This is an author produced version of a paper published in
Journal of Dairy Science.
This paper has been peer-reviewed and is proof-corrected, but does not
include the journal pagination.

Herd-level risk factors associated with cow mortality in Swedish dairy herds

K. Alvåsen,*[1] M. Jansson Mörk,*† C. Hallén Sandgren,‡ P.T. Thomsen,§ and U. Emanuelson*

*Section of Ruminant Medicine and Epidemiology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P.O. Box 7054, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden

†Swedish Dairy Association, P.O. Box 210, SE-10124 Stockholm, Sweden

‡DeLaval International AB, P.O. Box 39, SE-14721 Tumba, Sweden

§Section of Epidemiology and Management, Department of Animal Science, Aarhus University, P.O. Box 50, DK-8830 Tjele, Denmark

[1]Corresponding author: Karin Alvåsen, Phone number: 004618671323, Fax number: 004618673545

e-mail: karin.alvasen@slu.se

ABSTRACT

An increase in on-farm mortality (euthanasia and death) in dairy herds has been reported in several countries in the last decade. This does not only imply possible problems with animal welfare, but it also causes economic losses to the farmer. The objective of this study was to evaluate time trends in on-farm dairy cow mortality in Sweden and identify potential herd-level risk factors. Data was retrieved on all Swedish dairy herds enrolled in the milk recording scheme between 2002 and 2010. Herds with a herd size of < 20 cows or a mortality rate (MR) of > 40 dead or euthanized cows per 100 cow-years were excluded. Two different models were used: 1 multiple year analysis which included 6,898 herds during the period 2002 to 2010 and 1 single year analysis including 4,252 herds for the year 2010, where other variables that were not present during the entire multiple year study were analyzed. The outcome variable was the number of euthanized and dead cows per year and season. A negative binomial regression model, adjusted for clustering within herd, was applied to both models. Fixed effects in the multiple year analysis were breed, calving interval, herd size, milk yield, region, season, pasture period, and year. The fixed effects in the single year analysis were breed, calving interval, conventional vs. organic farming, herd size, housing system, milk yield, region, and season. The multiple year analysis demonstrated that MR gradually increased from 5.1 to 6.6 events per 100 cow-years during the study period. Swedish MR are consequently on par with, or even greater than, MR among dairy herds in other comparable countries. Higher mortality was associated with larger herd size, longer calving intervals, and herds that had Swedish Holstein as the predominant breed. Lower mortality was observed in herds with a higher herd average milk yield, during the fall and winter, and in organically managed herds. There were regional differences in mortality. An interaction between herd size and season was found in both models. Also, an interaction between housing system and milk yield was found in the single year analysis. This first assessment of on-farm mortality in Swedish dairy herds confirmed that the MR has increased over the last few years. The study also identified some herd-level risk factors.

Key words: euthanasia, death, dairy cattle, epidemiology

INTRODUCTION

A high rate of on-farm mortality, i.e., euthanasia or unassisted death, is one of several indicators of poor welfare in dairy production (Winckler et al., 2003; Sandgren et al., 2009; de Vries et al., 2011). It leads to a misuse of animal resources and to economic loss for the dairy farmer, due to loss of milk production, extra labor, loss of income from the sale of carcasses, and increased replacement costs as the herd turnover rate increases as well as the cost for cadaver transport and incineration.

Several studies have reported that the mortality rates (**MR**; number of deaths in a population per unit of animal-time during a given period) in dairy herds have increased during the last decades. For example, Thomsen et al. (2004) reported that MR among Danish dairy cows increased from 2% in 1990 to approximately 3.5% in 1999. Also, Miller et al. (2008) found that death frequency in the United States increased from 2.0% in 1995 to 4.6% in 2005. The National Animal Health Monitoring System in the United States reports

that the overall percentage of cows that died on-farm increased from 3.8% in 1996 to 4.8% and 5.7% in 2002 and 2007, respectively (USDA, 2007). The increasing trend is obviously a concern for the dairy industry and explanations for the increase as well as possibilities to reduce the on-farm mortality are sought.

There are relatively few studies focusing on associations between herd characteristics and on-farm mortality (Nørgaard et al., 1999; Thomsen et al., 2006; McConnel et al., 2008; Dechow et al., 2011; Raboisson et al., 2011). The results from these studies differ but some are in concordance. Previous studies have identified that an increased proportion of purchased cows (Thomsen et al., 2006; Raboisson et al., 2011), no pasture-grazing (Thomsen et al., 2006; Burow et al., 2011; Dechow et al., 2011), larger herd sizes (Nørgaard et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2000; Raboisson et al., 2011) and lower herd average milk yield (Smith et al., 2000; Thomsen et al., 2006), are all factors associated with increased MR. In contrast, Menzies et al. (1995) did not find any effect of herd size on mortality and Miller et al. (2008) found a small but consistent decrease in death frequency as herd size increased. Also, Nørgaard et al. (1999) found an increase in mortality with increasing average milk yield. These studies were, however, carried out in different countries under varying conditions and this may explain the sometimes conflicting results. Swedish dairy production has undergone large structural changes during the last years and dairy cow mortality has not been thoroughly studied previously. Therefore, there is a need to identify risk factors for mortality also under Swedish production conditions. Such information is of interest not only for improving the management routines, but also for policy makers e.g. in relation to animal welfare.

The objectives of the present study were to quantify the development of on-farm MR (including both dead and euthanized cows) in Swedish dairy cows during 2002 to 2010, and to identify risk factors associated with high MR at herd level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population and Data Sources

The study population included herds participating in the Swedish Official Milk Recording Scheme (**SOMRS**), which in 2010 comprised approximately 85% of all dairy cows, 79% of the dairy herds, and produced 87% of the milk (kg) in Sweden (Swedish Dairy Association, 2011).

Swedish dairy farmers are required by law to report all cattle movements (including birth, culling, death, and euthanasia, as well as movement between farms) to the central register of bovine animals (**CDB**) kept by the Swedish Board of Agriculture. Data was extracted from the CDB in October 2010 and included information about cows that were reported as euthanized or dead in each herd for every month from January 2002 to September 2010.

