This is an author produced version of a paper published in Animal. This paper has been peer-reviewed and is proof-corrected, but does not include the journal pagination.

Citation for the published paper:

Viklund, Å., Braam, Å., Näsholm, A., Strandberg, E., Philipsson, J. (2010) Genetic variation in competition traits at different ages and time periods and correlations with traits at field tests of 4-year-old Swedish Warmblood horses. *Animal*.

Volume: 4 Number: 5, pp 682–691. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731110000017

Access to the published version may require journal subscription. Published with permission from: Cambridge University Press



Epsilon Open Archive http://epsilon.slu.se

- 1 Genetic variation in competition traits at different ages and time periods
- 2 and correlations with traits at field tests of 4-year-old Swedish Warmblood
- 3 horses

- 5 Å. Viklund, Å. Braam, A. Näsholm, E. Strandberg and J. Philipsson
- 6 Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences,
- 7 SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden

8

9 Corresponding author: Åsa Viklund. E-mail: Asa.Viklund@hgen.slu.se

10

11

- Running head
- 12 Competition and young horse test results in Sweden

13

14 Abstract

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

For many years, the breeding value estimation for Swedish riding horses has been based on results from Riding Horse Quality Tests (RHQT) of 4-year-olds only. Traits tested are conformation, gaits and jumping ability. An integrated index including competition results is under development to both get as reliable proofs as possible and increase the credibility of the indexes among breeders, trainers and riders. The objectives of this study were to investigate the suitability of competition data for use in genetic evaluations of horses and to examine how well young horse performance agrees with performance later in life. Competition results in dressage and show

jumping for almost 40,000 horses from the beginning of the 1960s until 2006 were

2 available. For RHQT data of 14,000 horses judged between 1988-2007 were used.

Genetic parameters were estimated for accumulated competition results defined for

different age groups (four to six years of age, four to nine years of age and lifetime),

and for different birth year groups. Genetic correlations were estimated between

results at RHQT and competitions with a multi-trait animal model.

Heritabilities were higher for show jumping than dressage and increased with increasing age of the horse and amount of information. For dressage, heritabilities increased from 0.11 for the youngest group to 0.16 for lifetime results. For show jumping corresponding values increased from 0.24 to 0.28. Genetic correlations between competition results for the different age groups were highly positive (0.84-1.00), as were those between jumping traits at RHQT and competition results in show jumping (0.87-0.89). For dressage-related traits as 4-year-olds and dressage competition results the estimated genetic correlations were between 0.47 and 0.77. We suggest that lifetime results from competitions should be integrated into the genetic evaluation system. However, genetic parameters showed that traits had changed during the over 35-year period covered due to the development of the sport, which needs to be considered in future genetic evaluations.

Keywords: Riding horses, Dressage, Show jumping, Performance test, Genetic

parameters

1

## **Implications**

4

3

5 To estimate reliable breeding values of Swedish Warmblood horses and to 6 reduce bias due to pre-selection of horses for competition, it is important to 7 integrate all available information from both young horse tests and competitions. 8 Lifetime competition results are recommended and high genetic correlations were 9 estimated between results in competition and results from tests of 4-year-olds. The equestrian sport has changed during the 20<sup>th</sup> century, and this study shows 10 that competition results do not mean the same throughout the 35-year-long 12 period of recording. Future studies will investigate how to handle competition

data from different time periods in genetic evaluations.

14

15

16

13

11

### Introduction

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

For many years, the genetic evaluation for Swedish riding horses has been based on results from Riding Horse Quality Tests (RHQT) only (Arnason, 1987). This one-day field test was introduced in 1973 and about 18,000, i.e., about one-third of all 4-yearold horses, have participated so far. The aim of the test is to evaluate the overall quality of young sport horses. The major advantages of using young horse test data for genetic evaluations are that they include many and rather unselected horses and the traits show moderately high heritabilities. Therefore, breeding values for traits reflecting the breeding goal can be provided for both stallions and mares early in life, contributing to opportunities for faster genetic progress (Thorén Hellsten *et al.*, 2006).

An issue is the correlation with competition results. Thus, if the correlations are not high enough it may be inefficient or even counterproductive that selection is based on indirect traits rather than directly on competitions results in dressage and show

jumping at advanced levels, which constitute the real breeding objective as stated by

8 the Swedish Warmblood Association (SWB, 2008).

Since the 1970s, competition data for Swedish riding horses have been computerized. About 40,000 horses are now included in this database, which corresponds to about 30% of horses born. Because the breeding objective of the Swedish Warmblood is to produce internationally competitive horses in dressage and show jumping, it is of interest to investigate the suitability of competition data for genetic evaluation. In Germany, France, Ireland, Belgium and the Netherlands competition results are used to estimate breeding values (Koenen and Aldridge, 2002). The disadvantages of using competition data are the pre-selection of horses before entering competition, the often long time before competition results become available, that competition data are more affected by training conditions, resulting in the generally low heritabilities of competition traits (Ricard *et al.*, 2000).

In Sweden, horses can start to compete in dressage and show jumping at the age of four years. Still, only few horses compete before the age of five years, and they do not

reach more advanced levels until several years later. One question is whether early 2 performances in competition express the same, or genetically correlated, traits as later performance at mature age. If they do, selection on early competition is possible and 3 4 breeding value estimation can be made already at lower ages. Studies on other horse

populations have shown that young horse performance in competition is highly

correlated to later performance (Thorén Hellsten et al., 2006).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

5

6

1

In a recent study of RHQT data, Viklund et al. (2008) found differences in genetic parameters between time periods of testing horses indicating a change of the horse population by time. The competition data come from the early 1960s. Since then, both the sport and horse population has expanded considerably. Competition has also evolved through time due to technical changes of competition. Therefore it could be hypothesized that competition traits have not been the same throughout the whole period.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

To integrate all information into an overall index it is necessary to know the correlations between early performance at RHQT and competition results. Earlier studies on limited data of horses that participated in RHQT between 1973 and 1986 have indicated clearly positive correlations between RHQT and lifetime performance in dressage and show jumping (Wallin et al., 2003). In other European Warmblood horse populations, strong positive genetic correlations between young horse test and competition have been estimated as well (Thorén Hellsten et al., 2006; Lührs-Behnke et al., 2006a and 2006b; Ducro et al., 2007). The question is what the effects are of the changes both in the genetically constitution of the population, and in the sport

during the last few decades.

3

5

6

2

4 The objectives of this study were to investigate the suitability of competition data,

collected during four decades, for genetic evaluation of riding horses, and to examine

how well young horse performance, both at RHQT and early competitions, agree with

7 competition performance later in life.

