

Review

Seaweed and Seaweed Bioactives for Mitigation of Enteric Methane: Challenges and Opportunities

D. Wade Abbott ¹, Inga Marie Aasen ², Karen A. Beauchemin ¹, Fredrik Grondahl ³, Robert Gruninger ¹, Maria Hayes ⁴,*, Sharon Huws ⁵, David A. Kenny ⁶, Sophie J. Krizsan ⁷, Stuart F. Kirwan ⁶, Vibeke Lind ⁸, Ulrich Meyer ⁹, Mohammad Ramin ⁷, Katerina Theodoridou ⁵, Dirk von Soosten ⁹, Pamela J. Walsh ⁵, Sinéad Waters ⁶ and Xiaohui Xing ¹

- ¹ Lethbridge Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 5403-1 Avenue South, Lethbridge, AB T1J 4B1, Canada; wade.abbott@canada.ca (D.W.A.); karen.beauchemin@canada.ca (K.A.B.); rob.gruninger@gmail.com (R.G.); mike.xing@uleth.ca (X.X.)
- ² Department of Biotechnology and Nanomedicine, SINTEF Industry, 7465 Trondheim, Norway; Inga.M.Aasen@sintef.no
- ³ Department of Sustainable Development, Environmental Science and Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 114 28 Stockholm, Sweden; fredrik.grondahl@abe.kth.se
- ⁴ Food BioSciences Department, Teagasc Food Research Centre, Ashtown, D15 KN3K Dublin 15, Ireland
- ⁵ Queens University Belfast (QUB), Belfast, BT7 1NN Co., Antrim, Ireland; s.huws@qub.ac.uk (S.H.); K.Theodoridou@qub.ac.uk (K.T.); pamela.walsh@qub.ac.uk (P.J.W.)
- ⁶ Animal Bioscience Research Centre, Grange, Dunsany, C15 PW93 Co., Meath, Ireland;
 David.Kenny@teagasc.ie (D.A.K.); Stuart.Kirwan@teagasc.ie (S.F.K.); sinead.waters@teagasc.ie (S.W.)
- ⁷ Department of Agricultural Research for Northern Sweden, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-901 83 Umeå, Sweden; sophie.krizsan@slu.se (S.J.K.); mohammad.ramin@slu.se (M.R.)
- ⁸ Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Post Box 115, 1431 Ås, Norway; vibeke.lind@nibio.no
 ⁹ Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut (FLI), Bundesforschungsinstitut für Tiergesundheit, Federal Research Institute for
- Animal Health, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany; Ulrich.Meyer@fli.de (U.M.); Dirk.von_Soosten@fli.de (D.v.S.) * Correspondence: Maria.Hayes@teagasc.ie; Tel.: +353-1-8059957

Received: 14 October 2020; Accepted: 15 December 2020; Published: 18 December 2020



Simple Summary: The need to become more efficient in agriculture and the food industry exists parallel to the challenge of climate change. Meat and dairy production is the target of much scrutiny due to methane (CH₄) emissions and global warming. On the other hand, it should be noted that two-thirds of the world's agricultural land consists of pastures and permanent grasslands and is used for livestock grazing. This land is predominantly unsuitable for arable purposes but facilitates the production of high-quality human-edible protein in the form of ruminant animal-derived meat and milk. This makes a significant contribution to feeding the world's population. There is a need to reduce CH₄ emissions, however, and several approaches are being researched currently. Seaweeds are diverse plants containing bioactives that differ from their terrestrial counterparts and they are increasingly under investigation as a feed supplement for the mitigation of enteric CH₄. Seaweeds are rich in bioactives including proteins, carbohydrates and to a lesser extent lipids, saponins, alkaloids and peptides. These bioactives could also play a role as feed ingredients to reduce enteric CH₄. This review collates information on seaweeds and seaweed bioactives and their potential to impact on enteric CH₄ emissions.

Abstract: Seaweeds contain a myriad of nutrients and bioactives including proteins, carbohydrates and to a lesser extent lipids as well as small molecules including peptides, saponins, alkaloids and pigments. The bioactive bromoform found in the red seaweed *Asparagopsis taxiformis* has been identified as an agent that can reduce enteric CH_4 production from livestock significantly. However, sustainable supply of this seaweed is a problem and there are some concerns over its sustainable



production and potential negative environmental impacts on the ozone layer and the health impacts of bromoform. This review collates information on seaweeds and seaweed bioactives and the documented impact on CH₄ emissions in vitro and in vivo as well as associated environmental, economic and health impacts.

