

This is an author produced version of a paper published in Journal of Applied Polymer Science. This paper has been peer-reviewed but may not include the final publisher

proof-corrections or pagination.

Citation for the published paper:

Carolin Menzel, Kristine Koch. (2014) Impact of the Coating Process on the Molecular Structure of Starch-Based Barrier Coatings. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. Volume: 131, Number: 23, pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.41190.

Access to the published version may require journal subscription. Published with permission from: Wiley.

Standard set statement from the publisher:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Menzel, C. and Koch, K. (2014), Impact of the coating process on the molecular structure of starch-based barrier coatings. J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 131, 41190. Which has been published in final form at 10.1002/app.41190. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance With Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving

Epsilon Open Archive http://epsilon.slu.se

1 Impact of the coating process on the molecular structure of starch-based barrier

2 coatings

- 3 Carolin Menzel^{*}, Kristine Koch
- 4 Department of Food Science, Uppsala BioCenter, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Box 7051,
- 5 SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden
- 6 Correspondence to: Carolin Menzel (E-mail: carolin.menzel@slu.se)
- 7

8 ABSTRACT

- 9 Molecular analysis of starch structure can be used to explain and predict changes in physical properties,
- 10 such as water vapor and oxygen barrier properties in packaging materials. Solution casting is a widely
- 11 used technique to create films from starch formulations. This study compared the molecular properties
- 12 of these standard films with those of experimental coatings applied to paper in laboratory-scale and
- 13 pilot-scale trials, with all three techniques using the same starch formulation. The results revealed large
- 14 differences in molecular structure, i.e., cross-linking and hydrolysis, between films and coatings. The
- 15 main differences were due to the shorter drying time allowed to laboratory-scale coatings and the
- 16 accelerated drying process in pilot trials owing to the high energy output of infrared dryers. Furthermore,
- 17 surface morphology was highly affected by the coating technique used, with a rougher surface and
- 18 many pinholes occurring in pilot-scale coatings, giving lower water vapor permeability than laboratory-
- 19 scale coatings.
- 20 KEYWORDS starch, film forming, coating, solution cast, molecular structure, citric acid, drying,
- 21 processing
- 22

23 INTRODUCTION

24 There is increasing demand for green packaging materials in the world, driven by industrial growth and trends for environmentally friendly packaging.¹ The world demand for food packaging is expected to 25 grow about 2.5% per year.² The expected production capacity of bio-plastics is ecpected to grow fivefold 26 from 2011 to 2016 as the largest sector in packaging.³ Hence, there have been intensive investigations 27 28 on bio-based materials, especially from bio-polymers, for packaging purposes. Conventional food 29 packaging consists of multi-layer films of synthetic plastics and adhesives to provide barrier properties, 30 allow color printing, and bind all layers together. From an environmental point of view, it is desirable to 31 replace these coatings with renewable types. Several bio-polymers such as starch, poly-lactic acid, and polyhydroxybutyrate can replace synthetic plastics such as polyethylene terephthalate or polystyrene 32 plastics.^{4,5} In 2010, starch represented the second largest sector of the global bio-plastic packaging 33 market, accounting for 22.2%.⁶ Starch is a widely used bio-polymer for the production of films and 34

35 coatings because of its abundance and ability to form a network structure. The possibility of using starch as a base in new materials has been extensively researched since the 1950s.⁷ Starch is commonly 36 plasticized with glycerol to overcome its brittleness and several chemical modifications can be used to 37 38 improve the hydrophilic character of starch. Due to its structure of chains of $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ linked α -glucan units and numerous free hydroxyl groups, various chemical modifications such as acid hydrolysis, oxidation,⁸ 39 cross-linking,⁹ and acetylation^{10,11} can be applied to obtain desired physical properties. Regarding barrier 40 properties for food packaging, the most critical compounds are water vapor and oxygen, since these 41 42 compounds can permeate through the packaging material and alter the food quality. In recent studies, 43 cross-linking with citric acid (CA) has been cited as a promising additive to improve the thermal and barrier properties of starch films.^{9,12,13} In those studies, changes in molecular structure due to acid 44 hydrolysis and cross-linking by adding CA were analyzed using solution-cast films.¹² In a study by Olsson 45 et al., ⁹ starch films containing up to 30pph CA reduced moisture content, diffusion coefficient and water 46 vapor permeability confirming previous findings.^{13,14} Molecular characterization studies on the same 47 48 films confirmed that high CA content and high temperature curing increased cross-linking reactions, but 49 that hydrolysis of starch occurred simultaneously. To prevent severe hydrolysis of starch films at high CA 50 content and preserve improved barrier properties at the same time, pH adjustments to the starch 51 formulation before drying were tested. It was shown that at pH 4, starch hydrolysis was stopped, while 52 cross-linking still occurred. In addition, gas barrier properties, i.e., oxygen and water vapor permeability, 53 showed a minimum at pH 4 measured on laboratory-scale coated paper based on the same starch solution and prepared under comparable drying conditions.^{9,15} However, to the best of our knowledge, 54 55 there are no studies comparing the impact of the coating process on the molecular structure of starch 56 coated on paper or paperboard, either in the laboratory or at pilot scale, relative to solution-cast films. 57 To test a new starch formulation as a barrier coating in a food packaging application, pilot-scale trials are