8

9

Materials

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

10

Competition data

13 The Swedish Horse Board and the Swedish Equestrian Federation supplied the

competition data. Results from Swedish riding horse competitions from 1961 to 2006

were available. The data comprised 38,707 horses born between 1953 and 2002

which had competed in dressage or show jumping. Of these, 29,564 horses were in

show jumping and 15,396 horses in dressage. Thus, more than 6000 horses had

competed in both disciplines. The distribution between males and females were

22,428 and 16,279, respectively. The sex distributions differed in the two disciplines;

in dressage 34% were females and in show jumping 45% were females.

21

23

Horses that are placed, i.e. are among the 20% best in each competition, receive

"upgrading" points. A horse receives more points either for a better placing or at a

more advanced level, or both. The purpose of this point system is to rank horses and exclude those with a certain number of points from participating at that same level again, and this is regulated by the Swedish Equestrian Federation. The number of starts was not recorded before 1983 for regional competitions, and the routines changed again in 1991, when only starts at the national level were recorded. Horses that had started in a competition, but had neither been placed nor received points, were given a zero result (24% of the dressage horses and 18% of the horses in show jumping). The data for this study consisted of summarised annual records and thus not results of individual competitions.

The expansion of the sport is illustrated by the average proportion of horses born in different periods that were placed in competition. During the period up to 1983 on average 2200 horses were born per year of which 16% were placed in competition during their lifetime. Between 1984 and 1991, 4000 horses were born annually of which 29% had achieved at least one placing in competition. Almost 3500 horses were born per year during 1991 and 2002, of which 30% had been placed in competition until 2006. Of horses aged 7 years or older at the end of data collection in the last birth year period (horses born between 1992 and 1999), 37% had been placed in competition.

Performance traits were in this study defined as accumulated number of points and accumulated placings across all years, and the ratio between those traits (points per

placing). The last trait indicates the level of successful performance of the horses but

does not give credits for longevity in competition.

Table 1.

The results for each discipline were divided into three different age groups: accumulated results until aged six years, nine years, and lifetime results, i.e. including all results until 2006. The distribution of the competition traits was skewed and the traits were transformed with a 10-logarithm to an almost normal distribution, but with a slight excess of low and high values (Gelinder, 1999). To make it possible to calculate the log value for horses with a zero value the number 1 was added to the result before transformation. The number of horses in each age group, and means and standard deviations for the competition traits transformed with 10-logarithm are presented in

Competition data divided into groups by birth year

To investigate whether the competition traits changed during the long period of recording, the data were grouped according to the birth year of the horses. The same grouping criteria as in an earlier study on RHQT data were used (Viklund *et al.*, 2008). The breaking points refer to periods of certain changes, i.e. a strongly increased genetic progress, and the use of imported stallions or imported semen. Group 1 included horses born until 1983, resulting in 13,245 horses in show jumping and 7467 in dressage. Group 2 included horses born between 1984 and 1991 (7285 in show jumping and 4219 in dressage). Group 3 included horses born between 1992 and 2002 (9034 in show jumping and 3710 in dressage). The horses were sired by

stallions that had offspring in the different birth year groups. For example, 86 stallions

had offspring in all three groups and 358 stallions had offspring in two groups ensuring

genetic connectedness across the groups (Table 2).

4

2

3

5 Riding Horse Quality Test data

6 Data on horses scored in the RHQT were supplied from the Swedish Horse Board and 7 the Swedish Warmblood Association. Results for 14,006 horses that had participated 8 in RHQT from 1988 to 2007 were used. Most of the horses were 4 years old, but also 9 792 5-year-old mares that had foaled as 4-year-olds were included. In total, 6497 10 mares and 7509 stallions and geldings were judged. Conformation consisted of five 11 subtraits: type, head-neck-body, correctness of legs, walk and trot at hand. The 12 horses also got a total conformation score which was the sum of the five subtraits. In a riding test, individual gaits were judged. The score for jumping consisted of either free 13 14 jumping, jumping under rider or an average of both whenever both were registered 15 (changes were made over the 20-year period of recording). For both gaits and jumping 16 the horses also received a temperament score. All traits were scored between 1 (very 17 poor) and 10 (excellent). Viklund et al. (2008) give a detailed description of the traits. Means, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values for the different traits 18

20

23

19

21 Competition results and Riding Horse Quality Test

scored in RHQT are given in Table 3.

22 To estimate the genetic correlations between competition traits and traits judged at

RHQT the above described two datasets were merged. Only lifetime results in

1 competition were considered when estimating correlations with results in RHQT.

2 Almost 50% of the horses that were judged in RHQT, i.e., 6671 horses, had

competition results in dressage or showing jumping.

### **Methods**

Statistical analysis

9 Analysis of variance for competition traits. An analysis of variance was initially 10 performed to test which effects to consider in the final genetic analyses, by using the 11 GLM procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2008). The effects of sex and birth year were tested. By considering birth year, all horses of the same age are given equal 13 opportunities in the sport although the sport has developed considerably during the 14 time period covered. The effect of rider was not tested because rider and horse were

confounded as most riders only compete with one or few horses.

The analysis of variance showed that the effect of birth year was highly significant for all competition traits (P<0.001). The effect of sex was significant for all dressage traits (P<0.001). For show jumping, the effect of sex was highly significant (P<0.001) for lifetime results and for points per placing in the age group up to nine years of age. The effect of sex was less significant (P<0.05) for accumulated placings in the age group up to six years. For the other show jumping traits, the effect of sex was not significant. The coefficients of determination (R<sup>2</sup>-values) for competition data showed that 10-37%

- of the variation could be ascribed to the model including both tested effects. Clearly
- 2 the effect of birth year had the greatest influence for all traits.

- 4 Estimation of genetic parameters. In accordance with results from the analysis of
- 5 variances, the following animal model was used for competition traits:

6

7  $y_{ijk} = birth year_i + sex_j + animal_k + e_{ijk}$  (Model I)

8

- 9 where  $y_{ijk}$  is the score transformed with 10-logarithm of each trait for kth horse; birth
- year<sub>i</sub> is the fixed effect of ith birth year (i=1953,..., 2002);  $sex_i$  is the fixed effect of the
- jth sex (j=male or female); animal<sub>k</sub> is the random effect of the kth horse ~ND(0,  $\mathbf{A}\sigma_{a}^{2}$ ),
- 12 and  $e_{iik}$  is the random ~IND(0,  $\sigma^2_e$ ) residual effect.