Keywords: methane emissions; rumen; ruminants; seaweeds; bioactive components; bromoform; bacteriocins; peptides; carbohydrates; lipids; saponins; phlorotannins; alkaloids; animal studies; RUSITEC

1. Introduction

Livestock supply chains emit 3.1 gigatonnes CO₂-eq of CH₄ per annum, or 44 percent of anthropogenic CH₄ emissions [1]. On the other hand, it should be noted that two-thirds of the world's agricultural land consist of pastures and permanent grasslands and is used for livestock grazing and this contributes significantly to meeting the nutritional requirements of an ever growing global human population [2-4]. The rumen is a complex ecosystem composed of bacteria, fungi, protozoa, methanogens and bacteriophages, all of which contribute to dietary energy harvesting and resultant nutrient supply to the host. CH₄ is a by-product of this fermentative process due to released hydrogen being utilised by the methanogens to produce CH₄. Changes in ruminant diets can affect the rumen microbiome and their digestive capacity that in turn affects CH₄ emissions. Numerous reports have shown that the composition of the ruminant diet can cause changes in rumen microbial diversity and alter methanogenic activity and gas production [5,6]. CH₄ release by rumen methanogens has also been reported to contribute to dietary energy losses of between 2 and 12% [7]. Although, rumen methanogens negatively impact feeding efficiencies of livestock through digestion energy losses, mitigation measures to reduce CH₄ emissions through diet do not necessarily translate to reduced CH₄ emissions in all instances. A previous review of the literature by van Gastelen et al. [8] showed the effectiveness of forage-related CH₄ mitigation strategies, such as feeding highly digestible grass and replacing different forage types with corn silage, differs across ruminant types. These strategies were found to be most effective in dairy cattle, with fewer benefits observed in beef cattle and sheep.

Several seaweeds have been identified to date with potential to reduce CH_4 emissions from ruminants including *Asparagopsis taxiformis, Alaria esculenta, Ascophyllum nodosum* and *Chondrus crispus* [9–12]. CH_4 reduction is largely attributed to the compound bromoform [12], which is found in several seaweed species especially red seaweeds like *Asparagopsis* spp. Bromoform is known to inhibit the CH_4 biosynthetic pathway within methanogens [12]. However, other compounds including carbohydrates, lipids, peptides and phlorotannins have also been identified as having the potential to inhibit methanogens including Archaea [13] and potentially could reduce CH_4 emissions and improve animal health and production through their activities.

Recently, researchers concluded that commercial production of the red seaweed *A. taxiformis* could create new economies due to the fact that addition of small quantities of this seaweed in the diet of ruminant animals reduced CH_4 emissions by up to 98% when included at 0.2% of dry matter intake of steer diets [14]. This result was attributed to bromoform, which acts by inhibiting methanogens, while not affecting other bacteria [15]. However, bromoform is a known carcinogen and has also been reported to impact negatively on the ozone layer. Furthermore, it is not known if inhibition of methanogens by bromoform is temporary and furthermore, it is not known how long the CH_4 reductions last. Moreover, *A. taxiformis* is native to South Australia but is an invasive species in the Northern hemisphere and it is currently not cultivated in large quantities in the Northern hemisphere. The aim of this review paper is to highlight the potential of other seaweeds and their components as feed ingredients for the reduction of enteric CH_4 .

2. Influence of the Rumen Microbiome on CH₄ Emissions

Ruminant livestock production is dependent on the anaerobic microbial ecosystem residing in the rumen to ferment and convert human indigestible plant matter into high-quality dairy and meat products for human consumption. Members of the rumen microbiome including bacteria, archaea, protozoa, bacteriophage and fungi, have co-evolved and have a symbiotic relationship with their host to allow ruminants the ability to ferment complex plant matter [6,16,17]. However, CH₄ is produced as a metabolic end product of enteric fermentation by ruminant methanogens [18]. While CH₄ has a shorter half-life than carbon dioxide it is 28 times more potent in terms of global warming potential [19].

