

This is an author produced version of a paper published in Journal of Applied Entomology.

This paper has been peer-reviewed but may not include the final publisher proof-corrections or pagination.

Citation for the published paper:

Jonsson, Mattias & Sigvald, Roland. (2016) Suction-trap catches partially predict infestations of the grain aphid Sitobion avenae in winter wheat fields. *Journal of Applied Entomology*. Volume: 140, Number: 7, pp 553-557. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jen.12290.

Access to the published version may require journal subscription. Published with permission from: Wiley.

Epsilon Open Archive http://epsilon.slu.se

1	Suction trap catches partially predict infestations of the grain aphid Sitobion
2	avenae in winter wheat fields
3	
4	Short title: Suction trap catches predict aphid infestations
5	
6	Mattias Jonsson*, Roland Sigvald
7	Department of Ecology, Swedish university of Agricultural Sciences
8	PO Box 7044, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden
9	*Corresponding author: Email: mattias.jonsson@slu.se, Phone: +46-725326556
10	

12 Abstract

13 Effective pest monitoring programs are needed for providing reliable advice on when pest 14 populations require active management. We studied whether suction trap catches of the grain 15 aphid Sitobion avenae during the period 1989-2009 can be used to predict field infestations of this aphid in Swedish winter wheat fields. We found that suction trap catches of S. avenae until 16 17 the time of crop heading (GS51) were significantly related to both number of aphids per tiller (\mathbb{R}^2) = 0.69 at GS 59 and R^2 = 0.27 at GS 69) and proportion of fields with infestations above 18 economic threshold ($R^2 = 0.49$ at GS 59 and $R^2 = 0.40$ at GS 69). This effect was consistent 19 20 across Swedish regions and years. This information could be used by advisory services and 21 farmers to decide whether field inspection to estimate the profitability of insecticide treatment at 22 heading is needed. To improve the predictive ability further, suction trap catches could be 23 combined with weather data and information about biological control potential in different 24 landscapes. 25

26 Keywords: economic threshold; pest monitoring; pest management; spring migration

27

29 Introduction

Suction traps have long been used for monitoring phenology of flying aphids (Harrington et al.
2007), and suction trap catches have been found to correlate positively with field infestation
levels of bird cherry oat aphids (Fabre et al. 2010; Teulon et al. 2004), soy bean aphids (Rhainds
et al. 2010) and black bean aphids (Way et al. 1981). Optimising the use of pesticides will
require better knowledge of insect population dynamics, as well as economic threshold values.
Suction trap catches can also be used to estimate the need for chemical treatments against pests
(Sigvald 2012).

37

38 Cereal aphids cause direct damage to wheat by feeding, through honeydew production that 39 increases fungal infection (Larsson 2005), and by transmitting Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus 40 (BYDV) (Foster et al. 2004). In Scandinavia, Sitobion avenae (F.) is the most important aphid on 41 wheat (Hansen 1995; Larsson 2005), but the infestation levels vary a lot among regions and 42 years (Larsson 2005). Therefore, it is particularly important to develop effective pest monitoring 43 schemes and to adopt economic spray and action thresholds. Larsson (2005) found economic 44 thresholds between 1 and 12 S. avenae per tiller in southern Sweden depending on crop growth 45 stage and expected yield level.

46

In Scandinavia, *S. avenae* populations overwinter primarily in grasslands (Larsson 1993), and winter wheat crops are colonised primarily during May and June, whereas damage to the wheat crops occurs primarily in late June and July during flowering and milk ripening. Therefore suction trap catches of migrating aphids in late spring and early summer should have good potential to predict damaging *S. avenae* infestation levels in winter wheat crops. In Scandinavia,

52 S. avenae populations are low in number most years and do not require insecticide application. In 53 years with high S. avenae infestations, insecticides are usually applied after crop heading in 54 combination with fungicides. In this study we test whether spring and early summer catches of S. 55 avenae in suction traps are related to aphid tiller counts in farmers' winter wheat fields in 56 Sweden. We test whether aphid abundances in the field and the likelihood of exceeding 57 economic spray thresholds can be predicted by the suction-trap catches. If this holds true, suction 58 trap catches could be used to advise farmers whether monitoring of aphid abundances in their 59 fields are worthwhile during a specific year.

