysiologically-active compounds include compounds that have been shown to give an electrophysiological response reported in either single sensillum recording or electroantennography from *S. littoralis* antennae.
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Plant-Induced Transgenerational Plasticity Affecting Performance but Not Preference in a Polyphagous Moth
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Environmental variation experienced by a single genotype can induce phenotypic plasticity in various traits, such as behavioural, physiological and developmental characteristics. It can occur within the lifetime of an individual through within-generation phenotypic plasticity (WGP) or vertically across generations through transgenerational phenotypic plasticity (TGP). However, knowledge about TGP and the co-occurrence of WGP and TGP is still limited. In insect host-plant selection, the ability to alter phenotypic traits through WGP is well documented while the importance of TGP and the possible co-occurrence between the two is largely unknown. Host-plant selection of both larvae and adults of the polyphagous moth Spodoptera littoralis can be modified by previous experience through WGP. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate if parental host-plant experience can influence host-plant choice behaviour and performance of S. littoralis offspring through TGP. For this, we tested effects of rearing parents on different host plants on the offspring’s first instar larval migration and host plant choice, larval development and adult oviposition. A transgenerational effect on larval development was found, with increased pupal weight on a matching host-plant diet to that of the parent, when larvae were reared on cotton (good larval host plant) while no such effect was found on maize (poor larval host plant). These findings indicate that TGP of S. littoralis progeny development traits may only occur under favourable conditions. Parental diet did not affect larval host plant choice or migration. Furthermore, no effect of parental diet was found on offspring oviposition behaviour, indicating that adult female host-plant selection is governed by innate preference hierarchy and WGP, rather than TGP. Thus, parental diet may influence offspring performance but not behaviour, indicating that WGP is most important for host-plant selection behaviours in S. littoralis, but TGP can affect progeny development. If so, the importance of different types of plasticity may vary among traits of S. littoralis associated with host plant utilisation.
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INTRODUCTION

Phenotypic plasticity is the ability of individual genotypes to modify traits, such as physiological, morphological and behavioural characteristics, quickly in response to biotic and abiotic environmental variation (West-Eberhard, 1989; Agrawal, 2001a; Whitman and Agrawal, 2009). It can increase the fitness of individuals in their experienced environments (Lande, 2009), and may include changes in both behaviour and development within the lifetime of an organism. Such within-generation plasticity (WGP) has been observed in diverse taxa, and theoretical models of the phenomenon have been widely supported with empirical data (West-Eberhard, 1989; Lande, 2009). Furthermore, phenotypic traits can be transferred vertically across generations through transgenerational plasticity (TGP), a non-genetic process that has been described in various ways, e.g., as parental effects, maternal effects, paternal effects, non-genetic inheritance, epigenetic inheritance, and prenatal learning (Mousseau and Fox, 1998; Uller, 2008; Bonduriansky et al., 2012; Peralta Quesada and Schausberger, 2012). TGP has been documented in plants (Herman and Sultan, 2011), vertebrates (Salinas and Munch, 2012), and invertebrates (Mousseau and Fox, 1998). However, there is still much less evidence of TGP than WGP, and theoretical models of TGP are not well supported by empirical data. Thus, there are substantial gaps in knowledge of TGP’s roles and importance (Bonduriansky et al., 2012). Some empirical support for TGP in morphological and physiological traits has been reported (Herman and Sultan, 2011; Donelson et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2019). For example, herbivory of Raphanus raphanistrum plants may increase their offspring’s leaf trichome density (Agrawal et al., 1999; Agrawal, 2001b) and changes in defensive features, earlier maturation and increased reproductive output have been observed in progeny of Daphnia parents exposed to predator cues (Agrawal et al., 1999; Walsh et al., 2015). Effects of parental experience on offspring behaviour have also been found, for example, fear conditioning in mice (Dias and Ressler, 2014) and feeding preferences of predatory mites (Peralta Quesada and Schausberger, 2012). However, although behaviour is considered to show high phenotypic plasticity, and organisms’ behavioural traits are often the first to change in response to environmental changes (West-Eberhard, 1989), effects of TGP on behaviour have received less attention.