Information on all herds enrolled in the SOMRS between September 1, 2002, and August 31, 2010, was retrieved from the Swedish Dairy Association in October 2010. This included herd-level data, such as average milk yield, average herd size, predominant breed, average calving interval, and region. Information was also retrieved on current management type and housing system. The SOMRS uses fiscal years ranging from September 1 to August 31 and this classification of year is used in the present study referred to as 2002/2003, 2003/2004, etc.

Data Editing

Multiple Year Analysis. Data from a total of 7,849 herds (46,819 herd-years) was extracted from the SOMRS database and was merged with data from the CDB. Annual herd data was excluded if the herd size was < 20 cows (5,452 herd-years in 949 herds) or the yearly MR was > 40 events per 100 cow-years (14 herd-years in 12 herds). This latter exclusion criterion was used to exclude herds with high mortality due to diseases (e.g. *Salmonella*) as Swedish farms with certain diseases in the herd are forced to euthanize the whole herd or large groups of animals. One herd (1 herd-year) was excluded because the post code was missing and it was not possible to identify its

Figure 1. Definition of the six regions of Sweden included in the analyses. Numbers refers to: 1 = Södra Götaland; 2 = Östra Götaland; 3 = Västra Götaland; 4 = Östra Svealand; 5 = Västra Svealand; 6 = Norrland

location. The final data set included 6,898 dairy herds contributing with ≥ 1 herd-year (resulting in a total of 41,352 herd-years).

Herds were categorized as Swedish Red (**SR**) or Swedish Holstein (**SH**) if at least 80% of the animals were purebred SR or SH, respectively, and otherwise as Mixed/other breeds. The latter was mostly herds with both SR and SH cows, because other dairy breeds, such as Swedish Jersey and Swedish Polled, constitutes only about 7% of the cows. Season was treated as a categorical variable with 3 categories: winter-spring (January 1 to April 30), summer (May 1 to August 31), and autumn-winter (September 1 to December 31). This classification was done to discern the grazing period as a separate season and to enable comparison between the early and late housing period. To be able to capture potential non-linear associations, calving interval and milk yield were treated as categorical variables with 4 categories based on quartiles: < 389.3 days, 389.3 to 403.5 days, 403.6 to 421.9 days, and ≥ 422.0 days; and < 8,525 kg energy-corrected milk (ECM), 8,525 to 9,290 kg ECM, 9,291 to 9,980 kg ECM, and ≥ 9,981 kg ECM, respectively. Herd size was treated as a categorical variable with 4 classes: 20 to 30 cows, 30 to 49.9 cows, 50 to 99.9 cows, and ≥ 100 cows. Region was treated as a categorical variable with 6 categories, according to Figure 1. Swedish legislation stipulates that

cows should be on pasture for at least 6 hours a day for a period of time. As this period is longer in southern Sweden (4 months) compared to the northern parts (2 or 3 months), a pasture variable was created as a categorical variable with 3 classes: 2 months, 3 months, and 4 months of legislated pasture period (SJVFS, 2010). All classifications were done within herd-year, i.e. allowing herds to change class over the years.

The average calving interval for the year September 2002 to August 2003 (i.e., 2002/2003) was missing for all herds due to problems with the data in the SOMRS database. An approximate calving interval for each herd that year was calculated as the mean of the calving intervals for the years 2001/2002 and 2003/2004.

Single Year Analysis. Data from 4,625 herds was retrieved for the period between September 1, 2009, and August 31, 2010 (2009/2010). The same editing criteria were applied as for the multiple year analysis and 364 herds were excluded. The calving interval was missing for 9 herds and those herds were excluded. Factors of interest were region, season, predominant breed, herd size, milk yield, management type, calving interval, and housing system. Region, season, and predominant breed were categorized in the same way as for the multiple year analysis. Herd size was treated as a categorical variable with 4 classes: 20 to 50 cows, 50 to 99.9 cows, 100 to 199.9 cows, and ≥ 200 cows, because herds were larger during 2009/2010 than during the early part of the multiple year study period. Calving interval and milk yield were treated as categorical variables ranked in quartiles: < 391.8 days, 391.8 to 407.3 days, 407.4 to 429.1 days and ≥ 429.2 days; and < 8,421 kg ECM (**MY1**), 8,421 to 9,279 kg ECM (**MY2**), 9,280 to 9,994 kg ECM (**MY3**), and ≥ 9,995 kg ECM (**MY4**), respectively. Management type was categorized as organic or conventional. Housing system was categorized into free stalls with conventional milking (**CM**), free stalls with an automatic milking system (**AMS**), or tie stalls with pipeline milking. Information on housing system and milking system was non-compliant for 217 herds (e.g., herds with tie stalls and an AMS), because some farmers had not updated their herd information to the SOMRS. These herds were categorized as free

3

Figure 2. Number of cows of different breeds, average milk yield (kg ECM) per cow per year, and total number of herds per year from September 1, 2002, to August 31, 2010.

stalls with either CM or AMS, according to the registered milking system, as information of milking system is of high reliability.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 10 and 11 (Stata-corp, College Station, TX).

Multiple Year Analysis. Negative binomial regression analysis was used to study the association between on-farm mortality and herd-level factors. The outcome variable was the number of dead and euthanized cows during each season for each year. Herd size, i.e., number of cow-years, was set as the exposure variable and the model was adjusted for within-herd clustering. All available explanatory variables, i.e., calving interval, herd size, milk yield, pasture, predominant breed, region, season, and year, were included in the model as they were of biological interest.

Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficients were used to assess potential collinearity between the explanatory variables with the intention of excluding one of the variables if the correlation was $\geq |0.7|$. Pasture and region were strongly correlated and were therefore not analyzed in the same model. Likelihood ratio statistics showed that the model with region had a better fit, therefore results from that model are presented.

Possible interactions were tested as they were considered biologically plausible. They were year x season, herd size x season, region x season, and breed x calving interval. Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) interactions were found between herd size and season, and between year and season. The interaction between herd size and season was used for further analysis, because both interactions could not be included due to problems with conversion and the model using herd size x season had a better fit to the data, as assessed using the likelihood ratio statistics.