Research on the methanogenic potential of the rumen has attracted great attention in the last decade, due to the impact methanogenesis has on livestock performance and the environment [6,20]. As the sole producers of CH_4 , a plausible hypothesis would consider an increased abundance of methanogens within the rumen to be associated with a greater output of CH_4 emissions by the animal. However, it would seem that the composition rather than size of the methanogen community in the rumen is more closely associated to CH_4 production [18]. For example, in cattle, no differences were noted in the overall relative abundance of Archaea between high and low CH_4 -emitting dairy cows [21]. However, the same authors reported an increased relative abundance of *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* was associated with high and low CH_4 -emitting animals, respectively. Similarly in sheep, specific members of the methanogen community, rather than overall abundance of Archaea, were found to be associated with CH_4 output [22,23].

The genus *Methanobrevibacter* is the most dominant member of the rumen archaeal community [18]. The variation in the association of abundance of members of this genus, in terms of correlation to CH₄ production, could be as a result of differences in the expression of the different forms of methyl-coenzyme M reductase (mcr) [18] which catalyses the rate limiting step of methanogenesis (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Schematic representation of methanogenesis pathways from carbon dioxide (hydrogenotrophic), acetate (acetoclastic), and mono, di-, tri- methylamine and methanol (methotrophic) pathways.

The Methanobrevibacter clades can be segmented into two subgroups, the Methanobrevibacter smithii-gottschalkii-millerae-thaurei (SGMT) (*M. smithii*, *M. millerae*, *M. thaueri* and *M. gottschalkii*) and *M. ruminantinum-olleyae* (RO) clade (*M. ruminantium* and *M. olleyae*) with the SGMT clade capable of synthesising both mcrI and mcrII and the RO subgroup possessing only mcrI [18,24]. Expression of both mcrI and mcrII is regulated by H₂ availability in the rumen, with mcrI and mcrII expression occurring in the presence of low and high concentrations of ruminal H₂ [25]. As a result, a greater

4 of 28

presence of SGMT methanogens in the rumen could be evidence of increased abundance of rumen microbes that synthesise H_2 [21]. Similarly, as mcrI is produced in a low H_2 environment, a greater presence of RO methanogens may be suggestive of a rumen microbiome harbouring a lower abundance of H_2 producing and/or greater abundance of H_2 utilising microbes.

Similarly, the abundance of specific bacteria, particularly those that produce H_2 are associated with CH_4 production [18]. In sheep, three different bacterial communities (ruminotypes Q, S and H) were identified associated with CH₄ emissions [22]. Ruminotype Q and S were correlated with low emitting sheep and harboured a greater abundance of bacterial communities associated with producing propionate and a combination of lactate and succinate respectively. In contrast, ruminotype H found in high-level CH_4 -emitting animals was characterised by a higher abundance of H_2 producers. Key bacterial members of Ruminotype Q and S, such as Sharpea spp. and Kandleria spp., are associated with low or no H_2 production [22] thus leading to less H_2 being available for methanogenesis. As such, it is hypothesised that the occurrence of these bacteria in the rumen lowers the availability of H_2 leading to a decrease in the availability of the substrate for methanogenesis [22,26]. Similarly, the production of propionate by other bacterial groups consumes ruminal H₂, thus reducing the supply of methanogenesis substrates resulting in lower production of CH₄ [27]. Succinate and lactate are also precursors of propionate production [28]. Wallace et al. [29] reported a four-fold reduction in the abundance of the succinate producing bacteria family Succinivibrionaceae in high compared to low CH₄-emitting steers. Similarly, a higher abundances of bacterial groups associated with the production of propionate precursors in low CH₄-emitting animals has been noted elsewhere [26,30]. Therefore, strategies that increase the abundance of the RO clade in the rumen may be beneficial in terms of lowering CH₄ output.