58 normally carried out in industry. However, such trials are very expensive and time-consuming. As an 59 alternative, laboratory-scale experiments can be used to study desired parameters. The most common 60 film formation technique used at laboratory scale is casting, where the film-forming solution is cast on a 61 non-adhesive surface and the solvent is evaporated. Different parameters such as drying temperature 62 and relative humidity (RH) influence the film properties. For instance, it has been shown that in glycerol-63 plasticized amylopectin films, increasing RH results in higher relative crystallinity during film formation.¹⁶ 64 Throughout the drying process, hydrogen bonds are formed between the bio-polymers and/or plasticizers and the film structure is generated.¹⁷ The longer film formation takes, the longer time there 65 is for a film component to phase-separate and crystallize.¹⁸ However, drying kinetics in industry can 66 67 differ substantially from laboratory-scale conditions. For example, in industrial applications the drying 68 strategy depends on the machine speed, amount of layers applied and the drying conditions, i.e., 69 temperature and relative humidity in the building. There is only a short time between the application of 70 the coating on the carrier material and the final immobilization point where water evaporates and the 71 network structure is created. Therefore, it is crucial to study and understand the relationship between 72 processing technique, molecular structure, and material properties when seeking to develop new 73 functional packaging coatings.

74 The objectives of this study were terefore: 1) to find suitable methods to analyze the molecular

- 75 structure of starch in paper coatings, 2) to determine the impact of the coating process parameters on
- the molecular and barrier properties of starch-based coatings, and 3) to compare the molecular
- structure of solution-cast starch films and laboratory-scale coated papers with that of industrially
- 78 produced starch coatings from a pilot trial. Experimental parameters for the pilot trial were chosen
- 79 according to a previous study,¹⁵ where laboratory-scale coated papers showed a minimum in water
- 80 vapor transmission rate (WVTR) and no hydrolysis when the pH was kept at 4 for a CA-starch
- 81 formulation. That study showed that molecular changes in solution-cast films cannot be directly related
- to barrier properties that are generally measured on coated papers. There were large differences in
- 83 molecular structure between solution-cast films and laboratory-scale coated papers. No hydrolysis was
- 84 detectable due to the shorter drying time applied to the laboratory-scale coatings. The pilot-scale
- 85 coatings also showed no hydrolysis, but demonstrated a higher degree of cross-linking compared with
- 86 the laboratory-scale coated papers and solution-cast films. At pilot scale, the drying process is
- accelerated by the high energy output of infrared dryers, which evaporates the water in a very short
- time and initiates the esterification and finally cross-linking reaction. The higher water vapor
- 89 permeability in pilot-scale coatings has been attributed to a rougher and more uneven surface and large
- 90 visible pinholes compared with laboratory-scale coatings due to the coating technique applied.
- 91

92 **EXPERIMENTAL**

93 Materials

94 Hydroxypropylated and oxidized potato starch (Solcoat 155 and Solcoat P55) was kindly provided by 95 Solam (Kristianstad, Sweden). According to the supplier, this commercial starch contains about 79% 96 amylopectin and 21% amylose, with a degree of substitution of 0.11 with respect to hydroxypropylation. 97 A different starch was used in the pilot trial because of the lower viscosity requirements in industrial 98 applications and the higher solids content while boiling (30% for Solcoat P55 instead of 20% as for 99 Solcoat 155). The starch used in laboratory coatings had a viscosity of 180 cP at 20% solids content, 100 Brookfield LVDV 100 rpm, and 50 °C, for jet cooked starch whereas the pilot-scale starch had a viscosity 101 of 30 cP under similar conditions. The difference between the two starches (Figures 1 and 2) is due to 102 higher oxidation in Solcoat P55 to gain lower viscosity set by the amount of hypochlorite during 103 modification. All reagents and solvents (sodium hydroxide, phenol, sulfuric acid 95-97%, double 104 supplemented iodine, anhydrous glucose, potassium hydroxide, boric acid, copper(II)sulfate-5 hydrate) 105 used for analyses were of analytical grade and were purchased from Merck, Germany, except for 106 anhydrous citric acid and sodium borate-10hydrate, which were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Inc., ST. Louis, 107 MO, USA.