Single Year Analysis. The single year analysis was carried out in the same way as the multiple year analysis. Here, the exposure herd size was the total number of cow-days at risk. The explanatory variables were region, milk yield, management type, breed, season, herd size, calving interval, and housing type. Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) interactions were found between herd size and season, between housing type and milk yield, and between housing type and season. The interactions between herd size and season and between housing type and milk yield were used for further analysis because this model had a better fit to the data and all 3 interactions could not be included.

The significance of each explanatory variable was evaluated using Wald-test and the difference between categories within the same explanatory

4

Figure 3. Distribution of mortality events per 100 cow-years in 6,898 Swedish dairy herds in the period from September 1, 2002, to August 31, 2010.

variable was evaluated using lincom tests (linear combinations of estimators) in STATA.

Model Evaluation

The fit of the models was evaluated by Goodness-of-fit tests and by examinations of the plot of the Anscombe residuals vs. predicted values and Cook's distance.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics

The 6,898 herds included in the multiple year analysis provided 123,659 herd-year-seasons (range 3-24 herd-year-seasons per herd), while the 4,252 herds included in the single year analysis provided 12,586 herd-seasons. Figure 2 shows trends in the study population during the study period. Average milk yield per cow and year increased by 500 kg ECM during the study period, and the number of dairy cows, especially SR, as well as the number of herds decreased. More than 140,000 dairy cows died or were euthanized in Sweden between 2002 and 2010 (Table 1). Over 15% of the herd-years had no dairy cow mortality (Figure 3).

Descriptive statistics of the on-farm mortality according to explanatory variables are reported in Tables 2 and 3. From 2002 to 2010, the MR increased from 5.1 to 6.6 events per 100 cow-years. The mean MR during the study period was 6.0 events per 100 cow-years.

Negative Binomial Regression Analysis

Results from the multiple year analysis (Table 4) demonstrated, in conformity with Table 2, a trend in increasing MR ratio with time. Results from the single year analysis are shown in Table 5.

There was a significant interaction between herd size and season in both the multiple year analysis and the single year analysis (Table 4 and 5). The difference between autumn-winter and summer was statistically significant for all herd size groups except for herds with ≥ 200 cows in the single year analysis where no statistically significant differences between seasons were found by the lincom tests.

Both analyses showed that Östra Götaland and Norrland had greater MR ratio compared to Södra Götaland, and also, that herds with SH as the predominant breed had greater MR ratio (Table 4 and 5).

In the single year analysis, there was a significant interaction between housing system and milk yield. A tendency for a numerically lower MR ratio in herds with a higher average milk yield can be seen. Results from the lincom tests demonstrated that for herds with AMS, the lower MR ratio was statistically significant for all categories of milk yield except for MY1 compared to MY2 and MY3. Free stall herds with CM and MY1 or MY2 had a significantly higher MR compared to herds with MY4. For herds with tie stalls the differences in MR ratio between milk yield classes was not statistically significant. When comparing herds with MY4 lowest MR ratio was found in free stalls with AMS. Lowest MR ratio for herds with MY1 was found in tie stalls, also, tie stall herds with MY2 had a statistically significant lower MR ratio than free stall herds with AMS in the same yield class.

When replacing region with the effect of pasture in the multiple-year analysis the results showed that herds with longer legislated pasture period (3 and 4 months) had reduced mortality (MR ratio = 0.94, 95% CI = 0.90 to 0.97 and MR ratio = 0.90, 95% CI = 0.86 to 0.94, respectively) compared to herds with legislated pasture period of 2 months. When replacing the interactions included in the

single year analysis with the interaction between housing system and season, a different pattern in mortality was found between herds with tie stalls and herds with free stalls. Herds kept in tie stalls had the highest MR ratio during summer and the lowest during autumn-winter, but there were no seasonal differences in herds with AMS or in free stalls with CM.

The Goodness-of-fit tests for both models were highly significant indicating lack of fit, but the tests were non-significant (p > 0.24) when running the models excluding the observations with the highest MR. Examinations of the residuals indicated no major deviations and highly influential observations.

DISCUSSION

Our results show that the on-farm MR among Swedish dairy cows increased from 5.1 to 6.6 events per 100 cow-years between 2002 and 2010. This increasing trend is consistent with the results from other studies performed in countries with intensive milk production (Thomsen et al., 2004; Thomsen and Houe, 2006; USDA, 2007; Miller et al., 2008), but the average rate in our study is in the upper range of what others have found (Thomsen and Houe, 2006; USDA, 2007; Pinedo et al., 2010; Burow et al., 2011; Raboisson et al., 2011). Dairy cattle production in Sweden has undergone considerable changes during the last decade, with an increase in the average herd size from approximately 40 to 60 cows. During 2002 to 2010, the proportion of small herds (< 50 cows) has declined from 71% to 52%, and the portion of cows in small herds has declined from 48% to 25%. In the same time period, the portion of large herds (> 200 cows) has increased from 0.8% to 2.8%, and the portion of cows in large herds has increased from 5% to 12% (Swedish Dairy Association, 2011). Also, more herds are being kept in free stalls than in tie stalls, there is an increased use of AMS, and SH are increasingly replacing SR cows, with an accompanying, relatively modest increase in average milk yield (Swedish Dairy Association, 2011). However, neither the increased herd size nor increased use of SH cows can be the only reasons for the increasing mortality, although both factors are identified as risk factors in our analyses, because

also the MR ratios from the multivariable analyses (Table 4) show an increasing trend over the years. A change in regulations at slaughter (EU regulation number 854/2004), only allowing healthy animals, was implemented in 2006 and may explain some of the increased risk for on-farm euthanasia. Further studies are needed to fully explain the increasing mortality.

