

Greenhouse gas emissions from urban ponds are driven by nutrient status and hydrology

MIKE PEACOCK^(D),¹,[†] JOACHIM AUDET,^{1,2} SABINE JORDAN,³ JACOB SMEDS,⁴ AND MARCUS B. WALLIN⁴

¹Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lennart Hjelms väg 9, Uppsala 756 51 Sweden

²Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Vejlsøvej 25, Silkeborg 8600 Denmark
³Department of Soil and Environment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lennart Hjelms väg 9, Uppsala 756 51 Sweden
⁴Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Villavägen 16, Uppsala 752 36 Sweden

Citation: Peacock, M., J. Audet, S. Jordan, J. Smeds, and M. B. Wallin. 2019. Greenhouse gas emissions from urban ponds are driven by nutrient status and hydrology. Ecosphere 10(3):e02643. 10.1002/ecs2.2643

Abstract. Inland waters emit significant quantities of greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as methane (CH₄) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) to the atmosphere. On a global scale, these emissions are large enough that their contribution to climate change is now recognized by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Much of the past focus on GHG emissions from inland waters has focused on lakes, reservoirs, and rivers, and the role of small, artificial waterbodies such as ponds has been overlooked. To investigate the spatial variation in GHG fluxes from artificial ponds, we conducted a synoptic survey of forty urban ponds in a Swedish city. We measured dissolved concentrations of CH₄ and CO₂, and made complementary measurements of water chemistry. We found that CH₄ concentrations were greatest in high-nutrient ponds (measured as total phosphorus and total organic carbon). For CO₂, higher concentrations were associated with silicon and calcium, suggesting that groundwater inputs lead to elevated CO₂. When converted to diffusive GHG fluxes, mean emissions were 30.3 mg CH₄·m⁻²·d⁻¹ and 752 mg CO₂·m⁻²·d⁻¹. Although these fluxes are moderately high on an areal basis, upscaling them to all Swedish urban ponds gives an emission of 8336 t CO₂eq/yr (\pm 1689) equivalent to 0.1% of Swedish agricultural GHG emissions. Artificial ponds could be important GHG sources in countries with larger proportions of urban land.

Key words: artificial; carbon dioxide; greenhouse gas; methane; pond; urban.

Received 12 December 2018; revised 6 February 2019; accepted 12 February 2019. Corresponding Editor: Debra P. C. Peters.

Copyright: © 2019 The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. † **E-mail:** michael.peacock@slu.se

INTRODUCTION

The global importance of inland waters in the cycling of carbon and greenhouse gases (GHGs) is now well recognized (Cole et al. 2007). Lakes, rivers, streams, reservoirs, and ponds emit large amounts of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄; Bastviken et al. 2004, Holgerson and Raymond 2016, DelSontro et al. 2018). The emissions of these GHGs can occur diffusively from the water surface, and also by ebullition, which is the pathway by which CH₄ is emitted directly to

the atmosphere via the sporadic release of CH₄containing bubbles from the sediment. Inland waters also contain dissolved and particulate organic carbon, which can be degraded to release GHGs (Tranvik et al. 2009, Evans et al. 2017), or buried in sediments, thus acting as a carbon (C) sink (Sobek et al. 2009).

On a global scale, the area of small artificial ponds is similar to that of large reservoirs, due to the extremely large number of small ponds (Downing 2010). Additionally, it has been suggested that C and GHG processing in small waterbodies is particularly intense due to the specific physical properties of ponds, such as shallow water and frequent mixing (Downing 2010, Holgerson and Raymond 2016). In support of this, Holgerson and Raymond (2016) estimated that very small waterbodies (with areas <0.001 km²) contribute 41% of all diffusive CH₄ emissions from lakes and ponds, despite comprising just 8.6% of total global lake and pond area. However, an investigation of their data suggests that only one of the sites in their synthesis is artificial: the Swedish urban pond from Natchimuthu et al. (2014) where relatively high summertime CH₄ fluxes were measured. Α comparison of natural and artificial ponds in India by Singh et al. (2000) noted lower CH₄ fluxes in artificial ponds. This was because the artificial ponds had lower nutrient concentrations, less sediment, and sometimes dried up. For restored wetlands, Jordan et al. (2016) found higher CO₂ emissions but similar CH₄ emissions from artificial ponds compared to adjacent artificial shallow lakes. Two recent Australian studies provided compelling evidence for the importance of artificial ponds. Grinham et al. (2018) measured CH₄ fluxes from artificial waterbodies including farm ponds and urban lakes, and found higher diffusive emissions when compared to larger waterbodies in the same region. Similarly, Ollivier et al. (2018) found higher CH_4 emissions from agricultural ponds compared to reservoirs. Considering the above, it can therefore be expected that artificial ponds release disproportionately large amounts of GHGs, although at present there is a general lack of data from these systems, and it is limited to certain land use types and countries.