108 Preparation of starch films and starch coatings

109 Solution-cast starch films

- 110 The preparation of solution-cast starch films is described in detail elsewhere.⁹ In brief, a 10% (w/w)
- starch (Solcoat 155) solution was gelatinized in a boiling water bath, cooled to room temperature and 30
- parts CA per 100 parts of dry starch (pph) were added. The CA-containing starch solution was then
- adjusted to different pH values (2, 4 and 6.5) using 10 M NaOH solution, cast in Petri dishes and dried at
- 114 70 °C for 5 h. The dried solution-cast films were heat-treated (cured) for 10 min at 150 °C.

115 Laboratory-scale starch coatings on paper

- 116 The laboratory-scale starch coatings were prepared according to Olsson et al.¹⁵ The pH-adjusted starch
- 117 (Solcoat 155) solutions described above were coated in double layers on Super Perga WS Parchment
- 118 70 g/m² paper (Nordic Paper Greåker, Norway) using a bench coater and a wire-wound bar (K202
- 119 Control Coater, RK Coat Instrument Ltd., Royston, UK). The first layer was dried before applying the
- second layer and both coated layers were dried at either 70 °C or 150 °C for 90 s. The coat weight was
- 121 measured according to Olsson et al.¹⁵ In brief, the difference in weight between coated and uncoated
- papers was measured after conditioning at 23 °C and 50% RH for at least 24 h. The resulting coat weight
- 123 was between 15 and 18 g/m².

124 Pilot-scale starch coatings on paper

- 125 Pilot-scale coating was carried out using an industrial machine (UMV Coating Systems AB, Säffle, Sweden)
- and a starch (Solcoat P55) formulation with pH adjusted to 4. The coatings were applied either as single
- 127 or double layers. The machine parameters were: machine speed 400 m/min, coating technique hard tip,
- drying with infrared dryer at 150 °C, followed by 60 °C at 35% RH, and a nominal evaporation rate of 673
- 129 kg/m/h. The starch formulation consisted of 100 pph starch and 30 pph CA, adjusted to pH 4 with NaOH
- and 0.01% (w/w) defoamer BIM 7640 (BIM Kemi Sweden AB).. Two commonly used and well-studied
- 131 industrial clay fillers, 87pph kaolin filler (Barrisurf LX[™], Imerys) and 3pph nanosized clay filler (Cloisite
- 132 Na⁺TM, Southern Clay Products Inc.), were added to improve barrier properties and develop a
- renewable starch formulation for industrial usage. The resulting coat weight was 6 and 11 g/m^2 for
- single and double coatings, respectively, on greaseproof paper Super Perga WS Parchment 70 g/m²
- 135 (Nordic Paper Greåker, Norway).

136 Extraction of starch from the coated paper

- 137 A method to extract starch from the coated carrier material (paper) was developed in order to ensure a
- 138 representative comparison of the molecular structure in solution-cast films and coated papers. Cut
- pieces of coated paper (0.5 x 0.5 cm, 0.1 g) were either stirred in water or in 0.1 M or 1 M NaOH for
- different periods (20 min, 5 h, 24 h). The extract was filtered through 0.45 μ m filters. Starch content in
- 141 terms of glucose concentration was measured according to the phenol-sulfuric acid method.¹⁹ The
- 142 uncoated carrier material used as a blank was treated in a similar way.

143 Molecular characterization of amylose and amylopectin

- 144 Changes in amylose and amylopectin content were determined using a method described elsewhere.¹²
- 145 In brief, 25 mg solution-cast film or 100 mg starch-coated paper were dispersed in 5 mL 0.1 M NaOH,
- 146 filtered through an 0.45 µm filter, and a 1-mL aliquot was injected for size-exclusion chromatography on
- a Sepharose CL-2B column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Fractions of 1 mL were analyzed using a
- 148 combination of the phenol-sulfuric acid method and iodine staining.^{19,20} This permitted determination of
- 149 the starch concentration in the elution profile in terms of glucose equivalents using the phenol-sulfuric
- acid reagent and determination of chain length in terms of wavelength at maximum absorbance using
- 151 iodine staining. Amylose molecules appear at higher wavelength numbers due to their longer chains.^{20,21}
- 152 The experiment was performed in duplicate.

153 Determination of weight-average molecular weight (M_w)

- 154 Weight-average molecular weight (M_w) was measured on solution-cast starch films and on extracted
- 155 starch from coatings, which were then dissolved in either 0.1 M NaOH solution or distilled water.
- 156 Therefore, about 25 mg solution-cast films or 100 mg starch-coated paper were suspended in 5 mL of
- 157 the respective solvent for 2 h and gently stirred using a magnetic stirrer. The NaOH suspensions were
- 158 kept at room temperature, while the water suspensions were heated to 70 °C in a water bath to dissolve
- the starch. The solutions were filtered through a 0.45 μm filter and directly injected (75 μL) into a high-
- 160 performance size-exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) system coupled with a multi-angle laser-light
- 161 scattering (MALLS) detector and a refractive index (RI) detector, as described elsewhere.¹² Furthermore,
- 162 a 950- μ L aliquot of the filtered water suspension was treated with 50 μ L 2 M NaOH to detect possible
- 163 changes in M_w due to expected cross-linkage formation between CA and starch. The experiments were
- 164 performed in duplicate.