High milk yield was associated with reduced mortality in our multivariable model (Table 4). Previous studies have presented the same association between milk yield and mortality. Thomsen et al. (2006) demonstrated decreased mortality risk (odds ratio 0.93) with an increased mean milk yield per cow-year of 1,000 kg. Also, a herd average milk production level greater than 9,072 kg had death losses of 5.9% compared with death losses of 7.7% that were found in herds producing less than 7,258 kg milk (Smith et al., 2000). By contrast, Miller et al. (2008) found that death frequency increased with 0.4% when the average milk yield per cow increased with 1,000 kg in Holsteins and Jerseys and, Dematawewa and Berger (1998) showed that high-producing cows are less likely to survive. An explanation for the association in our study might be that milk yield at a herd level may be more associated with the level of management. High average milk yields as in this study cannot be achieved without good management. A farmer who is able to obtain a high milk production probably also does many other things right, thereby decreasing the risk of cows dying. Also, this association between high milk yield and reduced mortality is valid only at a herd level. Extrapolating to the individual cow level may be an "ecological fallacy" (Robinson, 2009) i.e. associations on herd level may not necessarily apply on cow level.

Consistent with results from other studies (Smith et al., 2000; Hadley et al., 2006; Thomsen et al., 2006; Dechow and Goodling, 2008) the MR ratio in our study increased with increasing herd size. In larger herds, there is generally less time to spend on individual cows (Nørgaard et al., 1999). Also, pasture management becomes more complicated in larger herds. Decreased grazing time or zero grazing has been associated with increased mortality (Thomsen et al., 2006; Burow et al., 2011) and with a higher proportion of loser

cows (Thomsen et al., 2007). Zero grazing is not allowed in Sweden, according to legislation, and dairy cows have to be given the opportunity to graze for at least 6 hours a day for 2 to 4 months, depending on the region (SJVFS, 2010). At least 80% of the pasture has to be covered with grass, but the quality of the offered pasture is not regulated or controlled, and problems related to grazing may still be part of the explanation for the association between herd size and mortality in Sweden. This may also be supported by the interaction between herd size and season, showing a slightly reduced beneficial status during autumn-winter for the larger herds, i.e. the difference between autumn-winter and winter-spring is decreasing with increasing herd size (Table 4). Single year analysis showed that herds with ≥ 200 cows had a different seasonal pattern of MR ratio (Table 5) but still, this largest herd size group has a greater MR ratio than other herd size groups.

In agreement with our study, Miller et al. (2008) found that deaths were most frequent in July and least frequent in November. Hertl et al. (2011) demonstrated that season had no effect on probability of mortality in primiparous cows, but found that multiparous cows were more likely to die in spring and summer than in fall or winter. Also, Pinedo et al. (2010) showed that annualized death rates were highest in spring and summer (7.8% and 6.9%, respectively) and lowest during autumn (5.5%). An Italian study (Vitali et al., 2009) demonstrated, however, that the spring season had the lowest frequency of deaths and the summer season the highest, as a consequence of heat-related stress, which agrees with Crescio et al. (2010) who found that increases in the temperature-humidity index above a certain threshold resulted in higher risk of mortality. No significant seasonal variation was observed by Faye and Perochon (1995), although they found that the beginning of the grazing period seemed to be associated with a higher MR. It can be debated if the high MR ratio observed during summer is a consequence of the previous indoor period or if it is due to the possible heat stress for cows on pasture (Kendall et al., 2006). Burow et al. (2011) found that free access between barn and pasture was associated with higher mortality compared to systems where the farmer followed the herd out to the pasture and then brought them home for

milking. Burow et al. (2011) proposed that less frequent observation of the cows (and the associated non-identification of sick cows) could be part of the explanation. Lesser MR ratio during autumn-winter can be a positive effect of the previous pasture season, as Alban and Agger (1996) found that grazing is associated with better health. Burow et al. (2011) and Dechow et al. (2011) concluded that the more time cows spend on pasture, the lower the mortality. This is also supported by our results showing that regions with a longer pasture period, according to legislation, had a lower MR ratio. In Sweden, there is no seasonal calving pattern and the differences in death frequency are therefore not a consequence of unequal calving distribution.

In our study, organic herds had a lower MR ratio (Table 5), which is in agreement with Thomsen et al. (2006) who showed that mortality among cows in organic herds was lower than in conventional herds. Bidokhti et al. (2009) compared 20 organic and 20 conventional managed herds in Sweden, and demonstrated a reduced likelihood of infection with bovine corona virus and bovine syncytial virus in organically managed herds. The beneficial status in the organic herds may partly be explained by the closely regulated animal trading which only allows trading between organic herds. There are also recommendations relating to quarantine before introducing new animals (Swedish Organic Certification Association, 2011) which may decrease the risk of introducing infectious diseases that increases the risk for on-farm mortality. In addition, organic herds generally have a longer, and more regulated, pasture season. Accordingly, organically managed cows in Sweden have to get > 6kg of the daily DMI from the pasture and spend at least 12.5 hours a day on pasture during the pasture season (Swedish Organic Certification Association, 2011). There are also managerial differences between organic and conventional herds that may explain the differences. Some of them, such as herd size, milk yield, housing system and breed, were accounted for in the multivariable analyses (Table 5) and additional explanations should be investigated further.

Free stall with AMS and a milk yield of MY4 was associated with the lowest MR ratio among all

housing types and milk yield categories. This is consistent with Burow et al. (2011) who demonstrated that the MR was lower in herds with AMS compared to traditional milking systems in both grazing and zero grazing systems. Thomsen et al. (2006) found that free stall barns with deep litter had the lowest MR. Also, tie stalls tended to have higher MR than free stalls with cubicles, although this observation was not statistically significant (Thomsen et al., 2006). In our study, tie stalls had the numerically lowest MR ratio comparing housing systems in MY1 and MY2.

Cows of the SH breed have in several other studies been shown to have a higher incidence of the common production diseases, such as mastitis, ketosis, etc., compared to cows of the SR breed (Bendixen et al., 1988; Emanuelson et al., 1993; Nyman et al., 2007; Persson Waller et al., 2009) and also a higher culling risk (del P. Schneider et al., 2007; Dechow et al., 2011). In this study, we found a higher MR ratio in herds with predominantly SH cows (Tables 4 and 5), which is in line with the results of Hare et al. (2006), Thomsen et al. (2006) and Raboisson et al. (2011). By contrast, Miller et al. (2008) found only negligible differences due to breed when comparing Holstein, Jersey, and "other breeds". The main difference between SH and SR cows is the higher yield in kg milk in SH cows, although the yield in kg solids is almost equal (Swedish Dairy Association, 2011). However, there are also other differences, such as size and conformation (e.g. udder, stature), which may contribute to the differences in mortality we observed in the multivariable analyses, where milk yield was also included in the models. There are also indications of differences in immunological and metabolic patterns between the two breeds (Nyman et al., 2008).