Interrelationships between rumen fungal populations and CH_4 emissions are also important. Clear differences in the diversity of fungal communities of high and low CH_4 -emitting animals have not been identified to date while studies in dairy cattle have failed to define clear correlations between the fungal populations present in rumen samples and CH_4 emissions [22]. In the study of Cunha et al. [31], 73.19% of the fungal samples were identified as unclassified and therefore poor identification could contribute toward the lack of reported correlations while it was also acknowledged that sampling method could impact identification, as anaerobic fungi are more commonly found attached to feed particles [31]. Work in anaerobic digesters inoculated with fungi harvested from rumen fistulated cattle, showed a positive correlation between fungal numbers and CH_4 generation [32] possibly indicating the concentration of the fungal population as a whole to be associated with CH_4 emissions. Protozoa in the rumen also affect the bacteria, fungi and archaea present. Rumen protozoa display selective predation and rumen methanogenic archaea also form close associations with the rumen protozoa, particularly the order Vestibuliferida. Ciliate protozoa possess hydrogenosomes, which compartmentalise the terminal reactions of energy metabolism, resulting in hydrogen release, which allows methanogens to utilise hydrogen to form CH_4 more effectively [33].

Many studies have shown correlations between the host genotype and CH₄ emissions, likely linked to a degree of host control on the rumen microbiome [34–36]. However, a recent publication using a Bayesian approach estimated that host genetics and microbiota explained 24% and 7%, respectively, of variation in host CH₄ levels [37]. Furthermore, a paper by Wallace et al. [29] identified a heritable subset of the core rumen microbiome that dictates dairy cow productivity and emissions. Many studies have also illustrated the effects of diet on ruminant CH₄ emissions. For example, lipid [38,39], condensed tannin [40] and essential oil [41] supplementation of the ruminant diet have all resulted in lower CH₄ emissions. Nonetheless the proportional contribution of diet, host genotype and management practices remains unclear. There is considerable variability in research outcomes published, largely a consequence of our inability to measure CH₄ emissions in a high-throughput manner that allow the use of large numbers of animals, that is required to increase the power of experiments. At present dietary interventions offer the quickest potential mechanism for lowering the environmental impact of ruminant livestock production.

3. Overview of In Vitro Studies Which Used Seaweeds for Feed and Impact on CH₄ Emissions

Research on supplementing ruminant diets with seaweeds to reduce CH₄ emissions is still at an early stage, although there is an increasing body of literature examining potential mitigation effects of seaweeds using in vitro techniques. Seaweeds have had a longstanding history of use as animal feeds, particularly in Ireland, Scotland and the Nordic and Scandinavian countries including Iceland and Norway [42] and in Asia and other areas with proximity to the sea. However, in the early 20th century, their use fell out of favour as a potential livestock feed when scientists reported that they reduced animal growth due to poor digestibility of complex carbohydrates. However, those studies tended to use high inclusion rates of up to 50% seaweeds in the diet. More than 21 seaweeds have been shown to reduce CH₄ emissions in vitro as summarised in Table 1, while others have been shown to have no mitigation effect (Supplementary Table S1). For example, seven seaweed species—namely the brown seaweeds Alaria esculenta, Laminaria digitata, and Pelvetia canaliculata; the red seaweeds Mastocarpus stellatus, Palmaria palmata, and Porphyra spp.; and the green seaweed Acrosiphonia spp.—collected north of the Arctic circle were assessed for nutrients and total polyphenol content, gas production kinetics and in vitro rumen fermentation [43]. The experiment was followed up by an in vivo study in sheep fed with Porphyra spp. at an inclusion level of 10% of dry matter (DM). Compared with diets including white clover silage or soybean meal, inclusion of *Porphyra* spp. did not change the enteric CH₄ emissions [44]. The greatest CH₄ mitigation potential was observed for the red seaweed A. taxiformis with almost complete inhibition in vitro with inclusion levels up to 16.7% of the organic matter (OM). A. taxiformis was highly effective in decreasing the production of CH₄ with a reduction of 99% at doses as low as 2% OM [45–48]. Machado et al. [49] identified that bromoform was the main bioactive in *A. taxiformis* that promotes anti-methanogenic activity in in vitro studies. Other seaweeds that have been shown to have notable mitigation potential (>50% decrease) in vitro include Cladophora patentiramea (green seaweed) [49], Cytoseira trinodis (brown seaweed) [50], Dictyota bartayresii (brown seaweed) [48], Gigartina spp. (red seaweed) [51], Padina australis (brown seaweed) [49]) and Ulva spp. (green seaweed) [49] although these initial results need to be confirmed in further in vivo studies. The decrease in CH₄ production observed with some seaweeds in vitro was accompanied by a decrease in total gas production, total volatile fatty acid production, or substrate degradability, which suggests that performance may be compromised when feeding these seaweeds to animals. There is need for further in vivo studies to confirm the potential effects of the seaweeds identified in in vitro screening studies and to measure CH₄ output in terms of kilograms of product (milk, meat) produced from the ruminant.