165 Solubility in water

- 166 Water solubility was determined as described elsewhere.¹² In brief, the starch/water suspensions (about
- 167 5 mg/mL) was stirred for 2 days and then diluted (1:80, v/v) and filtered before analyzing the starch
- 168 concentration using the phenol-sulfuric acid reagent.¹⁹ The corresponding glucose concentration was
- 169 calculated using a glucose standard calibration curve. The glucose concentration was corrected by a
- 170 factor of 0.9, based on anhydroglucose units (M_{AGU} 162 g/mol) as the main subunits of starch. The
- 171 experiment was performed in duplicate.

172 Titration with copper (II)-sulfate for CA di-ester determination

- 173 Citric acid di-esters were determined according to the complexometric titration method of CA with
- 174 copper(II)-ions described by Graffmann et al., ^{22,23} with small modifications as described elsewhere.¹² In
- brief, 300 mg solution-cast starch film or 1 g starch-coated paper was weighed into a beaker and two
- 176 different treatments, direct titration and titration after hydrolysis, were carried out. Starch ester bonds
- were hydrolyzed using 50 mL 0.1 M KOH (pH >12). In both treatments, a borax/boric acid buffer (pH 8.5)
- 178 was added and the starch samples were titrated with 0.02 M copper(II)-sulfate solution. The content of
- 179 CA di-ester was calculated according to Menzel et al.¹² The experiment was performed in triplicate.

180 Scanning electron microscopy of laboratory-scale and pilot-scale coated papers

- 181 Scanning electron microscopy was carried out directly on film surfaces using an environmental tabletop
- 182 Hitachi TM-1000-mu-DeX instrument and an accelerating voltage of 15 keV, magnification x100.

183 Water vapor transmission rate of pilot-scale coatings compared with laboratory-scale coatings

184 Barrier properties in terms of WVTR were measured on laboratory-scale and pilot-scale coatings using

- ISO 2528 with silica gel as desiccant in tests carried out at 23 °C and 50% RH. The experiment was
 performed in duplicate.
- 187
- 188

189 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

190 Extraction of starch from the coated papers

191 Pre-experiments were performed with the laboratory-scale and pilot-scale coated papers to ensure that 192 the entire starch coating went into solution and that particles from the carrier material did not interfere 193 with the analysis. It was found that 100 mg coated material in 5 mL 0.1 M NaOH, stirred for 20 min and 194 subsequently filtered through a 0.45 µm filter, was sufficient to recover 75-82% of the starch applied as 195 a coating. The dissolved starch content was determined as glucose equivalents using phenol-sulfuric acid 196 reagent and a glucose calibration curve. Longer time (5 h, 24 h) or higher alkali concentration (1 M 197 NaOH) did not increase solubility (data not shown). For the pilot-scale coatings, it was found that about 198 3% (by weight) of the uncoated carrier paper went into the 0.1 M NaOH solution and was recovered as 199 glucose equivalents using phenol-sulfuric acid reagent. However, iodine staining showed no color 200 formation and M_w determined by HPSEC-MALLS-RI was lower than 40,000 g/mol, indicating that the 201 dissolved molecules were probably low molecular weight starch molecules present within the carrier 202 paper. In addition, the elution profile using size-exclusion chromatography of the dissolved part of the 203 uncoated carrier material showed small molecules with no iodine staining eluting late in the 204 chromatogram (Figure 1, elution fraction 135-160 mL). Therefore, these small molecules were 205 considered not to interfere with further molecular analysis.

206

207 Molecular changes in laboratory scale starch coatings compared with solution-cast starch films

208 Molecular characterization

- The solution-cast starch films are described in detail by Olsson et al.¹⁵ and were used as reference
 material for laboratory-scale starch coatings.
- 211 Molecular characterization of laboratory-scale coated starch films and solution-cast starch films
- revealed no changes in amylopectin and amylose distribution (relative absorbance curve and Λ_{max} values)
- 213 between the laboratory-scale coated starch films at pH 2 at different curing temperatures (non-cured

214 and cured at 150 °C). However, with high temperature curing (150 °C) of the solution-cast starch films,