Results from our study demonstrated that herds with low calving intervals had a reduced MR ratio, which is in agreement with McConnel et al. (2008) and Raboisson et al. (2011) who reported that longer calving intervals were associated with increased risk of mortality. We would argue that calving interval at a herd level in this case may serve as a proxy for good management, as has also been indicated by Sandgren et al. (2009) and Nyman et al. (2011), and that the high level of management needed to achieve short calving intervals, also increases the probability for low on-farm mortality.

We found regional differences in MR ratio. The length of the legislated pasture season, being shorter in Norrland, explained some, but not all, of the differences among regions in our study as the model with region had a better fit to the data than the model which included pasture period. A possible explanation for the higher MR ratio in Norrland compared to Södra Götaland is that distances between farmers, veterinarians, and abattoirs are longer in Norrland, but this cannot explain the numerically higher MR ratio in Östra Götaland and Västra Svealand. More detailed studies are therefore needed to further elucidate this finding.

A negative binomial regression model was used to study the associations between the on-farm mortality and herd-level factors. However, a zero-inflated negative binomial (**ZINB**) regression model was also tested because there was an excess of zero counts in the data set. The ZINB model handles zero counts in two separate ways: by logistic regression, where the probability of mortality is the outcome, and by negative binomial regression, where the mortality risk is estimated conditional on occurring mortality in the herd (Dohoo et al., 2009). The Vuong test showed that a ZINB model fitted the data better compared to the negative binomial model. However, none of the predictors became significant in the logistic portion of the ZINB model and the information from the ZINB model was equal to the information gained from the negative binomial model. Therefore, the ZINB model was rejected and a negative binomial regression model was used for the analyses because of the much easier interpretation. The model evaluation indicated a lack of fit, but this was mainly due to the observations with high mortality, which were not predicted well by the model, and thus not due to an excess of zero counts in the data.

This study was performed at herd level and no causal relationships can be deduced from the associations that were found. It is therefore necessary to perform a longitudinal study at the cow level to further evaluate possible

determinants that may contribute to the increased on-farm cow mortality. Furthermore, information on other determinants that may contribute to the on-farm MR, such as purchase patterns, changes of ownership and herd size, etc, was not available and a more targeted investigation on a limited sample with more detailed information is therefore also warranted.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study show that the on-farm cow mortality in Swedish dairy herds has increased over the last decade and is on a relatively high level compared to other countries. Some herd-level risk factors have been identified in this study, but more research is needed to identify what actions need to be taken by the farmer to reverse this negative trend.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

Alban, L. and J. F. Agger. 1996. Welfare in Danish dairy herds 2. Housing systems and grazing procedures in 1983 and 1994. Acta Vet. Scand. 37:65-77.

Bendixen, P. H., B. Vilson, I. Ekesbo, and D. B. Åstrand. 1988. Disease frequencies in dairy cows in Sweden. v. mastisis. Prev. Vet. Med. 5:263-274.

Bidokhti, M. R. M., M. Tråvén, N. Fall, U. Emanuelson, and S. Alenius. 2009. Reduced likelihood of bovine coronavirus and bovine respiratory syncytial virus infection on organic compared to conventional dairy farms. Vet. J. 182:436-440.

Burow, E., P. T. Thomsen, J. T. Sørensen, and T. Rousing. 2011. The effect of grazing on cow mortality in Danish dairy herds. Prev. Vet. Med. 100:237-241.

Crescio, M. I., F. Forastiere, C. Maurella, F. Ingravalle, and G. Ru. 2010. Heat-related mortality in dairy cattle: A case crossover study. Prev. Vet. Med. 97:191-197.

de Vries, M., E. A. M. Bokkers, T. Dijkstra, G. van Schaik, and I. J. M. de Boer. 2011. Invited review: Associations between variables of routine herd data and dairy cattle welfare indicators. J. Dairy Sci. 94:3213-3228.

Dechow, C. D. and R. C. Goodling. 2008. Mortality, culling by sixty days in milk, and production profiles in high- and low-survival Pennsylvania herds. J. Dairy Sci. 91:4630-4639.

Dechow, C. D., E. A. Smith, and R. C. Goodling. 2011. The effect of management system on mortality and other welfare indicators in Pennsylvania dairy herds. Anim. Welf. 20:145-158.

del P. Schneider, M., E. Strandberg, U. Emanuelson, K. Grandinson, and A. Roth. 2007. The effect of veterinary-treated clinical mastitis and pregnancy status on culling in Swedish dairy cows. Prev. Vet. Med. 80:179-192.

Dematawewa, C. M. B. and P. J. Berger. 1998. Genetic and phenotypic parameters for 305-day yield, fertility, and survival in Holsteins. J. Dairy Sci. 81:2700-2709.

Dohoo, I., W. Martin, and H. Stryhn. 2009. Veterinary Epidemiologic Research. 2nd ed. VER Inc., Charlottetown, Canada

Emanuelson, U., P. A. Oltenacu, and Y. T. Gröhn. 1993. Nonlinear mixed model analyses of five production disorders of dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 76:2765-2772.

Faye, B. and L. Perochon. 1995. Mortality of dairy-cows in an ecopathological survey in Brittany. Vet. Res. 26:124-131.

Hadley, G. L., C. A. Wolf, and S. B. Harsh. 2006. Dairy cattle culling patterns, explanations, and implications. J. Dairy Sci. 89:2286-2296.

Hare, E., H. D. Norman, and J. R. Wright. 2006. Survival rates and productive herd life of dairy cattle in the United States. J. Dairy Sci. 89:3713-3720.