Artificial ponds can fall under a range of classes, from those that have been created anew on previously terrestrial land, to wetlands that have been modified into ponds (although even the exact definition of what constitutes a pond varies between researchers; Hassall 2014). Nevertheless, Clifford and Heffernan (2018) point to a range of reasons as to why artificial ponds may function differently when compared to natural ones. For instance, artificial ponds will generally be relatively young, giving a reduced time for ecological and biogeochemical processes to develop. Additionally, such ponds are purposefully designed, usually with human-orientated, not ecologically orientated, aims which will likely result in a different set of ecosystem functions. Artificial ponds may also feature vastly different hydrology; inflows and outflows may be regulated, thus changing retention times and the source of water inputs, and the ponds may be used for water abstraction (Lawrence and Breen 1998). Considering the above, there is reason to assume that biogeochemical cycling may differ between natural and artificial ponds.

In order to determine variability in GHG emissions between ponds, we conducted a synoptic sampling campaign of 40 artificial urban ponds where concentrations of CH_4 and CO_2 and complementary water chemistry determinands were measured. Our aim was to determine the spatial, rather than temporal, variation in pond GHG concentrations, because this temporal variation has previously been shown to be large in artificial waterbodies, due to differences in surrounding land use (Vermaat et al. 2011). Additionally, we measured a range of nutrient concentrations and physical pond attributes, in an effort to elucidate the mechanisms driving GHGs.

METHODS

Sampling took place in the Swedish city of Uppsala on 30 May and 1 June 2018. The area has a humid continental climate, with annual precipitation of 668 mm and mean annual temperature of 6.6°C in 2017. Total precipitation and mean temperature for the 30 d preceding sampling were 16.3 mm and 14.6°C (Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute [SMHI] https://www.smhi.se/klimatdata). Using aerial photography, we selected 40 ponds for sampling, all of which fell within a circular area of 78.5 km² (diameter of ~10 km). Pond sizes were measured using aerial photography and were grouped into area classes <0.001 km² (n = 27) or >0.001 km² (n = 13). All ponds were artificial and had been constructed for various purposes: ornamentation (e.g., parks) n = 13; farm ponds n = 2; quarry/industry use n = 2; water regulation (e.g., functioning as stormwater ponds or reducing nutrient and metal exports); n = 23. Ponds were either within the built-up area of the city itself or adjacent to major roads and highways, and therefore, their immediate catchments all had some degree of urban influence, but agriculture and forestry land uses will also have influenced some ponds to a lesser extent. The natural soil type at the sampled ponds is glacial and postglacial clay, but it is highly possible that the ponds soils have been amended or managed.

For each of the two sampling days, ponds were sampled between 10:00 and 16:00. Respective sunrise and sunset times were 3:43 and 21:51, and 3:40 and 21:55, for both sampling days, thus sampling took place during the middle of the day. SMHI data show that the air temperature varied by 1.2°C and 3.7°C during the sampling hours on days 1 and 2, respectively. No adjustments were made to account for diel fluctuations. At the edge of each pond, water temperature and dissolved O_2 were measured in situ using a Hanna Instruments Multiparameter Meter Hi 9829 (Woonsocket, Rhode Island, USA), and individual samples of surface water were taken for GHGs and water chemistry. Samples for dissolved CH₄ and CO₂ analysis were collected using the headspace method (Hope et al. 2004); 30 mL of pond water and 30 mL of ambient air were shaken together in a 60-mL syringe for 60 s, and the headspace was transferred to a 12-mL pre-evacuated glass vial. Water samples were collected for analyses of pH, EC, and nutrient concentrations in high-density polyethylene bottles and for analysis of dissolved organic matter (DOM) quality in glass vials.

Dissolved organic matter composition was measured as absorbance using an Avantes Ava-Light DH-S-BAL (Apeldoorn, The Netherlands) connected to a cuvette holder. Samples were filtered through pre-rinsed 0.45-µm cellulose acetate filters and analyzed 3/5 d after collection. Spectral scans were performed between 180 and 1100 nm, at increments of ~0.3 nm. We calculated the specific ultraviolet absorbance (SUVA) at 254 nm (SUVA₂₅₄) and 280 nm (SUVA₂₈₀) as a measure of DOM aromaticity (Weishaar et al. 2003). We also calculated the spectral slope at 275–295 nm ($S_{275-295}$) as an indicator of molecular weight by taking the slope of the log-transformed spectra, as in Helms et al. (2008). For many of the samples, absorbance was zero in the 350- to 400nm region; therefore, we did not calculate S_{350-} 400. Finally, we calculated E2:E3 as the absorbance ratio 250:365 nm, as a measure of aromaticity and molecular weight (Peuravuori and Pihlaja 1997). Headspace CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations were measured on a Picarro GasScouter equipped with a sampling loop (Baird et al. 2010) and converted to dissolved concentrations according to Henry's law (Weiss 1974, Wiesenburg and Guinasso 1979). The following analyses of water samples were performed by the SWEDAC-accredited Geochemical Laboratory at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (for detailed information, refer to SLU 2018, Fölster et al. 2014): pH, EC, alkalinity, ammonium (NH₄), calcium (Ca), chloride, fluoride, magnesium, nitrite + nitrate, phosphate, potassium, silicon (Si), sodium, sulfate, total nitrogen, total organic carbon (TOC), and total phosphorus (TP).