Predictive models have indicated that both WGP and TGP are favoured by spatial and temporal environmental heterogeneity, environmental cues that are reliable predictors of upcoming environmental conditions, and low costs of plasticity (Uller, 2008; Bonduriansky et al., 2012; Dury and Wade, 2019). However, there are differences in the theoretical frameworks regarding the kinds of conditions that favour WGP, TGP and their possible co-occurrence. Studies on Daphnia spp. have supported the decoupling of WGP and TGP, indicating that selective pressures tend to favour either one or the other (Walsh et al., 2015, 2016). It has also been argued that if either WGP or TGP can optimise a trait there is no need for a combination of the two (Donelson et al., 2018). Contrarily, other models have suggested that WGP and TGP can co-exist and that information from environmental cues can be integrated for a specific phenotypic trait (Leimar and McNamara, 2015). Empirical support for this theory has been provided, e.g., by indications of their co-occurrence in Daphnia defence mechanisms and development (Agrawal et al., 1999; Mikulski and Pijanowska, 2010) and drought adaptations of Polygonium persicaria (Sultan et al., 2009). Furthermore, it has been suggested that species with high ability to express WGP should also have high ability to express TGP (Woestmann and Saastamoinen, 2016), thus potentially favouring their co-occurrence.

Behavioural changes in foraging and host-finding induced by WGP have been well documented in various insects, including parasitoids (Turlings et al., 1993), honeybees (Menzel and Müller, 1996) and herbivores (Anderson and Anton, 2014). There is also evidence of insects’ morphological and physiological traits being altered by TGP (Woestmann and Saastamoinen, 2016; Donelson et al., 2018). For example, parental exposure to UV light has been shown to affect wing coloration of offspring of the butterfly Papilio polytes (Katoh et al., 2018). Moreover, feeding on host plants of similar quality to plants their parents fed on has been found to promote development of offspring of both Coenonympha pamphilus and Pieris rapae (Cahenzli and Erhardt, 2013; Cahenzli et al., 2015). In addition, a study on the moth Bicyclus anynana showed that offspring preference for a synthetic odour was increased if the parents were reared on plant material coated with high doses of the same odour (Gowri et al., 2019). However, studies on effects of TGP on behaviour, particularly host-plant choice, using natural plant material are still lacking.

The Egyptian cotton leaf worm, Spodoptera littoralis Boisduval (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a generalist phytophagous insect (Pogue, 2002), with an innate preference hierarchy for host plants that can be modified by WGP in both larval and adult stages. Larval host plant feeding experience has been found to induce a preference for the experienced host plant in later larval stages (Carlsson et al., 1999), and subsequent adult moth oviposition and mating behaviour (Anderson et al., 2013; Thöming et al., 2013; Proffit et al., 2015). Moreover, mating experience affects subsequent reproductive behaviour of both male and female adults (Proffit et al., 2015). As the importance of WGP for host plant choice in S. littoralis is well established it provides a good model to investigate the occurrence of TGP and possible interactions between, and co-occurrence of, WGP and TGP. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate whether TGP induced by parental experience to host plants, can affect preferences and performance of the species’ offspring. First, we investigated whether first instar larval host-plant choice and migratory behaviour are influenced by parental diet. We then followed the performance of larvae reared on the parental host plant or a different plant in a cross-comparison experiment. Finally, we tested whether the oviposition preference of the offspring was influenced by the parental diet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plants and Insects

Plants of three species – cotton (Gossypium hirsutum, cv. Delta PineLand 90, Malvaceae), cowpea (Vigna unguiculata subsp.
Unguiculata, Fabaceae) and maize (Zea mays, cv. Sweet Nugget, Poaceae) – were used in this study. They were cultivated until use (before flowering) in experiments in a commercial substrate (Kronmull, Weibull Trädgård AB, Hammenhög, Sweden) in 1.5 L pots for 5–6 weeks at 25 ± 2°C, 70 ± 5% RH in a greenhouse with artificial light provided by Osram Powerstar HQI-T, 400 W/D lamps in 16:8 h L:D cycles.

The rearing strain of S. littoralis was founded from moths collected in Alexandria, Egypt, in 2008 and has been regularly refreshed with new wild-collected specimens from Egypt. Larvae were fed a potato-based artificial diet (Hinks and Byers, 1976) and kept at 25 ± 2°C, RH 65 ± 2% with 17:7 h L:D cycles. Adults were kept at 25 ± 2°C, RH 50 ± 2% with 16:8 h L:D cycles. Adult males and females were separated at the pupal stage and kept separate until mating.