- 215 the first eluting peak corresponding to amylopectin was strongly affected at different pH levels due to
- 216 starch degradation (Figure 2b). For the laboratory-scale coated starch films no degradation of starch
- 217 molecules was detected and hence no hydrolysis due to CA had taken place compared with the as-
- 218 received starch (Figure 2a). One explanation could be differences in the drying process of the coatings 219 and films, as the curing time to produce laboratory-scale coated starch papers was substantially shorter
- 220 (90 s) than that for solution-cast films (10 min). In addition, it is reasonable to believe that heating the
- 221 coated papers to the same temperature as the solution-cast films took a longer time due to the
- 222 thickness of the material. It has been shown previously that high temperature promotes acid
- hydrolysis^{12,24,25} and that different drying conditions influence film formation and molecular structure in 223
- starch films.^{16,26} Hence, during the shorter drying time for the starch coatings, less starch was degraded 224
- 225 compared with in the solution-cast films. It is important to consider the drying method applied to
- 226 coatings and cast films in order to predict molecular changes in solution-cast films coated on paper in
- 227 the laboratory, as well as coatings prepared under industrial conditions.
- 228

229 *M_w* determination in 0.1 *M* NaOH and water

- 230 Starch from solution-cast films and laboratory-scale coated films were dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH to
- 231 determine M_w of the de-esterified starch molecules (Figure 3). Solution-cast films as described
- previously by Olsson et al.¹⁵ were used as reference. The M_w of starch from coatings was between 6.3 232
- and 8.0*10⁶ g/mol for non-cured and 150 °C cured coatings, compared with 8.8*10⁶ g/mol for as-233
- 234 received starch. There were no significant differences (t-test, p>0.05) between coatings prepared in the
- 235 different conditions, i.e. neither pH nor high temperature curing affected M_w of the starch applied as a
- 236 coating on paper. This is in agreement with the results from molecular analysis of amylose and
- 237 amylopectin showing no degradation of starch in laboratory-scale coated paper (Figure 2a). However,
- 238 solution-cast starch films were strongly affected by pH and high temperature curing e.g., a decrease in
- 239 M_w with decreasing pH (Figure 3). Adjustment of the pH to higher values prevented acid hydrolysis even
- 240 in cured films, as shown in a previous study.¹⁵
- 241 In addition, the M_w of the water soluble starch extract of solution cast films and laboratory scale
- 242 coatings was measured before and after subsequent de-esterification with NaOH (Table 1) and the
- 243 solubility in water was determined (Figure 4).
- 244 Laboratory-scale coating on paper showed higher water solubility (63-80%) than the reference solution-
- 245 cast starch films (16-48%, data from Olsson et al.). This could be due to a lower degree of cross-linking of
- 246 starch molecules by CA in the laboratory-scale starch coatings compared with the solution-cast starch 247 films, resulting in higher water solubility.
- 248
- The M_w of the water-soluble starch extracted from laboratory-scale coated papers was lowest for coatings prepared at pH 2 ($5.5*10^6$ g/mol) and increased with increasing pH (Table 1). There was no 249
- significant change in M_w when coatings were cured at high temperature (150 °C). The M_w of water-250

- soluble starch was similar to that of de-esterified starch in NaOH (Figure 3), as anticipated from the high
- water solubility. Only coatings prepared at pH 2 had a slightly lower M_w in water (Table 1), although
- 253 water alone also gave the highest water solubility.
- Cross-linking of starch by CA was detectable as a change in M_w of the water-soluble starch after
 subsequent treatment with NaOH which induced hydrolysis of the ester bonds between one CA
- molecule and two starch molecules and hence reduced M_w(Table 1). The laboratory-scale coated
- 257 papers prepared at pH 2 showed the highest M_w decrease (23%, or 26% for curing at 150 °C) after NaOH
- treatment of the water-soluble starch. The M_w decrease was lower for laboratory-scale coatings
- prepared at pH 4 (6% non-cured, 16% cured) and pH 6.5 (6% non-cured, 12% cured), indicating fewer CA
- 260 cross-linkages in the water soluble starch extract. The cross-linking reaction, which is basically Fischer
- esterification, is catalyzed at low pH explaining the larger decrease in M_w at pH 2. High-temperature
- 262 curing of the coatings resulted in slightly higher M_W decreases after NaOH treatment and hence a higher
- 263 degree of cross-linking. In contrast, M_w before and after de-esterification of water-soluble starch from
- solution-cast films was highly affected by both pH-adjustment and curing, resulting in highly cross-linked
- films at pH 2 (M_W decrease 19%) and high temperature (M_W decrease 85%), as described and discussed
- 266 in previous reports.¹⁵
- 267 It was found that the M_w and solubility of starch from solution-cast films and laboratory-scale coatings
- 268 were differently affected. The difference in cross-linking might be due to the difference in the drying
- 269 process, i.e. curing for 10 min or 90 s, as discussed above with reference to the molecular distribution of
- amylose and amylopectin. However, even the short drying time that was applied to the coated papers
- 271 was sufficient to initiate cross-linking between starch molecules in laboratory-scale coatings at all pH
- 272 levels. In addition, the M_w data showed that cross-linking of starch by CA took place, besides acid
- 273 hydrolysis as described previously.^{12,15}