60

61 Materials and methods

62 Data collection

Catches of S. avenae 1989 – 2009 were collected from five suction traps located in the regions of 63 64 Uppsala, Östergötland, Västra Götaland, Kalmar and Skåne, in southern Sweden (Fig. 1; 65 Appendix S1). The suction traps are of Rothamsted model, located 12.2 m above ground level, and with airflow of about 2800-3000 m³ per hour. The suction trap catches were related to 66 67 average tiller counts of S. avenae in farmers' winter wheat fields located in the same region as 68 the respective suction trap (Fig. 1). The counts were from monitoring in unsprayed plots by the 69 Plant Protection Centres at the Swedish Board of Agriculture (Appendix S1). We analysed the 70 relationship between the cumulative number of S. avenae caught in suction traps from early April (but no S. avenae were ever caught before beginning of May) until crop heading (growth 71 72 stage (GS) 51; Zadoks et al. 1974) which usually occurred in June and the average number of 73 aphids per tiller found in the field one and two weeks after heading. This corresponded to the

average week when the fields in each region had reached GS59 and GS69, respectively (from
here on called GS59 and GS69). We considered only year/region combinations with tiller count
data available from at least 9 fields. The average infestation level across fields for each region
and year was considered as a replicate in the analyses. For more details about suction the trap
catches and tiller counts, see Appendix S1. *[FIGURE 1 HERE]*

79

80 Data analysis

81 The cumulative number of S. avenae in suction traps from early April until heading at GS51 was 82 related to 1) number of aphids per tiller at GS59 and GS69, and 2) the proportion of fields above 83 economic thresholds of 2 aphids per tiller at GS59 and 6 aphids per tiller at GS69 (thresholds for 84 Swedish winter wheat fields with expected crop yield <8.0 t/ha (Larsson 2005)). To analyse the 85 data we performed generalized linear models (GLM's) or generalized linear mixed effects 86 models (GLMM's), in R 2.14.0 (R Development Core Team 2011). We conducted separate 87 analyzes for suction trap catches crossed with region, and suction trap catches crossed with year 88 as fixed factors. When analyzing the effects on number of aphids per tiller we used GLM's with 89 Gaussian distribution, since data was averaged across fields. To account for non-normality of the 90 model residuals we log transformed number of aphids per tiller and the number caught in suction 91 traps prior to analysis. When analyzing effects of suction trap catches on proportions of fields 92 above economic thresholds we carried out GLMM's with binomial error structure using the 93 glmer function in the lme4 package. Since over-dispersion was detected in these models an 94 observation level vector was added as a random factor. With this approach each data point 95 receives a unique level of a random effect that can absorb the over-dispersion in the data (Bolker

et al. 2009). Model simplification was conducted by comparing all possible models with the Akaike Information Criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc). Linear regression was conducted to estimate the explanatory power (\mathbb{R}^2) of the suction trap catches.

99

100 **Results**

101 For all tests, the most parsimonious model based on AICc included a positive main effect of the 102 cumulative number of aphids caught in suction traps, but never the interaction between this 103 variable and region or year (Fig. 2). Thus the positive effect of suction trap catches on tiller 104 counts and proportion of fields exceeding economic thresholds was consistent across regions and 105 years. For GS59, but not GS69 the most parsimonious models furthermore included a main effect 106 of region, suggesting that the number of aphids varied across regions. This model was competing 107 with the one lacking the main effect of region ($\Delta AICc < 2.0$ than the best). No other competing 108 models were present. [FIGURE 2 HERE]

109

110 The positive relationship between number of aphids caught in suction traps until GS51 and 111 number of aphids per tiller in the field was highly significant at both GS59 and GS69 (GS59 112 model with region and suction trap: t = 4.698, P < 0.001, GS59 model with suction trap only: t =113 5.398, P < 0.001; GS69 model with suction trap only: t = 3.992, P < 0.001). However, the 114 explanatory power of the suction trap catches at GS51 was reduced over time, from $R^2 = 0.69$ at GS59 to $R^2 = 0.27$ at GS69. The positive relationship between suction trap catches until GS51 115 116 and the proportion of fields above economic thresholds were also significant (GS59 model with 117 region and suction trap: z = 4.045, P < 0.001; GS59 model with suction trap only: z = 4.451, P < 118 0.001; GS69 model with suction trap only: t = 3.883, P < 0.001), and the explanatory power 119 decreased over time from R² = 0.49 at GS59 to R² = 0.40 at GS69.

120

121 Discussion

122 Suction trap catches of S. avenae until the time of crop heading in Swedish winter wheat fields 123 were correlated not only with the average number of aphids in the crop, but also with the 124 proportion of fields in a region exceeding economic thresholds. This effect was significant both 125 one and two weeks after heading and was consistent across regions and years. This suggests that 126 suction trap catches in spring and early summer can be used to monitor aphid pest pressure, and 127 can help to predict when active pest management is needed. However, the relationship between 128 suction trap catches and field infestations are not strong enough to determine if insecticide 129 treatment is profitable in individual fields. Instead, we suggest that suction trap catches can be 130 used to determine if it is worthwhile for individual farmers to go out and monitor aphid 131 abundances.