We used two approaches to explore the relationships between dissolved GHGs and other variables. Firstly, we used Spearman's rank correlation coefficients ($r_{\rm S}$) to test for monotonic relationships between dissolved GHGs and other variables (n = 24) which comprised pond area, water temperature, dissolved O2, pH, EC, DOM composition, and nutrient/metal concentrations. For this, we used the false discovery rate (FDR) to adjust for multiple comparisons which reduces the chance of type I errors while retaining greater power when compared to a Bonferroni adjustment (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995, Pike 2010). Secondly, we conducted partial least squares regression (PLS) to explore how dissolved GHGs were related to the other biogeochemical variables. This approach has shown to be useful in exploring dissolved carbon dynamics elsewhere (Wallin et al. 2010). We used Mann–Whitney tests to check for differences in GHGs between very small ponds (areas <0.001 km²) and larger ponds, and to test for differences between pond use. Partial least squares regression was conducted using SIMCA 14, and all other statistical analyses were performed in SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). For all tests, results were significant when P or FDR-adjusted P was <0.05.

To provide an estimate of pond GHG emissions, we calculated fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 using the method of Holgerson and Raymond (2016). This uses aquatic GHG concentration, water temperature, and a gas exchange velocity (k_{600}), which is assigned as 0.36 m/d for ponds <0.001 km² and 0.48 m/d for ponds >0.001 km². Gas saturation is factored into the calculation using atmospheric concentrations of 410 ppm for CO_2 and 1.86 ppm for CH_4 ; thus, pond fluxes can be negative if ponds are under-saturated in

ECOSPHERE * www.esajournals.org

GHGs. Fluxes for each pond were then multiplied by pond area to give a flux for the total area of each pond. We then assumed an ice-free season of 275 d and multiplied the flux per pond by this value to give an annual flux. This assumes that the concentrations measured during our campaign represent an annual average, which is unlikely to be true. Nevertheless, pond GHG concentrations will be higher in summer and lower in winter, thus our spring time sampling is useful as a first approximation of potential fluxes. CH_4 fluxes were converted to CO_2 equivalents using a 100-yr global warming potential of 34 (IPCC 2013).

Results

Concentrations of CH_4 and CO_2

Dissolved CH₄–C ranged 1.73–325 µg/L with a median of 26.0 µg/L. Significant (FDR-corrected) correlations were found with TOC ($r_{\rm S} = 0.53$, P = 0.009) and TP ($r_{\rm S} = 0.46$, P = 0.033; Fig. 1).

Dissolved CO₂–C ranged 0.10–8.13 mg/L with a median of 1.35 mg/L. Significant (FDR-corrected) correlations were found with pH ($r_{\rm S} = -0.85$, P < 0.001), alkalinity ($r_{\rm S} = 0.64$, P < 0.001), Ca ($r_{\rm S} = 0.68$, P < 0.001), Si ($r_{\rm S} = 0.46$, P = 0.012), NH₄ ($r_{\rm S} = 0.49$, P = 0.009), SUVA₂₅₄ ($r_{\rm S} = 0.42$, P = 0.022), and SUVA₂₈₀ ($r_{\rm S} = 0.43$, P = 0.022; Fig. 2). We discounted pH and alkalinity from further analysis as these variables will be influenced by, rather than influence, CO₂. We also discounted SUVA₂₅₄ as this was highly correlated with SUVA₂₈₀ ($r_{\rm S} = 0.98$, P < 0.001).

After removing pH and alkalinity as predictors, the PLS analysis found that the 22 measures of pond biogeochemistry could explain 41% of the variation in dissolved CH₄ and CO₂, although the predictive power of the model was poor (Fig. 3). The PLS shows that dissolved CH₄ and CO₂ are generally unrelated and reinforces the importance of the significantly correlated variables (Figs. 1, 2) for each GHG: that TOC and TP group near CH₄, and Ca, SI, NH₄, and SUVA group near CO₂.

When grouped according to pond area, we found no difference in dissolved GHGs between very small (<0.001 km²) ponds and larger ponds (Fig. 4). We also grouped ponds according to the two dominant functions, ornamental ponds and water regulation ponds, and found no significant difference between pond groupings for both CH_4 and CO_2 (Fig. 4; note that quarry/industry ponds and farm ponds were excluded entirely from this comparison).

Fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2

For CO₂, 28 ponds were net emitters and 12 ponds consumed CO₂, whereas all ponds were net emitters of CH₄ (Appendix S1: Fig. S2). Estimated daily mean fluxes were 30.3 mg CH₄·m⁻² ·d⁻¹ (ranging from 0.4 to 174 mg CH₄·m⁻²·d⁻¹)



Fig. 1. Scatter plots showing significant correlations for all ponds (n = 40) between log-scaled dissolved CH₄ and (A) log-scaled total phosphorus (TP), $r_S = 0.46$, P = 0.033, and (B) total organic carbon (TOC), $r_S = 0.53$, P = 0.009.

ECOSPHERE ***** www.esajournals.org



Fig. 2. Scatter plots showing significant correlations for all ponds (n = 40) between dissolved CO₂ and (A) calcium (Ca), $r_S = 0.68$, P < 0.001, (B) log-scaled ammonium (NH₄–N), $r_S = 0.49$, P = 0.009, (C) silicon (Si), $r_S = 0.46$, P = 0.012, and (D) SUVA₂₈₀, $r_S = 0.43$, P = 0.022.

and 752 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ (ranging from -187 to 3449 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$). These translate into mean annual fluxes of 8.33 g $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1}$ and 206 g $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1}$.

DISCUSSION

Concentrations and fluxes of CH₄

We found considerable variation in concentrations of dissolved CH_4 and CO_2 in 40 urban ponds. Our median of CH_4 –C of 26.0 µg/L is similar to the mean reported for a Swedish urban pond (of 20.8 µg/L, Natchimuthu et al. 2014). When compared to other aquatic systems, dissolved CH₄ is an order of magnitude higher than the global median for CH₄ concentrations in streams and rivers of 3.0 μ g/L (Stanley et al. 2016) and higher than the median of 6.7 μ g/L for Swedish streams (Wallin et al. 2018). Similar to findings from lakes (Bastviken et al. 2004, Juutinen et al. 2009), we report significant positive correlations between dissolved CH₄ and both TP and TOC, suggesting that nutrient status is an important driver of CH₄ emissions.

Our calculated mean daily flux of 30.3 mg $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ is of the same magnitude as other literature values from temperate artificial ponds: for instance, ~80 mg $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ for Swedish



Fig. 3. Partial least squares regression score plot using CH₄–C and CO₂–C as response variables (*Y*), and pond biogeochemistry and area as predicting variables (*X*) for all 40 ponds. $R^2 = 0.41$, $Q^2 = 0.11$.

agricultural ponds (Stadmark and Leonardson 2005), and 88 mg $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ for wet stormwater basins in the United States (McPhillips and Walter 2015), as well as 80 mg $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ for urban streams draining U.S. stormwater ponds (Smith et al. 2017). Higher average fluxes have been recorded in tropical and sub-tropical ponds, for example, 287 mg $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ in India (Selvam et al. 2014) and 115-453 mg CH₄·m⁻²·d⁻¹ in Australia (Grinham et al. 2018, Ollivier et al. 2018). It is important to note that we did not measure ebullition which could be a major pathway for CH₄ release in small, artificial waterbodies (Vermaat et al. 2011, Aben et al. 2017, Grinham et al. 2018), and thus, our fluxes are likely to be an underestimate.

Concentrations and fluxes of CO₂

Median dissolved CO_2 –C concentration was 1.35 mg/L, a value that is similar to the averages of 1.7 mg/L from Swedish streams (Wallin et al. 2018) and of 1.61 mg/L from small natural ponds (Holgerson and Raymond 2016). Significant positive correlations were found between dissolved CO_2 and, in order of correlation strength, Ca, NH₄, Si, and SUVA (both SUVA₂₅₄ and SUVA₂₈₀). We suggest that the Ca and Si are both signatures of groundwater (Maxe 2001) and that groundwater inputs are, at least for some ponds, partly driving CO_2 saturation; such effects have been observed for small lakes (Perkins et al. 2015). Positive correlations between dissolved CO₂ and SUVA have been observed for small peatland pools and ditches (Turner et al. 2016, Peacock et al. 2017), and could suggest that DOM with higher molecular weight or increasing aromaticity is more bioavailable to aquatic microbial communities (Tranvik 1990). In support of this, recent work at the molecular level has highlighted that not all aromatic DOM is resistant to degradation (Mostovaya et al. 2017). Finally, positive relationships between aquatic CO₂ and NH₄ have been reported elsewhere (Schrier-Uijl et al. 2011, Yu et al. 2017). Dai et al. (2008) hypothesize that higher concentrations of NH₄ stimulate nitrification, thus leading to consumption of dissolved O2, with resulting increases in CO₂ production (the authors suggest that by combining the two oxidation processes that constitute nitrification, one mole of NH₄ would yield 1.9 moles of free CO₂).