Adult Oviposition Preference Rearing Procedure

The hypothesis that parental experience of this moth may affect oviposition preference of the offspring was tested in a four-generation rearing experiment, as follows. First-generation (F0) insects were reared on the artificial diet. Resulting pupae were sexed and kept separate. Sugar solution was provided as an energy source for the merging adults. Two to three days after hatching, single couples were mated in the absence of plants (F0). Mating of all replicates was observed and directly after mating the females were introduced to a cage with cotton plants to lay eggs. The offspring was then fed on cotton and adult females were introduced to a cage with cotton plants to mate and lay eggs. This was repeated for three generations (F1–F3). Females of the fourth generation were introduced to either cages with cotton plants or in the absence of plants for mating and oviposition, creating two separate rearing lines. One, consisting of larvae from eggs laid on cotton, was kept on cotton for the fourth generation (designated F4 cotton) while larvae of the other line, from eggs laid in cages with no plants, were kept on artificial diet (F4 artificial diet).

Adult Oviposition Preference

In this experiment, the females were allowed to choose to lay eggs on either cotton or cowpea plants. Larval experience of feeding on cotton has been previously shown to induce a preference for cotton over the innate preferred cowpea (Thöming et al., 2013). Females that had not been exposed to plant odours as adults of F0, F1, F2, F3, F4 generations fed on cotton and F4 fed on artificial diet were mated with unexposed males from the same feeding background and put in mating cages (length and width 28 cm; height 29 cm, N = 25 per treatment) containing detached cotton and cowpea leaves in water-filled vials (diameter 2 cm, height 9 cm). Detached leaves were used because they have previously given comparable results to intact plants in preference experiments (Thöming et al., 2013). Females were left in the cages and were able to oviposit on the leaves for 3 days. Cages were checked for eggs on a daily basis and eggs oviposited on the plants were removed and weighed.

Rearing Procedure for Larval Behaviour and Performance Assays

Eggs produced by the parental generation (F0) reared on artificial diet were collected and placed in plastic boxes (width 24 cm, height 7 cm, depth 18 cm) until hatching. Hatched larvae were randomly divided into two groups, one of which was fed on detached maize leaves and the other on detached cotton leaves. The plants were chosen partly because third and fourth instar larvae have different innate preferences for them, and partly because they differ in suitability as hosts, with cotton being considered a good host and maize a poor host (Anderson et al. unpublished data). Larvae were reared in groups of 60 individuals. Males and females were separated at the pupal stage, then after emergence males and females were transferred to a mating cage where mating occurred in the presence of the larval host plant. Eggs deposited on the plants were then removed and left to hatch in plastic boxes with no plant material.

Larval Host-Plant Choice Assay

Naïve first instar larvae (F1), from parents reared on either cotton (N = 318) or maize (N = 326), were placed in Petri dishes (diameter 8.5 cm, height 1.5 cm), each containing a maize leaf disc and a cotton leaf disc (both 0.5 cm diameter). Leaf discs were placed 5 cm apart in diametric opposition. To avoid positional effects, alternate replicates were rotated at 180° with respect to the others, so the leaf discs had different orientations. Since S. littoralis is known to use olfactory cues for host-plant identification (Salloum et al., 2011), the first choice was noted when a larva had oriented towards one of the leaf discs and touched it. Twenty-four batches of thirty randomly selected larvae were used in the tests, to ensure that the behaviour could be successfully observed. Larvae that did not make a choice within 4 h were excluded from further analysis.

Migration Assay

Naïve first instar larvae (F1) from parents reared on either cotton or maize were put in boxes (length 24 cm, width 18 cm, height 7 cm) containing cotton and maize leaves placed in water-filled vials (diameter 2 cm, height 9 cm). The larvae were put on either the plant that their parent was reared on or the other one. This resulted in four possible combinations, designated cotton × cotton, maize × maize, maize × cotton and cotton × maize, where the first and second plants are those that the parents and offspring were reared on, respectively. There were 20 replicates of each combination except cotton-maize (19) and 20 larvae in each replicate. To avoid positional effects, alternate replicates were rotated at 180° with respect to the others, so the leaves had different orientations. After 72 h, the number of larvae on each plant was counted. Larvae that were not present on any of the plants were excluded from the experiment.