132

A lot of variability in aphid infestation rates remain unexplained ($R^2 = 0.27 - 0.69$). There are 133 134 several ways in which the predictive ability of a monitoring system based on suction trap catches 135 might be improved. First, weather patterns may have a strong impact on cereal aphid migration 136 and survival (Davis et al. 2014; Harrington et al. 2007). For example, Harrington et al. (2007) 137 found that spring migration by S. avenae occurred earlier with increasing temperatures but was 138 delayed by rainfall in spring. Therefore, taking spring weather patterns into account may increase 139 the predictability of the suction trap data. Secondly, natural enemies such as parasitoids, 140 predators and pathogens may have strong effects on S. avenae population growth rates in the

141 field (Plantegenest et al. 2001; Thies et al. 2011), and their impact often vary depending on 142 surrounding landscape structure (Winqvist et al. 2011). To improve predictability, the suction 143 trap data could be combined with models that take the landscape-dependent variability in natural 144 enemy impact into account (Jonsson et al. 2014). Thereby the regional predictions of aphid 145 infestation levels could be adjusted according to the landscape composition in different sub-146 regions. For example heterogenous landscapes where fields are mixed with non-crop habitat 147 often have more effective biological control than homogenous landscapes dominated by 148 agricultural fields (Rusch et al. 2013), and fields in heterogenous landscapes may thus tolerate 149 higher aphid colonization levels without reaching economic spray thresholds. Third, we 150 correlated the suction trap catches with infestation levels within whole regions, which implied 151 that a field could be located up to 200 km or more away from the suction trap. It is possible that a 152 higher explanatory power may be achieved if only fields within a smaller radius would be 153 considered. However, studies that correlated suction trap catches of aphids with field infestations 154 at smaller spatial scales (1-10 km radius) did not necessarily find stronger correlations than we 155 did (Vialatte et al. 2007; Rhainds et al. 2010). Finally, aphids are also strongly affected by local 156 field conditions and management (Geiger et al. 2010), including sowing dates, fertilization 157 regimes and crop nitrogen levels (Aqueel and Leather 2011). If the intention is to predict aphid 158 infestation rates in individual fields such conditions will need to be considered as well.

159

160 To conclude, our work shows that suction trap catches of aphids in spring and early summer can 161 be used to predict aphid infestation rates in the field in southern Sweden. Importantly we related 162 suction trap catches with economic spray thresholds and still found a significant relationship. 163 This information can be used by advisory services and farmers to decide the need for field

164	inspection to estimate the profitability of insecticide treatment. To improve the predictability of
165	the suction trap catches a first step could be to combine it with regional weather data. As a next
166	step the model predictions could be adjusted according to known differences in biological
167	control efficacy in different landscapes.
168	
169	Acknowledgements
170	We thank the Plant Protection Centres at the Swedish board of Agriculture for giving us access
171	to their field counts of S. avenae. Mattias Jonsson is grateful for financial support from the Unit
172	of Agricultural Entomology and the Centre for Biological Control, SLU. Michal Zmihorski is
173	thanked for preparing the map showing the location of different suction traps and regions.
174	
175	References
176	Aqueel MA Leather, SR 2011. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the growth and survival of
177	Rhopalosiphum padi (L.) and Sitobion avenae (F.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) on different
178	wheat cultivars. Crop Protection 30, 216-221.
179	Bolker BM, Brooks BE, Clark CJ, Geange SW, Poulsen JR, Stevens MHH, White J-SS, 2009.
180	Generalized linear mixed models: A practical guide for ecology and evolution. TREE 24,
181	127-135.
182	Davis TS, Abatzoglou JT, Bosque-Pérez NAA, Halbert SE, Pike KS, Eigenbrode SD, 2014.
183	Differing contributions of density dependence and climate to the population dynamics of
184	three eruptive herbivores. Ecol. Entomol. 39, 566-577.