13

14 The following model developed by Viklund *et al.* (2008) was used for RHQT traits:

15

16  $y_{ijkl} = \text{event}_i + \text{sex}_i + \text{age}_k + \text{animal}_l + e_{ijkl}$  (Model II)

17

- where  $y_{ijkl}$  is the score of each trait for lth horse; event<sub>i</sub> is the fixed effect of the lth
- 19 combination of year and place of test (i=1,...,305); sex<sub>i</sub> is the fixed effect of the ith sex
- 20 (j=mare, gelding/stallion); age<sub>k</sub> is the fixed effect of the kth age (k=4 or 5 years of age);
- 21 animal<sub>l</sub> is the random effect of the *l*th horse ~ND(0,  $\mathbf{A}\sigma^2_a$ ), and  $\mathbf{e}_{iikl}$  is the random
- 22 ~IND(0,  $\sigma^2_e$ ) residual effect.

1 The models included the additive relationship matrix (A) with sire and dam

2 information. The pedigree of each horse with an observation was traced back seven

generations. In total, the pedigree file included 81,103 animals for analyses of

competition data, and 91,329 for the joint analyses of competition and RHQT data.

5 Genetic parameters and their standard errors were estimated by use of the average

6 information algorithm (Jensen et al., 1997) for restricted maximum likelihood included

in the DMU package (Jensen and Madsen, 1994; Madsen and Jensen, 2000).

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

7

3

4

Genetic parameters for competition results obtained for horses four to six years of

age, horses four to nine years of age, and lifetime results were estimated in trivariate

analyses. Correlations between results from the three birth year groups were also

estimated in trivariate analyses. In the analyses with birth year groups the residual

covariances were set to zero. Correlations between competition traits and traits in

RHQT were estimated in bivariate analyses including one competition trait and one

15 RHQT trait.

16

17

18

19

20

21

The pedigree completeness in the pedigree file was quantified by computing the

pedigree completeness index (PEC) of MacCluer et al. (1983). For competition horses

the average PEC value was 0.82. The average PEC values were 0.73 for horses in

birth year group 1, 0.85 for horses in group 2, and 0.90 for horses in group 3. The

average PEC value for horses participating in RHQT was 0.96.

22

### Results

3 Effect of sex

The fixed effects solutions from the genetic analyses of competition data showed that males (stallions and geldings) were more successful in competition than mares, and more so for dressage than for show jumping. The differences between the sexes were 0.65 (accumulated points) for lifetime results in dressage, and 0.13 (accumulated

8 points) for lifetime results in show jumping (not shown in a table).

Genetic parameters for competition traits

Heritabilities for dressage traits were low for all age groups, between 0.07 and 0.16

(Table 4). For show jumping the heritabilities were low to moderate, 0.12 to 0.28. For

both disciplines, higher heritabilities were estimated for competition results of horses

up to nine years of age and lifetime results than for the youngest group. In each age

group, accumulated points and accumulated placings showed higher heritabilities than

points per placing. The additive genetic variances were in general two to three times

higher for lifetime results compared to results of the youngest group.

Very high genetic correlations (0.84-1.00) were estimated between all age groups in both disciplines (Table 5). The phenotypic correlations were also high, especially between results from four to nine years of age and lifetime results (0.90-0.94). The genetic and phenotypic correlations between the different lifetime competition traits within discipline were very high, 0.91-0.98 and 0.97-1.00, respectively (Table 6). The

1 highest correlations were estimated between accumulated placings and accumulated

2 points.

3

5

6

4 For dressage traits the highest heritabilities were estimated for horses born between

1953 and 1983 (0.15-0.18), and for show jumping the highest heritabilities were

estimated for horses born 1992 and onwards (0.28-0.34) (Table 7). In both disciplines

7 the genetic variances were highest for horses born 1953-1983.

8

11

12

13

9 For dressage, the highest correlations (0.85-0.98) were estimated between birth year

group 1 and 2 (Table 8). The lowest correlations were estimated between group 1 and

3, except for accumulated placings where the lowest correlation was estimated

between group 2 and 3. For show jumping the highest genetic correlations were

between group 2 and 3 (0.97-0.98), and the lowest between group 1 and 3 (0.54-

14 0.71).

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Correlations between competition and RHQT traits

In Table 9 and 10, genetic and phenotypic correlations between competition results

and traits at RHQT are presented. Overall, the phenotypic correlations were, as

expected, lower than the genetic correlations. Gait traits judged under rider at RHQT

were positively genetically correlated to dressage competition (0.47-0.77). Genetic

correlations between dressage competition and conformation traits were also highly

positive (0.45-0.71), except for correctness of legs (0.15-0.22). There were slightly

negative to slightly positive genetic correlations between jumping traits at RHQT and

1 dressage competition results. Those traits were in general also phenotypically

2 unrelated.

3

6

8

4 Very high positive genetic correlations were estimated between jumping traits at

5 RHQT and show jumping (0.80-0.89). Between RHQT gait traits and show jumping the

genetic correlation was moderate for canter (0.33-0.39), but low for the other traits (-

7 0.01-0.23). Genetic correlations between conformation traits and show jumping were

moderate (0.19-0.34). Phenotypically, conformation and gaits at RHQT were largely

9 unrelated to show jumping results.

10

11

12

### **Discussion**

13

14

Choice of trait

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The competition data included mostly results from horses that had been placed in competition (76% of the dressage horses and 83% of the show jumping horses). This leads to a selection of the data because some horses compete but never or seldom achieve a placing. To get as unselected data as possible, all horses in each competition could be reported in a complete ranking, from the best to the worst horse. Then all horses contribute with information and the level of the competition can be determined. In Belgium, Germany and Ireland the trait rank in competition has been

used in genetic analyses for riding horses (Janssens et al., 1997; Hassenstein, 1998;

Reilly et al., 1998). These authors used different transformations to handle the trait,

e.g. the Blom score or the square root of placing. Ranking methodology is probably a

good approach although it may be anticipated that ranking in the top of a competition

4 is more accurate than among the bottom placed horses.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2

3

6 The heritabilities in this study were slightly higher than those of others (e.g. Huizinga

and van der Meij, 1989; Aldridge et al., 2000; Brockmann and Bruns, 2000; Lührs-

Behnke et al., 2006a and 2006b) and may be explained by the use of accumulated

results up to different ages. However, the comparison of results from different studies

is difficult because of the use of different definition of traits, transformations, age

groups and statistical models. In general, heritabilities for competition traits are low to

moderate. This is mainly because the traits are influenced by several non-genetic

factors as training and rider. The heritabilities for lifetime results in competition were in

the same range as earlier estimated by Wallin et al. (2003).

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Between the different traits within discipline, the genetic correlations were very high.

The highest correlations were between accumulated points and accumulated placings.