Performance Assay

Individual first instar larvae (F1), from parents fed on cotton or maize, were transferred to individual plastic cups (30 ml) containing either cotton or maize, creating four possible parent-offspring combinations (maize × maize, maize × cotton, cotton × maize, cotton × cotton: N = 80 in each case). Food
was provided *ad libitum* during their entire development, and their mortality, larval development time (period from hatching to pupation) and pupal weight were recorded. The larvae were checked daily during the later larval instars to see if pupation had occurred, and pupae were weighed 24 h after pupation.

**Statistics**

A preference index, based on the total egg weight oviposited on the two plants by each female in the adult oviposition preference assay, was defined as follows:

\[
\text{Adult oviposition preference index} = \frac{\text{egg weight on cotton} - \text{egg weight on cowpea}}{\text{total egg weight}}
\]

The index ranges from 1 (absolute preference for cotton) to −1 (absolute preference for cowpea), with 0 indicating no preference. Larval migration was calculated as the percentage of larvae that migrated from one plant to the other:

\[
\text{Larval migration} = \frac{\text{migrated larvae}}{\text{total number of larvae}}
\]

This variable ranges from 0 (no migration) to 1 (migration of all larvae).

The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to test the significance of between-treatment differences in non-parametric datasets, such as the female oviposition preference, larval migration and development time values (which did not satisfy the normal distribution null hypothesis of the Shapiro-Wilk test, at \(P = 0.009\), \(P < 0.001\) and \(P = 0.003\), respectively). Post hoc pairwise comparisons were conducted using Dunn’s test as implemented in the R package `dunn.test` (Dinno, 2017), with Bonferroni correction for the larval migration and development time. In the female oviposition bioassay, the response of the first generation (F0) was compared to the response of every other generation (F1–F4). To compensate for mass-significance, the P-values were multiplied by the number of relevant comparisons. A chi-square test was performed to assess the significance of preference differences in the larval host plant choice bioassay.

As pupal weight data obtained in the performance bioassay were not normally distributed (according to the Shapiro-Wilk test; \(P < 0.001\)) they were subjected to square-root transformation, then fitted using a linear model. Differences in pupal weight were analysed using ANOVA, with post hoc (Tukey’s HSD) pairwise comparisons implemented using the `glht` function in the multcomp R package (Hothorn et al., 2008).

A chi-square test was applied to assess the significance of between-treatment differences in mortality rates in the performance bioassay. In all tests, \(P < 0.05\) were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software version 3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019), and figures were created with the software packages `ggplot2` version 3.2.1 (Wickham, 2016) and `ggpubr` version 0.3.0 (Kassambara, 2020).

**RESULTS**

**Adult Oviposition Preference**

We detected significant between-treatment differences in adults’ host plant oviposition preferences (Wilcoxon signed rank test, \(Z = -14.456, P < 0.001; \text{Figure 1}\)). These included differences between the generation (F0) reared on the artificial diet and subsequent generations (F1–F4) reared on cotton (\(N = 25\) in each case, Dunn’s test: \(Z = -2.884, P = 0.019; Z = -3.749, P < 0.001; Z = -2.950, P = 0.016; \) and \(Z = -3.470, P = 0.003\)). In contrast, no difference in oviposition preference was found between the F0 and F4 generations reared on artificial diet (\(N = 25; Z = 1.240, P = 1\)).

**Larval Host Plant Choice**

Parental host plant experience had no significant effect on offspring host plant choice (\(\chi^2(1) = 0.585, P = 0.444; \text{Figure 2}\)). Offspring from parents reared on cotton (\(N = 318\)) choose maize 41% and cotton 59% of the time while offspring from parents reared on maize (\(N = 326\)) choose maize 44% and cotton 56% of the time.