274 Citric acid di-ester determination in solution-cast starch films and laboratory-scale coated papers

- As described in previous reports,¹² the titration of CA with copper (II) -sulfate can detect CA and mono-
- esterified CA molecules. Hence, titration before and after hydrolysis shows the amount of CA molecules
- 277 that are di-esterified and potentially cross-linked between different starch molecules. The CA di-ester
- 278 content was expressed in terms of degree of di-esterification (DDE) for the solution-cast films (data from
- 279 Menzel et al.¹²) and the laboratory-scale coated films (Figure 5).
- 280 The amount of di-esterified CA ranged between 1% and 21% of total added CA for the solution-cast
- starch films, whereas in the laboratory-scale coated starch films only up to 3.5% of total added CA was
- di-esterified corresponding to a DDE of 0.01. Hence, there were large differences in di-ester content
- 283 between solution-cast films and laboratory-scale coated papers. In general, the formation of di-ester
- between starch and CA was enhanced by high temperature curing. This has been described
- previously^{12,15} and has been attributed to the reaction mechanism of ester formation, where water
- evaporation shifts the reaction towards the ester production of starch. In laboratory-scale coatings

- fewer CA di-esters were generated, probably due to the shorter curing time (90 s) at a temperature where cross-linking, i.e., ester formation, is enhanced.
- 289 Pilot-scale starch coatings compared with laboratory-scale coatings

290 The starch formulation containing 30 pph CA with an adjusted pH of 4 was scaled up in a pilot trial. In

addition, the starch formulation contained 87 pph platy kaolin filler Barrisurf LX (Imerys, Cornwall, UK)

- and nano-sized clay filler Cloisite Na+ (Southern Clay Products Inc., Gonzales, Texas, US) at a
- 293 concentration of 3 pph. These commercial fillers were used to further improve barrier properties, e.g.
- 294 WVTR. The usage of the natural montmorillonite is described in detail elsewhere ²⁷ and was not further
- taken into account for molecular characterization of starch. The pilot trial included both single and
- 296 double layers on greaseproof paper.

297 Scanning electron microscopy images of coating surface morphology

298 Scanning electron microscopy images were taken to study the surface morphology and coverage of the 299 carrier paper and the occurrence of pinholes and cracks. Single pilot-scale coatings (Figure 6c) had quite 300 a smooth surface with a visible underlying fiber structure and many round pinholes (5-30 μ m), whereas 301 double coating resulted in a smoother surface with less pinholes that were partly closed (Figure 6e). In comparison, the laboratory-scale coated papers prepared at pH 4 showed no pinholes and uniform 302 303 coverage of the carrier paper. There were no difference between non-cured coatings (Figure 6d) and 304 coatongs cured at 150 °C (Figure 6f). Pinholes in coatings can occur due to air bubbles within the starch 305 dispersion, and hence repeated efforts were made to improve the application of the coating. For 306 example, different defoamers provided by BIM Kemi were used to try to reduce air bubbles in the starch 307 dispersion, which was successful for small-scale coating but not sufficient at pilot scale (data not shown). 308 Moreover, the surface of the paper itself was not even and in a fast coating process the starch coating 309 might not fill out the unevenness as much as in a slower process, where the starch slurry can penetrate 310 into cavities or the like. Furthermore, irregularities in thickness can cause bursting of covered holes during the drying process. Slower drying, i.e., at a speed of 200 and 100 m/min, or using a soft blade to 311 312 apply the starch did not decrease the amount of pinholes (data not shown). However, theproblem of 313 pinholes could not be fully eliminated and will need further investigations.

- 314
- 315

316 Molecular changes in starch in pilot-scale coatings

As described above, starch was extracted from the pilot-coated papers using 0.1M NaOH solution. The M_w was $7.4\pm0.63 \times 10^5$ g/mol for single layer coatings and $8.3\pm0.42 \times 10^5$ g/mol for double layer coatings.

319 The M_w of the pilot-scale coatings was slightly lower than that of the as-received starch material Solcoat

320 P55 (8.8x10⁵ g/mol). However, there was no significant starch degradation due to acid hydrolysis in

321 pilot-scale coatings as detected by M_w measurements, which was confirmed by the molecular 322 distribution (Figure 1).

The pilot-scale coatings had a water solubility of 43% and 67% for the single and double layer, respectively. In comparison with laboratory-scale coatings prepared at pH 4 with 68% (non-cured) and 74% (cured) water-soluble starch, the starch in pilot-scale coated papers showed lower solubility in water.

The M_W in the water-soluble starch extracted from single layer pilot papers was 16.0×10^5 g/mol, while in that extracted from double layer papers it was 17.3×10^5 g/mol. This was much higher than the M_W of the as-received starch material (8.8×10^5 g/mol). The strong decrease (>42%) in Mw after de-esterification with NaOH implies that the starch in the water-soluble fraction was highly cross-linked. However, considering the water solubility of 43% (single layer) and 67% (double layer), only a part of the starch was represented.