These traits are both measurements of how much and how well a horse has

competed. The trait points per placing, instead, is a measurement of what level the

horse has successfully competed at. If a single measurement were used, the

heritabilities and additive genetic variances estimated indicating the log transformed

accumulated points would be preferable.

23

### Statistical model

Data used in the present study covered a long period, during which opportunities for competitions changed considerably and the effect of birth year was shown to considerably affect the competition results. The horses born the first 20 years, 1953 to 1973, only corresponded to 10% of the horses in the competition data. Thereafter, the sport expanded, and the number of competing horses and competitions increased. Age at performance is often considered when analyzing competition traits (e.g. Huizinga and van der Meij, 1989; Reilly *et al.*, 1998; Ricard and Chanu, 2001; Lührs-Behnke *et al.*, 2006a and 2006b). In the present study accumulated results are used, which in combination with birth year leads to a comparison of horses with the same opportunity period for competition.

When using accumulated competition results, a horse could have results both as stallion and gelding. Therefore, only males and females were considered in the fixed effect of sex. Males were superior to females, which agrees with findings of Árnason (1987) and Reilly *et al.* (1998) in their studies of Swedish RHQT data and Irish show jumping competition, respectively. The heat cycles of the mares can influence the willingness to cooperate with the rider. The sex difference was larger for dressage than for show jumping indicating that the more uneven temperament anticipated for mares may influence the dressage results more. Moreover, the sex distribution in the dressage competition data (66% males) shows that riders prefer a stallion or gelding for this discipline.

### Pre-selection

Approximately 30% of the registered foals have competition records. This percentage was considerably higher than earlier reported by Wallin *et al.* (2003). The selection of competition horses is due to talent, interest, exportation, and use in breeding. Considering that the data mostly only included horses that had been placed in competition (i.e. among the 20% best in each competition), the percentage is probably comparable to the Dutch competition data, where 30% of registered foals were brought into dressage competition and 20% into jumping competition (Huizinga and van der Meij, 1989).

The pre-selection of horses for competition, and that the records largely refer to horses with placings, probably leads to a reduced additive genetic variance, which results in lower and underestimated heritabilities. Janssens *et al.* (1997) estimated genetic parameters for different datasets; one including all available horses in young horse jumping competitions (4 to 7 years of age) and another including only the 25% best ranked horses. The additive genetic variance and heritability were very low for the reduced material, 0.0018 and 0.0243 compared to 0.0902 and 0.0979 for the whole material respectively. The authors concluded that use of the reduced material for genetic improvement would be inadequate because of both lower genetic variance and fewer horses evaluated. However, in the present study the heritabilities for show jumping for horses up to six years of age were considerable higher than those estimated by Janssens *et al.* (1997) for the dataset with complete records (0.14-0.24

compared with 0.10). This indicates that the selection of the Swedish material may not

The higher heritabilities and additive genetic variances for show jumping than for

2 be as strong as in the Belgian data.

3

5

- 4 Differences between dressage and show jumping
- 6 dressage may partly be explained by more information from more horses that had 7 competed in show jumping. Furthermore, dressage riders may influence the horses 8 more by training for competition in dressage than show jumping riders, as Kearsley et 9 al. (2008) have shown for eventing horses. The results in dressage competition also 10 depend on subjective judgments from one or several judges, which increase the 11 residual variance, leading to lower heritabilities. The results from show jumping 12 competitions are considered to be more objective even though the influence from the 13 rider is also important. Higher heritabilities for show jumping than for dressage have been estimated in some studies (Huizinga and van der Meij, 1989; van Veldhuizen, 14 15 1997; Wallin et al., 2003). However, in other studies where genetic parameters have 16 been estimated for both dressage and show jumping, the heritability estimates have

20

17

18

19

21 Changes in competition traits

al., 2007).

22 When dividing the horses in the competition data into birth year groups, the

been higher for dressage (Hassenstein, 1998; Brockmann and Bruns, 2000; Lührs-

Behnke et al., 2006a and 2006b) or disciplines did not differ (Schade, 1996; Ducro et

23 heritabilities for dressage were highest for the group of horses born in the earliest

group (1953-1983) and lowest for the group of horses born in the last group (1992-

2 2002). The low heritability in the last group could be because these horses were

young, and for dressage horses the results at advanced levels come late in life. For

show jumpers, on the other hand, the results in competition are often achieved earlier

in life, and for these traits the highest heritabilities were estimated for the last birth

6 year group.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

3

4

5

8 The genetic correlations between birth year groups showed that the competition traits

were not the same throughout the recording period. The sport has developed

considerably and the proportion of competing horses out of born horses has increased

continuously. In contrast to an earlier study of RHQT data (Viklund et al., 2008) the

genetic parameters for competition results were less consistent over time. Thus, it is

necessary to investigate the differences in heritabilities and variances between the

different birth year groups for their impact on the genetic evaluations.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Performance for different age groups

In this study, heritabilities increased with increasing age of the horses because accumulated results were used and an older age group therefore contained more

information on the horses. Lower heritabilities are often estimated with increasing age

because environmental factors, such as training and rider, may have influenced the

horses more (Tavernier, 1992; van Veldhuizen, 1997). On the other hand, Ricard and

Chanu (2001) estimated higher heritabilities with increasing age for annual

performances in eventing horses. Most likely the genetic potential and variance is

better expressed at more advanced competition levels than at lower competition

2 levels.

Estimated genetic correlations between competition results for the different age groups were positive and very high. This indicates that results from young horses, and consequently at low levels of competition, can be used when estimating breeding values although the heritabilities were lower. When horses start to compete and get results, some selection takes place. Horses that perform well are likely to get more and better training than horses that do not perform well. This selection may contribute to the high levels of the correlations. Other studies confirm that genetic correlations between different age groups are very high (Huizinga and van der Meij, 1989;

Tavernier, 1992; van Veldhuizen, 1997; Ricard and Chanu, 2001).

The heritabilities for lifetime show jumping traits (0.18-0.27) were in the same range as heritabilities estimated for jumping traits judged at young horse test for 4-year-olds (0.17-0.23), and for jumping traits at young horse test for 3-year-olds (0.23-0.33) (Viklund *et al.*, 2008). The young horse should be less influenced by rider and trainer, but horses that compete in show jumping compete often and consequently have more information from competition than from the one-day field test as a 4-year-old. For dressage heritabilities for lifetime competition traits (0.12-0.16) were lower than for gaits judged at young horse tests (0.38-0.48) (Viklund *et al.*, 2008). The dressage horses compete less, especially at young ages, and they are probably more influenced by rider and trainer than the show jumping horses.