Hertl, J. A., Y. H. Schukken, D. Bar, G. J. Bennett, R. N. González, B. J. Rauch, F. L. Welcome, L. W. Tauer, and Y. T. Gröhn. 2011. The effect of recurrent episodes of clinical mastitis caused by gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and other organisms on mortality and culling in Holstein dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 94:4863-4877.

Kendall, P. E., P. P. Nielsen, J. R. Webster, G. A. Verkerk, R. P. Littlejohn, and L. R. Matthews. 2006. The effects of providing shade to lactating dairy cows in a temperate climate. Livest. Sci. 103:148-157.

McConnel, C. S., J. E. Lombard, B. A. Wagner, and F. B. Garry. 2008. Evaluation of factors associated with increased dairy cow mortality on United States dairy operations. J. Dairy Sci. 91:1423-1432.

Menzies, F. D., D. G. Bryson, T. McCallion, and D. I. Matthews. 1995. A study of mortality among suckler and dairy cows in Northern Ireland in 1992. Vet. Rec. 137:531-536.

Miller, R. H., M. T. Kuhn, H. D. Norman, and J. R. Wright. 2008. Death losses for lactating cows in herds enrolled in dairy herd improvement test plans. J. Dairy Sci. 91:3710-3715.

Nørgaard, N. H., K. M. Lind, and J. F. Agger. 1999. Cointegration analysis used in a study of dairy-cow mortality. Prev. Vet. Med. 42:99-119.

Nyman, A. K., T. Ekman, U. Emanuelson, A. H. Gustafsson, K. Holtenius, K. P. Waller, and C. H. Sandgren. 2007. Risk factors associated with the incidence of veterinary-treated clinical mastitis in Swedish dairy herds with a high milk yield and a low prevalence of subclinical mastitis. Prev. Vet. Med. 78:142-160.

Nyman, A. K., U. Emanuelson, K. Holtenius, K. L. Ingvartsen, T. Larsen, and K. Persson Waller. 2008. Metabolites and immune variables associated with somatic cell counts of primiparous dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 91:2996-3009.

Nyman, A. K., A. Lindberg, and C. H. Sandgren. 2011. Can pre-collected register data be used to identify dairy herds with good cattle welfare? Acta Vet. Scand. 53:S8.

Persson Waller, K., B. Bengtsson, A. Lindberg, A. Nyman, and H. Ericsson Unnerstad. 2009. Incidence of mastitis and bacterial findings at clinical mastitis in Swedish primiparous cows-Influence of breed and stage of lactation. Vet. Microbiol. 134:89-94.

Pinedo, P. J., A. De Vries, and D. W. Webb. 2010. Dynamics of culling risk with disposal codes reported by Dairy Herd Improvement dairy herds. J. Dairy Sci. 93:2250-2261.

Raboisson, D., E. Cahuzac, P. Sans, and G. Allaire. 2011. Herd-level and contextual factors influencing dairy cow mortality in France in 2005 and 2006. J. Dairy Sci. 94:1790-1803.

Robinson, W. S. 2009. Ecological correlations and the behavior of individuals. Int. J. Epidemiol. 38:337-341.

Sandgren, C. H., A. Lindberg, and L. J. Keeling. 2009. Using a national dairy database to identify herds with poor welfare. Anim. Welf. 18:523-532.

SJVFS. 2010. Statens Jordbruksverks författningssamling. Statens jordbruksverks föreskrifter och allmänna råd omdjurhållning inom lantbruket m.m. Saknr L100. Accessed Aug. 22, 2011. http://www.sjv. se/download/18.6b0af7e81284865248a80002467/2010-015.pdf.

Smith, J. W., L. O. Ely, and A. M. Chapa. 2000. Effect of region, herd size, and milk production on reasons cows leave the herd. J. Dairy Sci. 83:2980-2987.

Swedish Dairy Association. 2011. Cattle statistics 2011. Accessed Aug. 20, 2011. http://www. svenskmjolk.se/Global/Dokument/Dokumentarkiv/Statistik/Husdjursstatistik%202011%20-%20webb.pdf.

Swedish Organic Certification Association. 2011. Regler för KRAV-certifierad produktion januari 2011. Accessed Aug. 22, 2011. http://www.krav.se/Documents/Regler/utgavor/ KRAVsreglerUgavaJanuari2011.pdf

Thomsen, P. T. and H. Houe. 2006. Dairy cow mortality. A review. Vet. Q. 28:122-129.

Thomsen, P. T., A. M. Kjeldsen, J. T. Sorensen, and H. Houe. 2004. Mortality (including euthanasia) among Danish dairy cows (1990-2001). Prev. Vet. Med. 62:19-33.

Thomsen, P. T., A. M. Kjeldsen, J. T. Sorensen, H. Houe, and A. K. Ersboll. 2006. Herd-level risk factors for the mortality of cows in Danish dairy herds. Vet. Rec. 158:622-626.

Thomsen, P. T., S. Ostergaard, H. Houe, and J. T. Sorensen. 2007. Loser cows in Danish dairy herds: Risk factors. Prev. Vet. Med. 79:136-154.

USDA. 2007. Dairy 2007, Part II: Changes in the U.S. dairy cattle industry, 1997-2007. Accessed Sep. 12, 2011. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahms/dairy/ downloads/dairy07/Dairy07_dr_PartII.pdf.

Vitali, A., M. Segnalini, L. Bertocchi, U. Bernabucci, A. Nardone, and N. Lacetera. 2009. Seasonal pattern of mortality and relationships between mortality and temperature-humidity index in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 92:3781-3790.

Winckler, C., J. Capdeville, G. Gebresenbet, B. Horning, U. Roiha, M. Tosi, and S. Waiblinger. 2003. Selection of parameters for on-farm welfare-assessment protocols in cattle and buffalo. Anim. Welf. 12:619-624.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of number of cow-years, number of dead and euthanized cows, and number of herds with and without mortality for 6,898 Swedish dairy herds from September (Sep) 2002 to August (Aug) 2010.