- The DDE of the pilot coatings was 0.024 and 0.022 for the single and double layer papers, respectively, corresponding to di-esterification of about 9% of added CA. Pilot-scale coated papers showed higher
- DDE values than solution-cast films at pH 4 and laboratory-scale coated films at pH 4 (Fig. 5).
- One reason for these structural differences between pilot-scale coatings, laboratory-scale coatings, and
- 337 solution-cast films could be the extreme differences in the drying process. In the industrial pilot-scale
- plant, the coated paper runs with a speed of 400m/min through 4 m long infrared dryers with high
- energy output and a nominal evaporation rate of 673kg/m/h. This first drying process is very short (4 s)
- and high-temperature (150 °C), followed by drying hoods at 65 °C for about 12 s. As the coated paper is
- 341 heated rapidly and water evaporates within seconds in the air infrared dryers, this results in large
- 342 differences in film formation, as seen in the microstructure revealed by the scanning electron
- 343 microscopy images (Figure 6), with e.g., pinholes and uneven surface compared with laboratory-scale
- coatings. In addition, chemical reactions such as cross-linking and hydrolysis of starch by CA are affected.
- The high energy output of the infrared dryers promoted cross-linking in the starch coating but no
- 346 hydrolysis occurred, probably due to the pH being adjusted to 4.
- 347

348 Water vapor transmission rate of pilot-scale coatings compared with laboratory-scale coatings

- Barrier properties in terms of WVTR were measured in both laboratory-scale and pilot-scale coatings.
- 350 The results for the laboratory-scale coatings are described elsewhere¹⁵ and were used here for
- 351 comparison with the barrier properties of pilot-scale coatings. The pilot-scale coatings had a WVTR of 77
- and 44 g/(m² 24h) for single and double layers, respectively. As expected, higher coat weight increased
- 353 the barrier to water vapor movement.
- However, the laboratory-scale coated papers showed better barrier properties, with WVTR values of 16 to 41 g/(m² 24h). One explanation could be the application of the coating, i.e. the evenness of the coat

356 weight and pinholes. In laboratory-scale coating, the starch solution was metered with a wire-wound

- rod whereas at pilot scale a blade was used to meter out the coating. The latter could have resulted in
- 358 some compression of the carrier paper, causing slightly different patterns and coat weight variations
- between ridges and troughs on the paper. Another reason could be shear differences beneath the blade,
 leading to orientation of particles, aggregation and the creation of pinholes, as seen in pilot-scale
- 361 coatings (Figure 6). Hence, the laboratory-scale coated papers had slightly higher barrier properties in
- 362 terms of WVTR. Such differences in transmission when conditions are scaled up have been described
- 363 previously and attributed to lower coat weight due to a lower solids content in coating formulations on
- 364 pilot scale.²⁸
- 365

366 CONCLUSIONS

367 It proved possible to extract and analyze starch from coatings on a carrier material consisting of paper.

- 368 There were large differences in the molecular structure of starch between solution-cast films,
- laboratory-scale coatings and pilot-scale coatings, as evidenced by changes in molecular distribution,
- 370 M_w, and degree of di-esterification. Laboratory-scale coatings showed no significant hydrolysis of starch
- and a lower degree of cross-linking of starch by CA compared with solution-cast films. On scaling up to
- an industrial pilot trial, starch coatings showed no strong hydrolysis. The degree of di-esterification was
- higher in pilot-scale coated papers compared with laboratory-scale coated papers and solution-cast
 films prepared at the same pH. It was shown that cross-linking reactions between starch and CA were
- 375 initiated in the pilot-scale coatings even though the drying period was very short, but with a high
- evaporation rate than in laboratory conditions. Furthermore, laboratory-scale coatings had a smoother
- 377 surface morphology due to more gentle coating application compared with pilot-scale application and
- 378 had better barrier properties against water vapor. Thus starch structure was differently influenced by
- 379 the drying technique applied and surface morphology, in turn affecting the barrier properties of the
- 380 coated paper. We believe that coated papers are more relevant than solution-cast films for studying
- 381 changes in molecular structure of starch. However, further investigations are needed to determine the
- 382 optimal laboratory conditions resembling industrial conditions.
- 383

384 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

385 Eric Olsson is highly acknowledged for preparation of solution cast films and laboratory coated papers

- and Gulaim Seisenbaeva for technical assistance with the scanning electron microscopy. This study was
- 387 performed within the project Renewable Functional Barriers which is a part of the BFP research program,
- jointly launched by the Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation Systems (VINNOVA), The Swedish
- 389 Forest Industries Federation, *Trä- och Möbelföretagen* (TMF) and Trees and Crops for the Future (TC4F).