In comparison with the study by Wallin *et al.* (2003), the genetic correlations between lifetime competition traits and traits judged at RHQT were in the same range or lower. Only the correlations between total conformation and dressage competition were higher in this study. A possible explanation for the differences between the studies is that the RHQT data in the study by Wallin *et al.* (2003) only included 3708 horses that had been judged in RHQT between 1973 and 1986, compared to 14,006 horses judged between 1988 and 2007 in this study. Furthermore, the competition data in the study by Wallin *et al.* (2003) comprised data to 1999, which means that the horses from RHQT had a chance to be at least 17 years old in 1999. In the present study lifetime results in the last birth group could be the result for horses as young as four years because the lifetime result was defined as all competition results until 2006.

The highly positive genetic correlations found between performance in dressage competition and gait traits at RHQT, and even higher for show jumping and jumping traits at RHQT (Table 6 and 7), indicate that results from RHQT are very good predictors of later performance at competitions. Other studies confirm that young horse tests are valuable for prediction of future performance. Lührs-Behnke *et al.* (2006a) found genetic correlations of 0.88 for rideability at mare tests and dressage competition but only 0.36 for free jumping at mare tests and show jumping. Ducro *et al.* (2007) estimated correlations to 0.69 between movements at studbook entry inspection and dressage competition and 0.87 between jumping test and show jumping.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Considering individual gaits, trot and canter are most important for becoming a successful dressage horse. This could be explained by more movements performed in trot and canter in the more advanced classes in dressage. Lührs-Behnke et al. (2006b) showed also that trot and canter were the most important gaits for dressage competition at the highest level, but at the lower levels all gaits seemed to have equal importance. In the study by Ducro et al. (2007), trot judged at studbook entry inspection was the gait most highly correlated to dressage competition results. In that study there were three to four different subtraits for each gait, and the genetic correlations with dressage varied from 0.05 (correctness in walk) to 0.67 (elasticity in trot). Huizinga et al. (1990) estimated genetic correlations between field performance test of mares and competition and found canter to be the gait highest correlated to dressage (0.36). Yet, this correlation was low compared to other studies. Good gaits are probably not enough to succeed at higher classes in dressage. The trait temperament for gaits scored in RHQT, an expression for rideability, in this study was more strongly correlated genetically to performance in dressage competition than any of the individual gaits (0.77). In general our study shows comparatively high correlations between test results of 4-year-olds and later competition results in the same discipline.

20

21

22

23

Between gaits judged at RHQT and show jumping, the genetic correlations were moderate for canter (0.33-0.39), but low for the other RHQT traits. The importance of canter for show jumping is also reported by Huizinga *et al.* (1990), Lührs-Behnke *et al.* 

1 (2006a) and Ducro et al. (2007). They estimated genetic correlations to 0.36, 0.32 and

2 0.28-0.43, respectively.

Genetic correlations between jumping traits at RHQT and dressage competition results were low negative to low positive. In the study by Wallin *et al.* (2003) the genetic correlations were low, but positive. Ducro *et al.* (2007) estimated weak to moderate and unfavourable genetic correlations between free jumping traits at studbook entry inspection and results from dressage competition (-0.34 – -0.09). In the study by Lührs-Behnke *et al.* (2006a) the genetic correlations were almost zero (0.01) between free jumping at mare performance test and dressage competition. On the other hand, Huizinga *et al.* (1990) found a positive correlation between jumping ability at field performance test for mares and dressage (0.17). Thus, our study confirms the low relationships between dressage traits and show jumping, with the generally accepted exception of the positive correlation between canter and jumping traits.

16 Suitability for genetic evaluations

A great advantage for competition traits is that they directly reflect the breeding objective, and for show jumping traits the results from the present study show moderate heritabilities. For dressage traits the heritabilities were low and much lower than for the gait traits recorded at RHQT (Viklund *et al.*, 2008). Drawbacks for competition results are that the horses are pre-selected for competition and that results come late in life, especially in dressage. Use of competition results in genetic

evaluation of sport horses therefore leads to long generation intervals if selection has

to wait for those results.

Competition performance can be measured in different ways. In this study, accumulated points, accumulated placings and the ratio between those have been analyzed for three different stages in life of the horse. The heritabilities, and genetic variances, were highest for accumulated points. Thus, this trait would be of most interest to use in genetic evaluations. For the different stages in the horses' life, lifetime performance showed the highest heritabilities and genetic variances, and therefore it seems to be the most suitable approach. The lifetime performance in this study was defined as all results the horses had achieved until the end of data collection. This means that young horses also have a lifetime result even though they have not yet finished competing. Clearly young horses have fewer results, but this is corrected for in the analyses by including birth year as a fixed effect.

The present research aims ultimately at integrating the results from the test of 3-year-olds (Viklund *et al.*, 2008) and the competition data into the BLUP index system. It is considered important to make use of all data sources to both get as reliable proofs as possible and for the credibility of the indexes among breeders, trainers and riders. When results from tests of 3- and 4-year-olds are included in a multi-trait mixed model analysis, the effects of selected data for competitions are reduced because many more horses are evaluated as 3- and 4-year-olds, and at these occasions for both dressage and jumping traits. In constructing the indexes it is important to note that the

initially described breeding objective is kept, i.e. competition results at advanced levels in dressage and show jumping respectively. The young horse test results should then be used as indicator traits. However, in the indexes most weight will be put on results from RHQT because the horses are tested for both disciplines, while they often only compete in one discipline. Moreover, the heritabilities for traits at RHQT are often higher than for competition traits (dressage) and the tests are more standardized than the competitions.

#### Conclusions

Lifetime competition results are recommended to be used in genetic evaluation of Swedish Warmblood horses because higher heritabilities were estimated when all competition results were included compared with only results from young horses. The accuracy increases when results from more years are added as more information of the horse is obtained.

Accumulated lifetime performance allows competition results to be available early in life for genetic evaluation as horses are compared within birth year.

The high genetic correlations between traits tested at RHQT for 4-year-olds and competition imply that results from the RHQT are desired to continuously be included

1 in the genetic evaluation because they are highly correlated with the breeding

objectives and results appear early in life.

3

2

4 Due to pre-selection of horses for competition, integrated breeding values with results

from young horse test and competition results would be preferable to indexes only

6 including competition results.

7

10

11

12

5

8 Additional studies are needed to investigate how to handle competition data from

9 different time periods in genetic evaluations because the equestrian sport has

expanded and competition results do not mean the same throughout the 35-year-long

period of recording. Such studies should comprise e.g. studies of heterogeneity of the

variances over time and comparison of breeding values and their variation, estimated

with competition data from different birth year groups.