The calculated mean daily flux of CO_2 was 752 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$. This is larger than the flux from a Swedish urban pond (48.4 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$, Natchimuthu et al. 2014) but considerably lower than that from tropical and sub-tropical ponds, for example, ~3000 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ in India (Selvam et al. 2014) and ~1100 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$ in Australia (Ollivier et al. 2018). It is important to consider that our daily CO_2 flux



Fig. 4. Dissolved concentrations of CH₄ (A) and CO₂ (B) grouped by pond area. n = 27 for ponds <0.001 km² and n = 13 for ponds >0.001 km². Dissolved concentrations of CH₄ (C) and CO₂ (D) grouped by the two dominant pond categories: water regulation (n = 23) and ornamental (n = 13). For each panel, the difference in greenhouse gas concentration between treatments (pond area or pond type) is not significant (Mann–Whitney).

calculation may be biased by our daytime-only sampling; 12 of the ponds were found to be sinks for CO₂, presumably due to eutrophication driving high rates of primary production and thus a high photosynthetic CO₂ uptake (Pacheco et al. 2014). CO₂ concentrations will likely follow a diel cycle (Maberly 1996), and it is possible that night time emissions may offset the uptake that occurs during the day.

Implications

Our synoptic sampling campaign demonstrates that GHG emissions from artificial, urban ponds are driven, in part, by nutrient status and hydrology, namely the input of CO₂-rich groundwater. However, all statistical fits were relatively modest, suggesting that untangling the controls on pond GHGs is not straightforward and that further drivers remain to be identified. Unidentified drivers could relate to pond sediment properties and associated microbial communities (Duc et al. 2010), water depth which could affect water column methanotrophy (McEnroe et al. 2009), and eutrophication status (Balmer and Downing 2011).

We found no effect of pond size on dissolved GHGs, in contrast to Holgerson and Raymond (2016) who found that very small (natural) ponds had the highest concentrations. Additionally, and in contrast to the results of Grinham et al. (2018), we found no differences in GHGs between pond category/function (ornamental or water

regulation). These differences can perhaps be attributed to the wider landscape beyond the ponds; Holgerson and Raymond (2016) focused on ponds in natural ecosystems, while Grinham et al. examined farm ponds and urban waterbodies. Because our ponds were all within an urban environment, we hypothesize that anthropogenic influences local to each pond (e.g., point sources of nutrients) override any effect of pond physicality (i.e., area) or function. This hypothesis is supported by sediment data from 64 urban stormwater ponds in the United States, where neither nutrient nor pollutant concentrations varied according to the proportion of urban land cover (Blaszczak et al. 2018). The authors suggested that factors such as pond age, the presence of legacy pollutants, retention time, groundwater exchange, and management regime (e.g., use of fertilizers nearby and use of algaecide) could instead control the biogeochemistry of individual ponds.

When expressed as annual fluxes, we find that urban ponds are important sources of CH₄, with annual emissions of 8.3 g $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1}$, similar to that reported from a eutrophic stormwater pond (7.3 g $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1}$; Martinez-Cruz et al. 2017). This equates to approximately half the mean emissions from northern peatlands (95% confidence level: 10–21 g $CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1}$, Abdalla et al. 2016) which are key components of the global CH₄ cycle. Similarly, our (potentially underestimated) CO₂ fluxes (752 mg CO₂·m⁻²·d⁻¹) are only slightly lower than mean global areal emissions from lakes (791 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$) and reservoirs (1209 mg $CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$), which are important GHG sources (Deemer et al. 2016). We therefore echo other recent sentiments that small, artificial waterbodies may have been overlooked as significant sources of GHGs (Grinham et al. 2018, Ollivier et al. 2018). There are numerous uncertainties surrounding the detection and mapping of artificial ponds on a global scale, but when their total number and cumulative area are summed, the area they occupy is similar to that occupied by large lakes (Downing 2010). Furthermore, when considering small vs. large waterbodies, it is vital to recognize the proportionally larger littoral zone and aquatic-terrestrial interface for ponds and small lakes, and their associated high rates of biogeochemical processing (Winslow et al. 2014).

To elucidate the importance of urban ponds on a national scale, we further upscaled our measurements as follows. We summed the total area of ponds (0.07 km^2) in the Uppsala urban area (49 km²) to calculate the proportion of urban area occupied by ponds (0.14%). The total area of urban land in Sweden is 11,822 km² (Statistics Sweden 2018) which, assuming a constant proportion of ponds, gives an estimated total pond area of 17 km². Applying our annual fluxes to this area gives a total emission of 8336 t CO₂eq/ yr (with a standard error of 1689 t CO₂eq/yr). For context, this is equivalent to 0.1% of Swedish agricultural GHG emissions (Statistics Sweden 2017). Our data therefore suggest that artificial urban ponds are important sources of GHGs on a local scale, but not on a national scale, due to the fact that urban areas in Sweden occupy a small proportion of the entire country. The caveat also remains that our calculations exclude CH₄ ebullition and include only diffusive fluxes.