Year	Cow-years, no.	Dead and euthanized cows, no.	Herds with mortality, no.	Herds without mortality, no.
Sep. 2002 to Aug. 2003	317,787	16,335	4,967	1,398
Sep. 2003 to Aug. 2004	318,290	17,161	4,876	1,256
Sep. 2004 to Aug. 2005	313,238	18,799	4,783	998
Sep. 2005 to Aug. 2006	307,628	19,146	4,643	818
Sep. 2006 to Aug. 2007	290,535	18,168	4,195	719
Sep. 2007 to Aug. 2008	284,846	17,055	3,871	666
Sep. 2008 to Aug. 2009	278,721	18,233	3,710	500
Sep. 2009 to Aug. 2010	270,285	17,877	3,475	477

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of explanatory variables in a study of herd-level risk factors for on-farm cow mortality in 6,898 Swedish dairy herds from September (Sep) 2002 to August (Aug) 2010

Variable	Category	n[1]	n[1] with mortality (%)	Mortality events per 100 cow-years
Calving interval	< 389.3	31,017	52.5	5.3
(days)	389.3 to 403.5	30,618	53.4	5.6
	403.6 to 421.9	31,009	54.9	6.1
	≥ 422.0	31,015	56.6	7.0
Herd size	20 to 29.9	25,445	32.3	5.0
(cow-years)	30 to 49.9	46,166	45.7	5.2
	50 to 99.9	38,505	66.3	5.8
	≥ 100	13,543	91.0	7.3
Milk yield	< 8,525	30,908	49.7	6.2
(kg ECM)	8,525 to 9,290	30,911	54.3	6.2
	9,291 to 9,980	30,912	55.1	5.8
	≥ 9,981	30,928	58.1	5.8
Predominant	Swedish Holstein	32,987	60.1	6.8
breed	Swedish Red	34,554	46.1	4.8
	Mixed/other breeds[2]	56,118	56.0	6.1
Region[3]	Södra Götaland (1)	11,971	60.2	6.8
	Östra Götaland (2)	39,184	52.1	6.2
	Västra Götaland (3)	38,365	53.6	5.7
	Östra Svealand (4)	9,859	52.1	5.4
	Västra Svealand (5)	7,087	51.9	5.5
	Norrland (6)	20,193	50.6	6.1
Season[4]	Autumn-winter	41,232	50.1	4.5
	Winter-spring	41,257	55.7	5.1
	Summer	41,170	57.1	5.4
Year	Sep. 2002 to Aug. 2003	19,095	46.7	5.1
	Sep. 2003 to Aug. 2004	18,396	48.8	5.4
	Sep. 2004 to Aug. 2005	17,343	52.9	6.0
	Sep. 2005 to Aug. 2006	16,383	55.0	6.2
	Sep. 2006 to Aug. 2007	14,742	56.7	6.3
	Sep. 2007 to Aug. 2008	13,611	56.9	6.0
	Sep. 2008 to Aug. 2009	12,630	60.8	6.5
	Sep. 2009 to Aug. 2010	11,459	64.0	6.6

[1]Number of herd-year-seasons.
[2]Crossbreeds, Swedish Polled, and Swedish Jersey.
[3]Numbers in brackets refers to regions identified in figure 1.
[4]Autumn-winter (September 1 to December 31), winter-spring (January 1 to April 30), summer (May 1 to August 31).

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of explanatory variables in a study of herd-level risk factors for cow mortality in 4,252 Swedish dairy herds between September 2009 and August 2010

Variable	Category	n[1]	n[1] with mortality (%)	Mortality events per 100 cow-years
Calving interval	< 391.8	3,098	59.7	5.5
(days)	391.8 to 407.3	3,152	61.0	6.1
	407.4 to 429.1	3,210	60.1	6.6
	≥ 429.2	3,126	61.0	7.4
Herd size	20 to 49.9	5,883	43.1	5.4
(cow-years)	50 to 99.9	4,465	67.4	6.0
	100 to 199.9	1,823	90.9	7.0
	≥ 200	415	98.3	7.5
Housing system[2]	Tie stall	8,188	50.9	5.8
	Free stall AMS	1,941	76.7	6.9
	Free stall CM	2,457	79.7	6.5
Management type	Conventional	11,473	61.0	6.4
	Organic	1,113	55.9	5.3
Milk yield	< 8,421	3,132	55.5	6.7
(kg ECM)	8,421 to 9,279	3,153	61.1	6.7
	9,280 to 9,994	3,145	63.1	6.2
	$\geq 9,995$	3,156	62.0	6.0
Predominant breed	Swedish Holstein	3,583	68.6	7.3
	Swedish Red	3,105	50.0	5.0
	Mixed/other breeds[3]	5,898	61.1	6.2
Region[4]	Södra Götaland (1)	1,175	68.4	7.4
	Östra Götaland (2)	3,754	61.2	6.6
	Västra Götaland (3)	3,921	60.3	6.0
	Östra Svealand (4)	976	56.8	5.2
	Västra Svealand (5)	668	58.7	6.0
	Norrland (6)	2,092	57.3	6.5
Season[5]	Autumn-winter	4,251	56.8	5.9
	Winter-spring	4,229	61.5	6.4
	Summer	4,106	63.2	6.7

[1]Number of herd-seasons.

[2]Tie stall = tie stall with pipeline milking; Free stall AMS = free stall with automatic milking system; Free stall CM = free stall with conventional milking (e.g. milking parlor, carousel).

[3]Crossbreeds, Swedish Polled, and Swedish Jersey.

[4]Numbers in brackets refers to regions identified in figure 1.

[5]Autumn-winter (September 1 to December 31), winter-spring (January 1 to April 30), summer (May 1 to August 31).