185	Fabre F, Dedryver C-A, Plantegenest M, Hullé M, Rivot E, 2010. Hierarchical bayesian
186	modelling of plant colonisation by winged aphids: Inferring dispersal processes by
187	linking aerial and field count data. Ecol. Model. 221, 1770-1778.
188	Foster GN, Blake S, Tones SJ, Barker I, Harrington R, 2004. Occurrence of barley yellow dwarf
189	virus in autumn-sown cereal crops in the United Kingdom in relation to field
190	characteristics. Pest Manage. Sci. 60, 113-125.
191	Geiger F, Bengtsson J, Berendse F, Weisser WW, Emmerson M, Morales M, Ceryngier P, Liira
192	J, Tscharntke T, Winqvist C, Eggers S, Bommarco RB, Pärt T, Bretagnolle V,
193	Plantegenest M, Clement LW, Dennis C, Palmer C, Oñate JJG, Hawro V, Aavik T, Thies
194	C, Flohre A, Haenke S, Fisher C, Goedhart PW, Inchausti P, 2010. Persistent negative
195	effects of pesticides on biodiversity and biological control potential on european
196	farmland. Basic Appl. Ecol. 11, 97-105.
197	Hansen LM, 1995. Aphids - the national pest in Denmark. SP-Report 4, 115-128.
198	Harrington R, Clark SJ, Welham SJ, Verrier PJ, Denholm CH, Hulle M, Maurice D, Rounsevell
199	MD, Cocu N, Consortium EUE, 2007. Environmental change and the phenology of
200	European aphids. Global Change Biol. 13, 1550-1564.
201	Jonsson M, Bommarco R, Ekbom B, Smith HG, Bengtsson J, Caballero-Lopez B, Winqvist C,
202	Olsson O, 2014. Ecological production functions for biological control services in
203	agricultural landscapes. Methods Ecol. Evol. 5, 243-252.
204	Larsson H, 1993. Sädesbladlusen. Faktablad om Växtskydd Jordbruk 69J [in Swedish].
205	Larsson H, 2005. A crop loss model and economic thresholds for the grain aphid, Sitobion
206	avenae (F.), in winter wheat in Southern Sweden. Crop Protection 24, 397-405.

207	Plantegenest M, Pierre JS, Dedryver CA, Kindlmann P, 2001. Assessment of the relative impact
208	of different natural enemies on population dynamics of the grain aphid Sitobion avenae in
209	the field. Ecol. Entomol. 26, 404-410.
210	R Development Core Team, 2010. R: a language for statistical computing. Available from:
211	http://www.r-project.org. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
212	Rhainds M, Yoo HJS, Steffey KL, Voegtlin DJ, Sadof CS, Yaninek S, O'Neil RJ, 2010. Potential
213	of suction traps as a monitoring tool for Aphis glycines (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in
214	soybean fields. J. Econ. Entomol. 103, 186-189.
215	Rusch A, Bommarco R, Jonsson M, Smith HG, Ekbom B, 2013. Flow and stability of natural
216	pest control services depend on landscape complexity and crop rotation in the landscape.
217	J. Appl. Ecol. 50, 345-354.
218	Sigvald R, 2012. Risk assessments for Pests and Diseases of Field Crops, especially Forecasting
219	and Warning Systems. In Sustainable Agriculture, The Baltic University Programme,
220	Uppsala University, p185-201, Ed: Christine Jakobsson.
221	Teulon DAJ, Stufkens MAW, Fletcher JD, 2004. Crop infestation by aphids is related to flight
222	activity detected by 7.5 metre high suction traps. New Zealand Plant Protection 57, 227-
223	232.
224	Thies C, Haenke S, Scherber C, Bengtsson J, Bommarco R, Clement LW, Ceryngier P, Dennis
225	C, Emmerson M, Gagic V, Hawro V, Liira J, Weisser WW, Winqvist C, Tscharntke T,
226	2011. The relationship between agricultural intensification and biological control:
227	Experimental tests across Europe. Ecol. Appl. 21, 2187-2196.

228	Way MJ, Cammell ME, Taylor LR, Woiwod IP, 1981. The use of egg counts and suction trap
229	samples to forecast the infestation of spring-sown field beans, Vicia faba, by the black
230	bean aphid, Aphis fabae. Ann. Appl. Biol. 98, 21-34.
231	Vialatte A, Plantegenest M, Simon J-C, Dedryver C-A, 2007. Farm-scale assessment of

- movement patterns and colonization dynamics of the grain aphid in arable crops and
 hedgerows. Agricult. Forest Entomol. 9, 337-346.
- 234 Winqvist C, Bengtsson J, Aavik T, Berendse F, Clement LW, Eggers S, Fischer J, Flohre A,
- 235 Geiger F, Liira J, Pärt T, Thies C, Tscharntke T, Weisser WW, Bommarco R, 2011.
- 236 Mixed effects of organic farming and landscape complexity on farmland biodiversity and
- biological control potential across europe. J. Appl. Ecol. 48, 570-579.
- Zadoks JC, Chang TT, Konzak CF, 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. Weed
 Res. 14, 415–421.

- 241 Figure legends
- Figure 1. Map of the five Swedish regions where suction traps were located and field counts
- 243 made: Uppsala län, Västra Götalands län, Östergötlands län, Kalmar län, and Skåne län. Suction
- trap locations are indicated with dots.

245

- 246 **Figure 2.** Relationship between cumulative number of *S. avenae* caught in suction traps until
- GS51, and the average number of *S. avenae* per tiller in fields within the same region at (a)
- GS59, and (b) GS69, and with the proportion of fields in the same region with aphid abundances
- above the economic threshold at (c) GS59, and (d) GS69. Each dot represents one year-region
- combination.