**Larval Migration**

We detected between-treatment differences in migratory behaviour of first instar larvae (Wilcoxon rank sum test, \(Z = -6.782, P < 0.001; \text{Figure 3}\)). Larvae placed on maize migrated more frequently (on average 73% migration in the
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maize × maize treatment (N = 20) and 59% migration in the cotton × maize treatment (N = 20) than those placed on cotton (on average 4.5% migration in the maize × cotton treatment (N = 20) and 5% migration in the cotton × cotton treatment (N = 20)). This applied to offspring of parents reared on both maize (maize × cotton versus maize × maize, Dunn's test, Z = −5.783, P < 0.001) and cotton (cotton × cotton versus cotton × maize, Dunn's test, Z = −4.896, P < 0.001). However, the parental diet had no effect on the migratory behaviour of offspring larvae (cotton × cotton versus maize × maize, Dunn's test, Z = 0.069, P = 1; maize × maize versus cotton × maize, Dunn's test, Z = −0.744, P = 1).

**Development Time**

Development time from first larval instar to pupation differed between the treatments (Wilcoxon rank sum test, Z = −21.6, P < 0.001; Figure 4). Offspring on cotton developed faster than offspring on maize independently of parental diet [maize × cotton (N = 79) versus maize × maize, Dunn's test, Z = −7.154, P < 0.001; cotton × cotton versus cotton × maize (N = 77) versus cotton × maize (N = 76), Dunn's test, Z = −9.678, P < 0.001]. The parental diet did not affect the development time when offspring were reared on cotton (cotton × cotton versus maize × maize, Dunn's test, Z = −1.884, P = 0.179) or maize (maize × maize, cotton × maize, Dunn's test, Z = 0.752, P = 1).

**Pupal Weight**

Results of the larval performance bioassay showed that pupal weight differed between the treatments (LM, F = 389, df = 3/306, P < 0.001; Figure 5). Larvae reared on cotton had a higher pupal weight than larvae reared on maize, independently of...
In this study we found transgenerational effects of parental diet on larval development, but not the behaviour of progeny larvae or adults of *S. littoralis*. Larvae reared on cotton from parents reared on the same diet reached a higher pupal weight than larvae from parents reared on maize, but there was no difference in their development time. The difference observed between offspring reared on cotton with matching and mismatching parental diets could be explained by anticipatory transgenerational effects affecting offspring weight, e.g., through epigenetic modulations (Glastad et al., 2019) or vertically transferred symbionts (Paniagua Voirl et al., 2018). Increases in the fitness of offspring relative to parental fitness under matching conditions has been predicted in theoretical studies (Uller et al., 2013; Engqvist and Reinhold, 2016) and detected in both plants (Herman and Sultan, 2011) and vertebrates (Salinas and Munch, 2012). Anticipatory transgenerational effects have also been observed in invertebrates, including findings that offspring of the lepidopterans *Pieris rapae* and *Coenonympha pamphilus* developed best on food with the same nitrogen content as food that the parental generation had received (Rotem et al., 2003; Cahenzli and Erhardt, 2013). However, in our study a positive effect on offspring development was only found when offspring and parent diet was matched on the good host plant cotton, as no increase on offspring larval weight was found for offspring reared on maize with parents on a matching diet compared to the mismatching diet. When reared on a suboptimal host plant, the stressful environment limits the resources available for the parental generation and could reduce means of cue transfer through TGP to subsequent generations, thereby limiting the adaptive adjustment of the offspring (Uller et al., 2013). Effects of host plant quality have been observed in maritime pine, as Vivas et al. (2013) found that offspring of parents reared in benign conditions had higher pathogen resistance and growth rates than individuals grown in less favourable conditions. Furthermore, we have in *S. littoralis* found that on high quality food, larval olfactory experience is transferred to the adult through WGP and affect host plant choice while this does not occur on low quality food (Lhomme et al., 2018). Another possible explanation to the results could be silver spoon effects that allow parents from benign environments to give their offspring a heads start in life through transmission of abundant resources that would increase fitness independent of the environment of the offspring (Bonduriansky et al., 2012; Uller et al., 2013; Engqvist and Reinhold, 2016). In our study, we would expect that a silver spoon effect should increase the weight of offspring from parents reared on cotton irrespective of the larval diet, but we only found this effect on progeny that were fed cotton and not on those fed maize. Negative carry-over effects could potentially also be involved, we would then expect detrimental effects on the development of larvae with parents fed on maize. However, we detected no difference in pupal weight of offspring reared on maize related to the parental diet. Thus, we found no clear evidence for either silver spoon or carry-over effects.