14

15

### **Acknowledgements**

16

17

18

19

20

Financial support from the Foundation for Swedish Horse Research is gratefully

acknowledged. The Swedish Warmblood Association, the Swedish Horse Board and

the Swedish Equestrian Federation are gratefully acknowledged for providing

materials for the study.

21

22

23

#### References

- 2 Aldridge LI, Kelleher DL, Reilly M and Brophy PO 2000. Estimation of the genetic
- 3 correlation between performances at different levels of show jumping competitions in
- 4 Ireland. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics 117, 65-72.

- 6 Arnason Th 1987. Contribution of various factors to genetic evaluation of stallions.
- 7 Livestock Production Science 16, 407-419.

8

- 9 Brockmann A and Bruns E 2000. Schätzung genetischer Parameter für Merkmale aus
- Leistungsprüfungen für pferde. Züchtungskunde 72, 4-16.

11

- 12 Ducro BJ, Koenen EPC, van Tartwijk JMFM and Bovenhuis H 2007. Genetic relations
- of movement and free-jumping traits with dressage and show-jumping performance in
- competition of Dutch Warmblood horses. Livestock Science 107, 227-234.

15

- 16 Gelinder Å 1999. Analys av tävlingsstatistik för ridhästar (Analysis of competition data
- of Swedish riding horses). MSc thesis, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences,
- Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Nr 197, Uppsala, 49 pp.

19

- 20 Hassenstein C 1998. Genetisch statistische Analyse von neuentwickelten Merkmalen
- 21 aus Turniersportprüfungen für Reitpferde. PhD thesis, Christian-Albrechts-Universität
- 22 zu Kiel.

- 1 Huizinga HA and van der Meij GJW 1989. Estimated parameters of performance in
- 2 jumping and dressage competition of the Dutch Warmblood Horse. Livestock
- 3 Production Science 21, 333-345.

- 5 Huizinga HA, Boukamp M and Smolders G 1990. Estimated parameters of field
- 6 performance testing of mares from the Dutch Warmblood riding horse population.
- 7 Livestock Production Science 26, 291-299.

8

- 9 Janssens S, Geysen D and Vandepitte W 1997. Genetic parameters for show jumping
- in Belgian sporthorses. Conference at the 48th Annual Meeting of the European
- 11 Association for Animal Production, 25-28 August 1997, Vienna, Austria, 5pp.

12

- Jensen J and Madsen P 1994. DMU: A package for the analysis of multitrait mixed
- 14 models. In: Proceedings 5<sup>th</sup> World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock
- 15 Production, Guelph, Canada. Computing strategies and software 22, 45-46.

16

- Jensen J, Mäntysaari EA, Madsen P and Thompson R 1997. Residual maximum
- 18 likelihood estimation of (co)variance components in multitrait mixed linear models
- using average information. Journal of the Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics 49,
- 20 215-236.

- 22 Kearsley CGS, Woolliams JA, Coffey MP and Brotherstone S 2008. Use of
- 23 competition data for genetic evaluations of eventing horses in Britain: Analysis of

- dressage, showjumping and cross country phases of eventing competition. Livestock
- 2 Science 118, 72-81.

- 4 Koenen EPC and Aldridge LI 2002. Testing and genetic evaluation of sport horses in
- 5 an international perspective. Conference at the 7<sup>th</sup> World Congress Genetic Applied to
- 6 Livestock Production, 19-23 August 2002, Montpellier, France. 5pp.

7

- 8 Lührs-Benkhe H, Röhe R and Kalm E 2006a. Genetische Analysen von
- 9 Reitsportprüfungen und deren Beziehungen zu Merkmalen der Hengstleistungs- und
- 10 Zuchtstutenprüfung. Züchtungskunde 78, 119-128.

11

- 12 Lührs-Benkhe H, Röhe R and Kalm E 2006b. Schätzung genetischer Parameter für
- 13 Turniersportleistungen innerhalb Prüfungklassen und der Beziehungen zu den
- 14 Merkmalen der Zuchtstuten- und Hengstleistungprüfung. Züchtungskunde 78, 173-
- 15 183.

16

- 17 MacCluer J, Boyce AJ, Dyke B, Weitkamp, LR, Pfennig, DW and Parson CJ 1983.
- 18 Inbreeding and pedigree structure in Standardbred horses. The Journal of Heredity
- 19 74, 394-399.

- 21 Madsen D and Jensen J 2000. A User's guide to DMU A Package for Analyzing
- 22 Multivariate Mixed Models. Danish Institute of Agricultural Science, Department of
- 23 Animal Breeding and Genetics, Research Centre Foulum, 18 pp.

- 2 Reilly M, Foran MK, Kelleher DL, Flanagan MJ and Brophy PO 1998. Estimation of
- 3 genetic value of showjumping horses from the ranking of all performances in all
- 4 competitions. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics 115, 17-25.

5

- 6 Ricard A, Bruns E and Cunningham EP 2000. Genetics of performance traits. In The
- 7 Genetics of the Horse (ed. AT Bowling and A Ruvinsky), pp. 411-438. CAB
- 8 International, Wallingford, UK.

9

- 10 Ricard A and Chanu I 2001. Genetic parameters of eventing horse competition in
- 11 France. Genetics Selection Evolution 33, 175-190.

12

- 13 SAS Institute Inc. 2008. SAS OnlineDoc® 9.1.3. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Retrieved August 28, 2008, from http://support.sas.com/onlinedoc/913/.

15

- 16 Schade W 1996. Entwicklung eines Besamungszuchtprogramms für die
- 17 Hannoversche Warmblutzucht. PhD thesis, Göttingen University, Germany.

18

- 19 SWB (Swedish Warmblood Association) 2008. Retrieved November 3, 2008, from
- 20 www.warmblood.se.

- 1 Tavernier A 1992. Is the performance at 4 years in jumping informative for later
- 2 results? Conference at the 43rd Annual Meeting of the European Association for
- 3 Animal Production, 13-17 September 1992, Madrid, Spain, 4pp.

- 5 Thorén Hellsten E, Viklund Å, Koenen EPC, Ricard A, Bruns E and Philipsson J 2006.
- 6 Review of genetic parameters estimated at stallion and young horse test and their
- 7 correlations with later results in dressage and show-jumping competition. Livestock
- 8 Science 103, 1-12.

9

- 10 Wallin L, Strandberg E and Philipsson J 2003. Genetic correlations between field test
- results of Swedish Warmblood Riding Horses as 4-year-olds and lifetime performance
- results in dressage and show jumping. Livestock Production Science, 82, 61-71.

13

- 14 Van Veldhuizen AE 1997. Breeding value estimation for riding horses in the
- Netherlands. Conference at the 48th Annual Meeting of the European Association for
- Animal Production, 25-28 August 1997, Vienna, Austria, 8pp.