Seaweed	Seaweed Dose (% of Dry Matter (DM) or OM Incubated)	CH ₄ Decrease vs. Control	Effects on TGP, TVFA and Digestibility	Reference	
Alaria esculenta extract (B)	13, 23, 31	Linear \downarrow with increasing dose	TGP, n.e.; \downarrow DOM with \uparrow dose	[9]	
Ascophyllum nodosum (B)	11.1	↓15% at 24 h	↓TGP, ↓TVFA	[10]	
	5	n.e. at 24 h, ↓74% at 48 h	Not measured	[52]	
	1, 2	↓>99%	n.e. on TGP or DOM	[45]	
	0.006, 0.013, 0.025, 0.05, and 0.1	↓100 for ≥0.05	↓TGP (24, 48 h) for ≥0.05	[45]	
Asparagopsis taxiformis (R)	0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10	$\downarrow 100\%$ for $\geq 1\%$	\downarrow TGP for \geq 2%; \downarrow DOM for 10%; \downarrow VFA for \geq 1%	[11]	
Aspurugopsis tuxijorinis (R)	2%	↓100%	↓TGP; ↓TVFA; DOM-72 h, n.e.	[11]	
	16.6	↓100%	↓TGP	[49]	
	0.07, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 16.8	n.e. for ≤0.5%, ↓85% for 1%, ↓100% for ≥2%	↓TGP for ≥1%; ↓DOM for ≥10%; ↓TVFA for ≥0.5%	[46,47]	
	2	↓100%	↓TGP	[53]	
Caulerpa taxifolia (G)	16.6	↓33%	TGP, n.e.	[49]	
Chaetomorpha linum (G)	16.6	↓40%	↓TGP	[49]	
Chondrus crispus (Irish moss) (R)	0.5	↓12%	n.e. on TVFA or DOM	[54]	
Cladophora patentiramea (G)	16.6	↓66%	↓TGP	[49]	
Colpomenia sinuosa (B)	16.6	↓49%	↓TGP	[49]	
Cystoseira trinodis (B)	2, 3.8, 7.4, 13.8	↓73% for ≥3.8% only	↓TGP	[50]	
	16.6	↓45%	↓TGP	[49]	
Dictyota bartayresii (B)	16.6	↓92%	↓TGP	[49]	
<i>Furcellaria</i> spp. (R)	0.5	↓10%	n.e. on TVFA or DOM	[54]	
<i>Gigartina</i> spp. (R)	25	↓56%	TGP, n.e.	[51]	
<i>Gracilaria</i> spp. (R)	2, 4, 5, 7	$\downarrow 49\%$ for 2%, small \downarrow for $\geq 4\%$	↓TGP for 2%; other doses, n.e.	[55]	

Table 1. Summary of seaweeds with CH₄ mitigation effects in vitro.

Seaweed	Seaweed Dose (% of Dry Matter (DM) or OM Incubated)	CH ₄ Decrease vs. Control	Effects on TGP, TVFA and Digestibility	Reference
Gracilaria vermiculophylla (R)	25	↓41%	TGP, n.e.	[51]
	25	↓37%	↓TGP	[51]
Hormophysa triquetra (B)	16.6	\downarrow 44%	TGP, n.e.	[49]
Hypnea pannosa (R)	16.6	↓43%	TGP, n.e.	[49]
Laurencia filiformis (R)	16.6	↓40%	↓TGP	[49]
	16.6	↓30%	↓TGP	[49]
Oedogonium spp. (FW)	10, 16.7, 25, 50, 75, and 100	n.e. at ≤25%, ↓17% for 50%, ↓55% for 75%, ↓72.5% for 100%	↓TGP for ≥10%; ↓DOM and ↓TVFA for ≥16.7%	[47]
Padina australis (B)	16.6	↓51%	↓TGP	[49]
Sargassum flavicans (B)	16.6	↓34%	TGP, n.e.	[49]
Ulva ohnoi (G)	16.6	↓45%	↓TGP	[49]
<i>Ulva</i> spp. (G)	16.6	↓50%	↓TGP	[49]
Give opp. (G)	25	↓45%	TGP, n.e.	[51]
Zonaria farlowii (B)	5	↓11% at 24 h only	Not measured	[52]

Table 1. Cont.