The oviposition experiments revealed no transgenerational effects, as cotton versus cowpea preferences did not differ between females reared on the artificial diet after three generations on cotton and females of the first generation with no experience of plants during the larval stage. If transgenerational effects had influenced the oviposition preference, the females transferred to the artificial diet after three generations on cotton should have had a stronger preference for cotton than the first generation reared on the artificial diet. The oviposition results corroborate findings from our earlier studies that host plant selection of *S. littoralis* relies on an innate preference hierarchy
between host plants that is modified through WGP, where larval feeding experience induces a preference for the experienced plant (Thöming et al., 2013).

The migration assay clearly showed that there was a difference in the behaviour of the larvae on the two host plants. First instar larvae that were placed on maize migrated towards cotton at a much higher extent compared to the number of larvae migrating from cotton to maize. This is most likely due to that cotton is a more suitable host plant for larval development than maize (Anderson et al. unpublished data). The lower food quality of maize could induce larval movement and increase their search for an alternative host plant. A difference in migration behaviour has also been shown for larger larvae of *S. littoralis*, where more larvae were found to leave damaged cotton plants with induced defence than undamaged plants (Anderson et al., 2011). However, although *S. littoralis* larvae may actively migrate from less suitable plants, and WGP can strongly influence the species’ feeding preference (Carlsson et al., 1999; Salloum et al., 2011), we detected no effect of parental diet on migration behaviour of first instar larvae, or larval host-plant choice.

Recent genetic and mathematical models of WGP, TGP and their possible coexistence predict that the two types of plasticity can operate either separately or additively, depending on the environmental conditions (Lande, 2009; Ezard et al., 2014; Leimar and McNamara, 2015; Dury and Wade, 2019). Empirical support for this has been found in both plants and animals (Agrawal et al., 1999; Sultan et al., 2009; Mikulski and Pijanowska, 2010; Walsh et al., 2015, 2016; Katoh et al., 2018). The experiments reported here provided no evidence of TGP affecting the behaviour of *S. littoralis*, supporting the hypothesis that the two types of plasticity operate separately for a specific trait, as postulated by Walsh et al. (2015, 2016). Theoretical models of WGP, TGP and their possible co-occurrence indicate that WGP is the dominating type of plasticity (Kuijper and Hoyle, 2015; Leimar and McNamara, 2015). However, the developmental data obtained in this study show that transgenerational effects may be present in *S. littoralis* when environmental conditions are favourable, and there may be interactions between WGP and TGP under these conditions. Thus, WGP and TGP could potentially influence specific traits connected to host plant utilisation either separately or additively.

WGP may influence behavioural choices of *S. littoralis* more strongly than TGP because the associated cues are temporally closer to them than parental cues, and more accurate predictors of current availabilities and qualities of host plants (Kuijper and Hoyle, 2015). We have previously identified a sensitive period in the late larval instar of *S. littoralis* in which larval host plant experience modifies subsequent adult behavioural decisions, while early larval experience is not retained (Lhomme et al., in press). Thus, the information is gathered close to the adult stage, and the decision mechanism takes into account factors that affect larval development. Such WGP increases the salience of the previously experienced plant, reduces risks of mismatching conditions in the ovipositing female’s environment, and could make the transfer of parental experience through TGP redundant (Donelson et al., 2018). Furthermore, the host plant choice is under maternal control and she will likely lay her eggs on the same plant (through WGP), thereby reducing selective pressures favouring the evolution of TGP-mediated effects on the behaviour of offspring larvae. However, under such conditions, a transgenerational transfer of cues that increases the ability of the progeny to develop on that specific plant species could be very valuable and promote TGP of such traits.

In conclusion, this study suggests that TGP may modify the physiological state of *S. littoralis* offspring in a manner that enhances their performance on the parental host plant. However, such enhancement may only occur when conditions are favourable. The results also indicate that host plant-mediated behaviours of both adult females and first instar larvae are strongly influenced by innate preferences and WGP, but not by the parental diet. However, mechanisms underlying the higher pupal weight of offspring reared on cotton with a matching parental diet are still unknown, and further studies on anticipatory TGP, WGP and their possible co-occurrence in *S. littoralis* focused on these mechanisms in both favourable and unfavourable conditions are warranted.
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