Due to the continued global expansion of urban areas (Seto et al. 2011), the number of small ponds such as those studied here (water regulation, ornamental) will continue to increase. Understanding their climatic impacts and investigating ways to mitigate their GHG emissions is therefore an emerging requirement for future research. For example, Moore and Hunt (2012) noted that the presence of emergent macrophytes was a signifier of pond sediment carbon accumulation. Simple changes, such as stocking urban ponds with appropriate vegetation species, could therefore lead to meaningful changes in aquatic biogeochemistry. Ideally, any future pond management for GHG mitigation will aim to deliver ecosystem service co-benefits such as increased biodiversity and opportunities for recreation (McGuckin and Brown 1995), thus enhancing the role that these blue-green infrastructures play in the urban environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Thomas Gerenstein and Anders Jordeby at Trafikverket, and Johan Hamelius and Maria Khalili at Uppsala Vatten, for allowing site access to ponds. We thank the Geochemical Laboratory at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences for water chemistry analysis. We acknowledge Gareth Harvey of Bangor University for his suggestion to use FDRs. We thank

ECOSPHERE * www.esajournals.org

Martyn Futter for useful discussions during the writing of the manuscript. We thank two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments that helped to improve the manuscript. J.A. received funding from Formas (grant 2015-1559) and the Carl Tryggers Stiftelse. Finally, we thank Uppsala Vattencentrum for funding this work on a grant awarded to J.A., S.J., M.P., and M.B.W.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abdalla, M., A. Hastings, J. Truu, M. Espenberg, U. Mander, and P. Smith. 2016. Emissions of methane from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management options. Ecology and Evolution 6:7080–7102.
- Aben, R. C., et al. 2017. Cross continental increase in methane ebullition under climate change. Nature Communications 8:1682.
- Baird, A. J., I. Stamp, C. M. Heppell, and S. M. Green. 2010. CH₄ flux from peatlands: a new measurement method. Ecohydrology 3:360–367.
- Balmer, M. B., and J. A. Downing. 2011. Carbon dioxide concentrations in eutrophic lakes: Undersaturation implies atmospheric uptake. Inland Waters 1:125–132.
- Bastviken, D., J. Cole, M. Pace, and L. Tranvik. 2004. Methane emissions from lakes: dependence of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 18. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002238
- Benjamini, Y., and Y. Hochberg. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) 57:289–300.
- Blaszczak, J. R., et al. 2018. Sediment chemistry of urban stormwater ponds and controls on denitrification. Ecosphere 9:e02318.
- Clifford, C. C., and J. B. Heffernan. 2018. Artificial aquatic ecosystems. Water 10:1096.
- Cole, J. J., et al. 2007. Plumbing the global carbon cycle: integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget. Ecosystems 10:172–185.
- Dai, M., L. Wang, X. Guo, W. Zhai, Q. Li, B. He, and S. J. Kao. 2008. Nitrification and inorganic nitrogen distribution in a large perturbed river/estuarine system: the Pearl River Estuary, China. Biogeosciences 5:1227–1244.
- Deemer, B. R., J. A. Harrison, S. Li, J. J. Beaulieu, T. DelSontro, N. Barros, J. F. Bezerra-Neto, S. M. Powers, M. A. dos Santos, and J. A. Vonk. 2016. Greenhouse gas emissions from reservoir water surfaces: a new global synthesis. BioScience 66: 949–964.