390 CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS

- Both authors participated in planning the experimental work, evaluation of the results and revising the
- 392 manuscript. The first author was responsible for the majority of the analytical work and writing the
- 393 manuscript. Both authors approved the submitted and final version.
- 394

395 **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 396 Freedonia. World Green Packaging Industry, http://www.reportlinker.com/p0593473-1. 397 summary/World-Green-Packaging-Industry.html, 2011 (accessed March 21, 2013). 398 2. Reportlinker. Packaged Food Industry: Market Research Reports, Statistics and Analysis. 399 http://www.reportlinker.com/ci02041/Packaged-Food.html (accessed November 11, 2013). 400 3. ; European Bioplastics/ Institute of Bioplastics and Biocomposites, EuBP market data. 401 http://en.european-bioplastics.org/market/ (accessed November 11, 2013). 2012. 402 4. R. Babu, K. O'Connor and R. Seeram, *Progress in Biomaterials*, 2, 8 (2013). 403 5. M. Jamshidian, E. A. Tehrany, M. Imran, M. Jacquot and S. Desobry, Comprehensive Reviews in 404 *Food Science and Food Safety*, **9**, 552-571 (2010). 405 6. L. M. Pierce. PHA and bio-derived PE to drive bioplastic packaging market to 2020: study. 406 http://www.packagingdigest.com/article/517396-407 PHA and bio derived PE to drive bioplastic packaging market to 2020 study.php 408 (accessed November 11, 2013), 2011. 409 7. I. A. Wolff, H. A. Davis, J. E. Cluskey, L. J. Gundrum and C. E. Rist, Industrial & Engineering 410 Chemistry, 43, 915-919 (1951).
- 411 8. P. Parovuori, A. Hamunen, P. Forssell, K. Autio and K. Poutanen, *Starch Stärke*, **47**, 19-23 (1995).
- 412 9. E. Olsson, M. S. Hedenqvist, C. Johansson and L. Järnström, *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 94, 765-772
 413 (2013).
- 414 10. M. I. Khalil, A. Hashem and A. Hebeish, *Starch Stärke*, **47**, 394-398 (1995).
- S. E. Rudolph and R. C. Glowaky. in *http://www.google.com/patents?id=I-41AAAAEBAJ*; U. S.
 Patent, Ed.; The Sherwin-Williams Company, Ohio, 1978.
- C. Menzel, E. Olsson, T. S. Plivelic, R. Andersson, C. Johansson, R. Kuktaite, L. Järnström and K.
 Koch, *Carbohydrate Polymers*, **96**, 270-276 (2013).
- 419 13. B. Ghanbarzadeh, H. Almasi and A. A. Entezami, *Industrial Crops and Products*, **33**, 229-235
 420 (2011).
- 421 14. N. Reddy and Y. Yang, *Food Chemistry*, **118**, 702-711 (2010).
- 422 15. E. Olsson, C. Menzel, C. Johansson, R. Andersson, K. Koch and L. Järnström, *Carbohydrate*423 *Polymers*, **98**, 1505-1513 (2013).
- 424 16. Å. Rindlav-Westling, M. Stading, A.-M. Hermansson and P. Gatenholm, *Carbohydrate Polymers*,
 425 **36**, 217-224 (1998).
- 426 17. R. A. Talja. in *Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry*; University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 2007.
- 427 18. Å. Rindlav-Westling and P. Gatenholm, *Biomacromolecules*, **4**, 166-172 (2003).
- 428
 19.
 M. DuBois, K. A. Gilles, J. K. Hamilton, P. A. Rebers and F. Smith, Analytical Chemistry, 28, 350

 429
 356 (1956).
- 430 20. W. R. Morrison and B. Laignelet, *Journal of Cereal Science*, **1**, 9-20 (1983).
- 431 21. A. Altskär, R. Andersson, A. Boldizar, K. Koch, M. Stading, M. Rigdahl and M. Thunwall,
 432 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, **71**, 591-597 (2008).
- 433 22. G. Graffmann, H. Domels and M. L. Sträter, *Fette, Seifen, Anstrichmittel*, **76**, 218-220 (1974).
- 434 23. H. Klaushofer, E. Berghofer and R. Pieber, *Starch Stärke*, **31**, 259-261 (1979).

- 435 24. M. Hirashima, R. Takahashi and K. Nishinari, *Food Hydrocolloids*, **19**, 909-914 (2005).
- 436 25. R. E. Wing, Starch Stärke, 48, 275-279 (1996).
- 437 26. Å. Rindlav, S. H. D. Hulleman and P. Gatenholm, *Carbohydrate Polymers*, **34**, 25-30 (1997).
- 438 27. E. Olsson, C. Johansson and L. Järnström, *Applied clay science*(just accepted).
- 439 28. H. Kjellgren, M. Gällstedt, G. Engström and L. Järnström, *Carbohydrate Polymers*, **65**, 453-460
 440 (2006).
- 441
- 442