Table 4. Negative binomial regression model in a study of herd-level risk factors for cow mortality in 6,898 Swedish dairy herds from September (Sep) 2002 to August (Aug) 2010

Variable	Category	MR[1] ratio	CI[2]	P-value[3]
Calving interval (days)	< 389.3	1		< 0.0001
	389.3 to 403.5	1.05	1.03, 1.08	
	403.6 to 421.9	1.14	1.11, 1.18	
	≥ 422.0	1.26	1.22, 1.30	
Herd size x Season[4,5]	20 to 29.9, autumn-winter	1		< 0.0001
	20 to 29.9, winter-spring	1.36	1.29, 1.43	
	20 to 29.9, summer	1.35	1.28, 1.42	
	30 to 49.9, autumn-winter	1.09	1.03, 1.14	
	30 to 49.9, winter-spring	1.38	1.31, 1.45	
	30 to 49.9, summer	1.42	1.35, 1.49	
	50 to 99.9, autumn-winter	1.31	1.24, 1.38	
	50 to 99.9, winter-spring	1.47	1.39, 1.55	
	50 to 99.9, summer	1.57	1.49, 1.65	
	≥ 100, autumn-winter	1.69	1.59, 1.79	
	≥ 100, winter-spring	1.72	1.62, 1.82	
	≥ 100, summer	1.89	1.79, 2.00	
Milk yield (kg ECM)	< 8,525	1		< 0.0001
	8,525 to 9,290	0.97	0.94, 0.99	
	9,291 to 9,980	0.90	0.87, 0.93	
	≥ 9,981	0.88	0.85, 0.91	
Predominant breed	Swedish Holstein	1		< 0.0001
	Swedish Red	0.78	0.76, 0.81	
	Mix/other breeds[6]	0.90	0.88, 0.93	
Region[7]	Södra Götaland (1)	1		< 0.0001
	Östra Götaland (2)	1.10	1.05, 1.15	
	Västra Götaland (3)	0.99	0.94, 1.04	
	Östra Svealand (4)	1.05	0.98, 1.12	
	Västra Svealand (5)	1.04	0.97, 1.11	
	Norrland (6)	1.13	1.06, 1.19	
Year	Sep. 2002 to Aug. 2003	1		< 0.0001
	Sep. 2003 to Aug. 2004	1.04	1.01, 1.06	
	Sep. 2004 to Aug. 2005	1.15	1.12, 1.18	
	Sep. 2005 to Aug. 2006	1.17	1.14, 1.20	
	Sep. 2006 to Aug. 2007	1.17	1.14, 1.20	
	Sep. 2007 to Aug. 2008	1.10	1.07, 1.13	
	Sep. 2008 to Aug. 2009	1.20	1.17, 1.23	
	Sep. 2009 to Aug. 2010	1.22	1.18, 1.25	

[1]Mortality rate.
[2]95% confidence interval.
[3]Significance level (Wald test) of main effects or combined effects.
[4]Autumn-winter (September 1 to December 31), winter-spring (January 1 to April 30), summer (May 1 to August 31).
[5]The combined effect of the main variables.
[6]Crossbreeds, Swedish Polled and Swedish Jersey.
[7]Numbers in brackets refers to regions identified in figure 1.

Table 5. Negative binomial regression model in a study of herd-level risk factors for on-farm cow mortality in 4,252 Swedish dairy herds from September 2009 to August 2010

Variable	Category	MR[1] ratio	CI[2]	P-value[3]
Calving interval	< 391.8	1		< 0.001
(days)	391.8 to 407.3	1.10	1.03, 1.17	
	407.4 to 429.1	1.15	1.08, 1.22	
	≥ 429.2	1.29	1.20, 1.37	
Herd size x season[4,5]	20 to 49.9, autumn-winter	1		< 0.001
	20 to 49.9, winter-spring	1.39	1.28, 1.51	
	20 to 49.9, summer	1.46	1.34, 1.59	
	50 to 99.9, autumn-winter	1.33	1.22, 1.45	
	50 to 99.9, winter-spring	1.42	1.30, 1.55	
	50 to 99.9, summer	1.51	1.38, 1.64	
	100 to 199.9, autumn-winter	1.52	1.38, 1.68	
	100 to 199.9, winter-spring	1.58	1.45, 1.78	
	100 to 199.9, summer	1.69	1.47, 1.93	
	≥ 200, autumn-winter	1.85	1.53, 2.23	
	≥ 200, winter-spring	1.69	1.47, 1.93	
	≥ 200, summer	1.66	1.46, 1.89	
Housing system[6] x	Tie stall, < 8,421	1		< 0.001
milk yield (kg ECM)[5]	Tie stall, 8,421 to 9,279	0.98	0.89, 1.08	
	Tie stall, 9,280 to 9,994	0.92	0.84, 1.01	
	Tie stall, ≥ 9,995	0.93	0.85, 1.01	
	Free stall AMS, < 8,421	1.03	0.91, 1.16	
	Free stall AMS, 8,421 to 9,279	1.12	1.00, 1.27	
	Free stall AMS, 9,280 to 9,994	0.92	0.82, 1.03	
	Free stall AMS, ≥ 9,995	0.81	0.70, 0.92	
	Free stall CM, < 8,421	1.15	1.02, 1.30	
	Free stall CM, 8,421 to 9,279	1.06	0.93, 1.19	
	Free stall CM, 9,280 to 9,994	0.97	0.87, 1.08	
	Free stall CM, ≥ 9,995	0.92	0.82, 1.03	
Management type	Conventional	1		< 0.001
	Organic	0.80	0.74, 0.88	
Predominant breed	Swedish Holstein	1		< 0.001
	Swedish Red	0.76	0.71, 0.82	
	Mixed/other breeds[7]	0.87	0.83, 0.92	
Region[8]	Södra Götaland (1)	1		< 0.001
	Östra Götaland (2)	1.07	0.99, 1.17	
	Västra Götaland (3)	0.97	0.90, 1.06	
	Östra Svealand (4)	0.96	0.86, 1.08	
	Västra Svealand (5)	1.06	0.93, 1.20	
	Norrland (6)	1.10	1.01, 1.21	

[1] Mortality rate.
[2] 95% confidence interval.
[3] Significance level (Wald test) of main effects or combined effects.
[4] Autumn-winter (September 1 to December 31), winter-spring (January 1 to April 30), summer (May 1 to August 31).
[5] The combined effect of the main variables.
[6] Tie stall = tie stall with pipeline milking; Free stall AMS = free stall with automatic milking system; Free stall CM = free stall with conventional milking (e.g. milking parlor, carousel).
[7] Crossbreeds, Swedish Polled and Swedish Jersey.
[8] Numbers in brackets refers to regions identified in figure 1.