- DelSontro, T., J. J. Beaulieu, and J. A. Downing. 2018. Greenhouse gas emissions from lakes and impoundments: upscaling in the face of global change. Limnology and Oceanography Letters. https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10073
- Downing, J. A. 2010. Emerging global role of small lakes and ponds: little things mean a lot. Limnetica 29:9–24.
- Duc, N. T., P. Crill, and D. Bastviken. 2010. Implications of temperature and sediment characteristics on methane formation and oxidation in lake sediments. Biogeochemistry 100:185–196.
- Evans, C. D., M. M. Futter, F. Moldan, S. Valinia, Z. Frogbrook, and D. Kothawala. 2017. Variability in organic carbon reactivity across lake residence time and trophic gradients. Nature Geoscience 10:832– 835.
- Fölster, J., R. K. Johnson, M. N. Futter, and A. Wilander. 2014. The Swedish monitoring of surface waters: 50 years of adaptive monitoring. Ambio 43:3–18.
- Grinham, A., S. Albert, N. Deering, M. Dunbabin, D. Bastviken, B. Sherman, C. E. Lovelock, and C. D. Evans. 2018. The importance of small artificial water bodies as sources of methane emissions in Queensland, Australia. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 22:5281–5298.
- Hassall, C. 2014. The ecology and biodiversity of urban ponds. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water 1:187–206.
- Helms, J. R., A. Stubbins, J. D. Ritchie, E. C. Minor, D. J. Kieber, and K. Mopper. 2008. Absorption spectral slopes and slope ratios as indicators of molecular weight, source, and photobleaching of chromophoric dissolved organic matter. Limnology and Oceanography 53:955–969.
- Holgerson, M. A., and P. A. Raymond. 2016. Large contribution to inland water CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from very small ponds. Nature Geoscience 9:222–226.
- Hope, D., S. M. Palmer, M. F. Billett, and J. J. C. Dawson. 2004. Variations in dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ in a first-order stream and catchment: an investigation of soil–stream linkages. Hydrological Processes 18:3255–3275.
- IPCC. 2013. Myhre, G., D. Shindell, F.-M. Bréon, W. Collins, J. Fuglestvedt, J. Huang, D. Koch, J.-F. Lamarque, D. Lee, B. Mendoza, T. Nakajima, A. Robock, G. Stephens, T. Takemura and H. Zhang. 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. Pages 659–740 *in* T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, editors. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment

ECOSPHERE * www.esajournals.org

9

Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; New York, New York, USA.

- Jordan, S., M. Strömgren, J. Fiedler, L. Lundin, E. Lode, and T. Nilsson. 2016. Ecosystem respiration, methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from ecotopes in a rewetted extracted peatland in Sweden. Mires and Peat 17:1–23.
- Juutinen, S., M. Rantakari, P. Kortelainen, J. T. Huttunen, T. Larmola, J. Alm, J. Silvola, and P. J. Martikainen. 2009. Methane dynamics in different boreal lake types. Biogeosciences 6:209–223.
- Lawrence, I., and P. F. Breen. 1998. Design guidelines: stormwater pollution control ponds and wetlands. Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia.
- Maberly, S. C. 1996. Diel, episodic and seasonal changes in pH and concentrations of inorganic carbon in a productive lake. Freshwater Biology 35:579–598.
- Martinez-Cruz, K., R. Gonzalez-Valencia, A. Sepulveda-Jauregui, F. Plascencia-Hernandez, Y. Belmonte-Izquierdo, and F. Thalasso. 2017. Methane emission from aquatic ecosystems of Mexico City. Aquatic Sciences 79:159–169.
- Maxe, L. 2001. Sources of major chemical constituents in surface water and groundwater of southern Sweden. Nordic Hydrology 32:115–134.
- McEnroe, N. A., N. T. Roulet, T. R. Moore, and M. Garneau. 2009. Do pool surface area and depth control CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from an ombrotrophic raised bog, James Bay, Canada? Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 114:G01001.
- McGuckin, C. P., and R. D. Brown. 1995. A landscape ecological model for wildlife enhancement of stormwater management practices in urban greenways. Landscape and Urban Planning 33:227–246.
- McPhillips, L., and M. T. Walter. 2015. Hydrologic conditions drive denitrification and greenhouse gas emissions in stormwater detention basins. Ecological Engineering 85:67–75.
- Moore, T. L., and W. F. Hunt. 2012. Ecosystem service provision by stormwater wetlands and ponds–A means for evaluation? Water Research 46:6811– 6823.
- Mostovaya, A., J. A. Hawkes, T. Dittmar, and L. J. Tranvik. 2017. Molecular determinants of dissolved organic matter reactivity in lake water. Frontiers in Earth Science 5:106.
- Natchimuthu, S., B. Paneer Selvam, and D. Bastviken. 2014. Influence of weather variables on methane and carbon dioxide flux from a shallow pond. Biogeochemistry 119:403–413.
- Ollivier, Q. R., D. T. Maher, C. Pitfield, and P. I. Macreadie. 2018. Punching above their weight:

large release of greenhouse gases from small agricultural dams. Global Change Biology. https://doi. org/10.1111/gcb.14477