- 18 Viklund Å, Thorén Hellsten E, Näsholm A, Strandberg E and Philipsson J 2008.
- 19 Genetic parameters for traits evaluated at field tests of 3- and 4-year-old Swedish
- 20 Warmblood horses. Animal 12, 1832-1841.

**Table 1.** Means, standard deviations (s.d.) and maximum (Max) of 10-log transformed competition traits in different age groups

		<u>Points</u>		Placing	<u>Placings</u>			Points/placing		
	No. of horses	Mean	s.d.	Max	Mean	s.d.	Max	Mean	s.d.	Max
Dressage										
4-6 years	5806	0.77	0.62	2.66	0.45	0.37	1.76	0.49	0.33	1.35
4-9 years	11,988	0.94	0.74	3.44	0.56	0.46	1.98	0.53	0.35	1.76
Lifetime	15,396	0.99	0.81	4.10	0.59	0.50	2.23	0.54	0.37	1.91
Show jumping										
4-6 years	15,908	0.97	0.63	2.79	0.63	0.42	2.09	0.51	0.26	1.49
4-9 years	25,715	1.07	0.74	3.49	0.72	0.51	2.21	0.52	0.28	1.59
Lifetime	29,564	1.11	0.80	4.08	0.75	0.55	2.55	0.52	0.29	1.72

- 1 **Table 2.** Number of sires and number of offspring in different birth year group
- 2 (B1, B2, B3)<sup>a</sup> with results in show jumping and/or dressage

	B1	B2	В3	B1 &	B2 &	B1 &	B1, B2 &
	only	only	only	B2	В3	В3	В3
Stallions <sup>b</sup> (2218)	246	365	1163	100	253	5	86
Offspring <sup>c</sup> (37,227)	5991	733	5666	6340	8723	302	9472

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Birth year group 1: 1953-1983, Birth year group 2: 1984-1991, Birth year group

4 3: 1992-2002.

8

- <sup>5</sup> Each sire is only represented once.
- 6 <sup>c</sup>Offspring with results in competition. 1480 of the horses in competition had no
- 7 information of sire and were not included.

## 1 **Table 3.** Means, standard deviations (s.d.), minimum (Min.) and maximum (Max.)

## 2 values for traits in RHQT 1988-2007<sup>a</sup>

Trait	Mean	s.d.	Min	Max
Conformation traits				
Туре	7.80	0.61	4	10
Head-neck-body	7.70	0.57	5	10
Correctness of legs	7.34	0.66	4	9
Total conformation	37.4	1.90	27	44
Gaits under rider				
Walk	6.69	0.96	1	10
Trot	6.32	0.98	1	10
Canter	6.69	0.97	1	10
Average for gaits	6.57	0.78	1	9.67
Temperaments for gaits	6.56	0.96	1	10
Jumping traits				
Technique and ability <sup>b</sup>	6.67	1.39	1	10
Temperament for jumping <sup>b</sup>	6.75	1.53	1	10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of records varied for the various traits between 12,988 and 14,006.

5

<sup>4</sup> bA mix of free jumping and jumping under rider.

## 1 Table 4. Heritabilities and additive genetic variances with standard errors as

## 2 subscripts for competition traits

3

	Heritabilit	у		Genetic variance					
	Points	Placings	Points/plac.	Points	Placings	Points/plac.			
Dressage									
4-6 years	0.11 <sub>.02</sub>	0.11.02	0.07 <sub>.01</sub>	0.03.005	0.01 <sub>.002</sub>	0.01 <sub>.001</sub>			
4-9 years	0.15 <sub>.02</sub>	0.15 <sub>.02</sub>	0.11 <sub>.02</sub>	0.07 <sub>.008</sub>	0.02.003	0.01 <sub>.002</sub>			
Lifetime	0.16 <sub>.02</sub>	0.15 <sub>.02</sub>	0.12 <sub>.02</sub>	0.09.01	0.03.004	0.01 <sub>.002</sub>			
Show jumping									
4-6 years	0.24 <sub>.02</sub>	0.23 <sub>.02</sub>	0.12 <sub>.01</sub>	0.07 <sub>.005</sub>	0.03.002	0.01 <sub>.001</sub>			
4-9 years	0.28 <sub>.02</sub>	0.26 <sub>.02</sub>	0.17 <sub>.01</sub>	0.13 <sub>.008</sub>	0.06.004	0.01 <sub>.001</sub>			
Lifetime	0.27 <sub>.01</sub>	0.26 <sub>.02</sub>	0.18 <sub>.01</sub>	0.15.009	0.07 <sub>.004</sub>	0.01 <sub>.001</sub>			

## 1 Table 5. Genetic $(r_g)$ and phenotypic correlations $(r_p)$ between competition traits

## 2 in different age groups

Traits	r <sub>g</sub> <sup>a</sup>	r <sub>p</sub>
Dressage		
4-6 years - 4-9 years	0.92-0.95	0.74-0.76
4-6 years - Lifetime	0.84-0.93	0.60-0.68
4-9 years - Lifetime	0.98-0.99	0.90-0.91
Show jumping		
4-6 years - 4-9 years	0.96-1.00	0.79-0.81
4-6 years - Lifetime	0.92-0.99	0.69-0.72
4-9 years - Lifetime	0.99-1.00	0.93-0.94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Standard errors for genetic correlations were 0.03-0.04 for dressage traits and

5

6

<sup>4 0.001-0.01</sup> for show jumping traits.

Table 6. Genetic correlations (r<sub>g</sub>) with standard errors as subscripts and phenotypic correlations (r<sub>p</sub>) between different competition traits

Traits	r <sub>g</sub>	r <sub>p</sub>
Dressage		
Placings - Points	0.98 <sub>.004</sub>	0.97
Placings - Points per placing	0.91 <sub>.022</sub>	0.74
Points - Points per placing	0.97 <sub>.009</sub>	0.87
Show jumping		
Placings - Points	1.00 <sub>.001</sub>	0.97
Placings - Points per placing	0.97 <sub>.007</sub>	0.73
Points - Points per placing	0.99 <sub>.004</sub>	0.84

- 1 Table 7. Heritabilities and genetic variances with standard errors as subscripts
- 2 for competition traits divided into three groups by birth year (B1, B2, B3)<sup>a</sup>

		Heritabilit	У		Genetic	variance	
	No. of			Points/			Points/
	horses	Points	Placings	plac.	Points	Placings	plac.
Dressage							
B1	7467	0.18 <sub>.03</sub>	0.18.03	0.15 <sub>.02</sub>	0.11.02	0.05.01	0.02 <sub>.003</sub>
B2	4219	0.17 <sub>.03</sub>	0.16 <sub>.03</sub>	0.14 <sub>.03</sub>	0.09.02	0.03 <sub>.01</sub>	0.01 <sub>.003</sub>
В3	3710	0.14 <sub>.04</sub>	0.12 <sub>.03</sub>	0.14 <sub>.04</sub>	0.07 <sub>.02</sub>	0.02 <sub>.01</sub>	0.01 <sub>.003</sub>
Show jumping							
B1	13245	0.31 <sub>.02</sub>	0.30 <sub>.02</sub>	0.20 <sub>.02</sub>	0.19 <sub>.02</sub>	0.09 <sub>.01</sub>	0.02 <sub>.002</sub>
B2	7285	0.29 <sub>.03</sub>	0.26 <sub>.03</sub>	0.25 <sub>.03</sub>	0.17 <sub>.02</sub>	0.07 <sub>.01</sub>	0.02 <sub>.002</sub>
В3	9034	0.34 <sub>.03</sub>	0.30 <sub>.03</sub>	0.28 <sub>.03</sub>	0.16 <sub>.01</sub>	0.07 <sub>.01</sub>	0.01 <sub>.001</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Birth year group 1: 1953-1983, Birth year group 2: 1984-1991, Birth year group

<sup>4 3: 1992-2002.</sup> 

- **Table 8.** Genetic correlations, with standard errors as subscripts, between
- 2 corresponding traits for different birth year groups (B1, B2, B3)<sup>a</sup>

	Points	Placings	Points per placing
Dressage			
B1 – B2	0.95 <sub>.07</sub>	0.98.06	0.85 <sub>.11</sub>
B2 – B3	0.75 <sub>.13</sub>	0.77 <sub>.14</sub>	0.80 <sub>.13</sub>
B1 – B3	0.71 <sub>.14</sub>	0.85 <sub>.13</sub>	0.40 <sub>.19</sub>
Show jumping			
B1 – B2	0.81 <sub>.06</sub>	0.85 <sub>.06</sub>	0.69 <sub>.07</sub>
B2 - B3	0.98 <sub>.04</sub>	0.98.05	0.97 <sub>.05</sub>
B1 - B3	0.66 <sub>.07</sub>	0.71 <sub>.07</sub>	0.54 <sub>.09</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Birth year group 1: 1953-1983, Birth year group 2: 1984-1991, Birth year group

<sup>4 3: 1992-2002.</sup> 

Table 9. Genetic correlations  $(r_g)$  with standard errors as subscripts and phenotypic correlations  $(r_p)$  between traits at RHQT and dressage competition traits

	Dressage competition traits					
	<u>r_a</u>			<u>r</u>		
			Points/			Points/
Trait at RHQT	Points	Placings	placing	Points	Placings	placing
Conformation traits						
Туре	0.50 <sub>.07</sub>	0.45.07	0.58 <sub>.07</sub>	0.12	0.10	0.14
Head-neck-body	0.52 <sub>.07</sub>	0.46 <sub>.08</sub>	0.59 <sub>.07</sub>	0.09	0.06	0.13
Correctness of legs	0.17 <sub>.11</sub>	0.15 <sub>.11</sub>	0.22 <sub>.11</sub>	0.05	0.05	0.06
Total conformation	0.65.05	0.60 <sub>.06</sub>	0.71 <sub>.05</sub>	0.23	0.21	0.23
Gaits under rider						
Walk	0.50 <sub>.06</sub>	0.47 <sub>.07</sub>	0.51 <sub>.07</sub>	0.19	0.19	0.16
Trot	0.73 <sub>.05</sub>	0.70 <sub>.05</sub>	0.72 <sub>.05</sub>	0.25	0.23	0.21
Canter	0.64 <sub>.06</sub>	0.58 <sub>.06</sub>	0.70 <sub>.06</sub>	0.24	0.22	0.21
Average for gaits	0.73 <sub>.05</sub>	0.68.05	0.75 <sub>.05</sub>	0.27	0.26	0.23
Temp. for gaits	0.76.05	0.72 <sub>.05</sub>	0.77 <sub>.05</sub>	0.25	0.22	0.22
Jumping traits						
Technique and ability	0.02 <sub>.09</sub>	-0.05 <sub>.08</sub>	0.17 <sub>.09</sub>	0.05	0.03	0.07
Temp. for jumping	-0.19 <sub>.09</sub>	-0.13 <sub>.09</sub>	0.03 <sub>.10</sub>	0.03	0.04	0.06

 $1 \qquad \textbf{Table 10.} \ \ \text{Genetic correlations (} r_g \text{) with standard errors as subscripts and} \\$ 

2 phenotypic correlations (r<sub>p</sub>) between traits at RHQT and show jumping

## 3 competition traits

4

5

	Show jumping traits					
		<u>r<sub>a.</sub></u>			<u>r<sub>p</sub></u>	
			Points/			Points/
Trait at RHQT	Points	Placings	placing	Points	Placings	placing
Conformation traits						
Туре	0.24 <sub>.06</sub>	0.20.06	0.34 <sub>.06</sub>	0.10	0.09	0.11
Head-neck-body	0.22 <sub>.06</sub>	0.20 <sub>.07</sub>	0.26.06	0.08	0.07	0.08
Correctness of legs	0.23 <sub>.09</sub>	0.22.09	0.25 <sub>.09</sub>	0.03	0.04	0.01
Total conformation	0.22 <sub>.03</sub>	0.19 <sub>.05</sub>	0.31 <sub>.06</sub>	0.10	0.09	0.10
Gaits under rider						
Walk	-0.01 <sub>.06</sub>	-0.01 <sub>.06</sub>	0.02 <sub>.06</sub>	-0.01	-0.01	0.01
Trot	0.15 <sub>.05</sub>	0.12 <sub>.05</sub>	0.18 <sub>.06</sub>	0.05	0.04	0.06
Canter	0.34 <sub>.05</sub>	0.33.05	0.39 <sub>.06</sub>	0.11	0.10	0.11
Average for gaits	0.19 <sub>.05</sub>	0.18.05	0.23 <sub>.08</sub>	0.07	0.05	0.08
Temp. for gaits	0.17 <sub>.06</sub>	0.16.06	0.22 <sub>.06</sub>	0.07	0.06	0.08
Jumping traits						
Technique and ability	0.88 <sub>.03</sub>	0.87 <sub>.03</sub>	0.88 <sub>.03</sub>	0.30	0.29	0.25
Temp. for jumping	0.88 <sub>.03</sub>	0.88 <sub>.03</sub>	0.89 <sub>.03</sub>	0.28	0.27	0.24