- Pacheco, F. S., F. Roland, and J. A. Downing. 2014. Eutrophication reverses whole-lake carbon budgets. Inland Waters 4:41–48.
- Peacock, M., L. M. Ridley, C. D. Evans, and V. Gauci. 2017. Management effects on greenhouse gas dynamics in fen ditches. Science of the Total Environment 578:601–612.
- Perkins, A. K., S. R. Santos, M. Sadat-Noori, J. R. Gatland, and D. T. Maher. 2015. Groundwater seepage as a driver of CO₂ evasion in a coastal lake (Lake Ainsworth, NSW, Australia). Environmental Earth Sciences 74:779–792.
- Peuravuori, J., and K. Pihlaja. 1997. Molecular size distribution and spectroscopic properties of aquatic humic substances. Analytica Chimica Acta 337:133–149.
- Pike, N. 2010. Using false discovery rates for multiple comparisons in ecology and evolution. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 2:278–282.
- Schrier-Uijl, A. P., A. J. Veraart, P. A. Leffelaar, F. Berendse, and E. M. Veenendaal. 2011. Release of CO₂ and CH₄ from lakes and drainage ditches in temperate wetlands. Biogeochemistry 102:265– 279.
- Selvam, B. P., S. Natchimuthu, L. Arunachalam, and D. Bastviken. 2014. Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from inland waters in India – implications for large scale greenhouse gas balances. Global Change Biology 20:3397–3407.
- Seto, K. C., M. Fragkias, B. Güneralp, and M. K. Reilly. 2011. A meta-analysis of global urban land expansion. PLoS ONE 6:e23777.
- Singh, S. N., K. Kulshreshtha, and S. Agnihotri. 2000. Seasonal dynamics of methane emission from wetlands. Chemosphere – Global Change Science 2:39–46.
- SLU. 2018. https://www.slu.se/en/departments/aqua tic-sciences-assessment/laboratories/vattenlabb2/
- Smith, R. M., S. S. Kaushal, J. J. Beaulieu, M. J. Pennino, and C. Welty. 2017. Influence of infrastructure on water quality and greenhouse gas dynamics in urban streams. Biogeosciences 14:2831–2849.
- Sobek, S., E. Durisch-Kaiser, R. Zurbrügg, N. Wongfun, M. Wessels, N. Pasche, and B. Wehrli. 2009. Organic carbon burial efficiency in lake sediments controlled by oxygen exposure time and sediment source. Limnology and Oceanography 54:2243– 2254.
- Stadmark, J., and L. Leonardson. 2005. Emissions of greenhouse gases from ponds constructed for nitrogen removal. Ecological Engineering 25:542– 551.

10

- Stanley, E. H., N. J. Casson, S. T. Christel, J. T. Crawford, L. C. Loken, and S. K. Oliver. 2016. The ecology of methane in streams and rivers: patterns, controls, and global significance. Ecological Monographs 86:146–171.
- Statistics Sweden. 2017. https://www.scb.se/en/find ing-statistics/statistics-by-subject-area/environment/ emissions/greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-removals/
- Statistics Sweden. 2018. https://www.scb.se/en/find ing-statistics/statistics-by-subject-area/environment/ land-use/land-use-in-sweden/
- Tranvik, L. J. 1990. Bacterioplankton growth on fractions of dissolved organic carbon of different molecular weights from humic and clear waters. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 1990: 1672–1677.
- Tranvik, L. J., et al. 2009. Lakes and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling and climate. Limnology and Oceanography 54:2298–2314.
- Turner, T. E., M. F. Billett, A. J. Baird, P. J. Chapman, K. J. Dinsmore, and J. Holden. 2016. Regional variation in the biogeochemical and physical characteristics of natural peatland pools. Science of the Total Environment 545–456:84–94.
- Vermaat, J. A., F. Hellmann, A. T. C. Dias, B. Hoorens, R. S. P. van Logtestijn, and R. Aerts. 2011. Greenhouse gas fluxes from Dutch peatland water bodies: importance of the surrounding landscape. Wetlands 31:493–498.
- Wallin, M., I. Buffam, M. Oquist, H. Laudon, and K. Bishop. 2010. Temporal and spatial variability of dissolved inorganic carbon in a boreal stream

network: concentrations and downstream fluxes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 115:G02014.

- Wallin, M. B., et al. 2018. Carbon dioxide and methane emissions of Swedish low-order streams—a national estimate and lessons learnt from more than a decade of observations. Limnology and Oceanography Letters 3:156–167.
- Weishaar, J. L., G. R. Aiken, B. A. Bergamaschi, M. S. Fram, R. Fujii, and K. Mopper. 2003. Evaluation of specific ultraviolet absorbance as an indicator of the chemical composition of dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Environmental Science and Technology 37:4702–4708.
- Weiss, R. 1974. Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas. Marine Chemistry 2:203–215.
- Wiesenburg, D. A., and N. L. Guinasso Jr. 1979. Equilibrium solubilities of methane, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen in water and sea water. Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data 24:356–360.
- Winslow, L. A., J. S. Read, P. C. Hanson, and E. H. Stanley. 2014. Lake shoreline in the contiguous United States: quantity, distribution and sensitivity to observation resolution. Freshwater Biology 59:213–223.
- Yu, Z., D. Wang, Y. Li, H. Deng, B. Hu, M. Ye, X. Zhou, L. Da, Z. Chen, and S. Xu. 2017. Carbon dioxide and methane dynamics in a human-dominated lowland coastal river network (Shanghai, China). Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 122:1738–1758.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online at: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2. 2643/full