B, brown; DM, dry matter; DOM, degradability of organic matter; F:C, forage:concentrate ratio; FW, fresh water algae; G, green; n.e., no effect; OM, organic matter; R, red; TGP, total gas production; TVFA, total volatile fatty acids.

3.1. Brown Seaweeds

Brown macroalgae are found in the deep and colder waters of the Northern hemisphere and are reported to contain over 1100 secondary metabolites and are the only algae to contain the polyphenols phlorotannins (PTs). PTs have a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities and act particularly on the rumen cellulolytic bacterium Fibrobacter succinogenes. In a recent study, Ramin et al. [9] tested the protein fractions extracted from two brown species S. latissima and A. esculenta on in vitro utilisable crude protein (uCP). They showed that replacing grass silage with different levels of seaweed protein fractions increased uCP. In the same study it was found that increased levels of A. esculenta fractions reduced CH₄ production in vitro. One main reason for reductions in CH₄ production could be due to the fact that the digestibility was decreased by increased levels of A. esculenta in the in vitro system. It is also possible that lower digestibility of the A. esculenta fractions results from a higher content of tannins (polyphenols), which affects digestibility and CH_4 production [56]. PTs constitute a heterogeneous group of molecules with variable structure and degrees of polymerisation [57], constituting up to 90% of the phenols present in brown seaweeds [58]. These compounds are analogous to condensed tannins (CT), which are found in terrestrial plants such as chestnuts and willow [59]. Whilst terrestrial CT are derived from the polymerisation of flavan derivatives, phloroglucinol (1-, 3-, 5-trihydroxybenzene) is the basic repeating unit of PTs [60]. Brown seaweeds have several characteristics that distinguishes them from red and green seaweeds. Whilst brown seaweeds are typically low in crude protein (CP) (40–180 g/kg dry matter (DM); Table 2), their content of organic minerals and an array of biologically active compounds including polysaccharides, proteins, lipids and polyphenols make them a potentially valuable, high-functioning animal feed [60].

		Chemica	al Compositio	on			acrominer (g/kg DM)			Trace E (mg/kg				ially Toxi Elements ng/kg DN	
	Ash (% DM)	CP (% DM)	NDF (% DM)	EE (% DM)	DMD (%)	Ca	Р	Mg	Fe	I	Zn	Br	As	РЬ	Hg
Saccharina latissimi	40 *	12-(17.5 *)	40		60	10	3	5	30	960-120	40	550	26-70	0	0.1
Fucus serratus/spp.	30	6	26		15-50	9–13	2	7–9	40-310	300	40-50	420	25-40	1	0.1
Laminiaria digitata/spp.	25–38	9–12	17–22	0.30	70–75	10–13	3	6	30-179	880	18–25	280	50-70	0	0
Pelvetia canaliculata	21	7		2.80		9	1	8	200	250	70	520	50	0	0.1
Ascophyllum nodosum	220	4.5–6			32	10–30	1–2	5–10	35–100	<1000	35–100		<3–22		

Table 2. Chemical composition and macro minerals, trace elements and potentially toxic elements of brown seaweeds.

Adapted from: [61–66], [10], [43]. * Protein-enriched S. latissimi.

Green seaweeds have the least variety of secondary metabolites, with fewer than 300 compounds found [51]. They are usually found in rockpools of the littoral fringe or upper eulittoral zone and are subject to widely fluctuating temperatures and salinity. Green species found here include members of the genus Ulva, along with Cladophora spp. U. lactuca is a green macro alga involved in green tides observed worldwide. Ulva spp. blooms occur mainly in shallow waters and the decomposition of this alga can produce dangerous vapors. *U. lactuca* is a species usually resembling lettuce and contains commercially valuable components including Ulvans. Green algae belonging to species of Ulva and Entermorpha are a known source of polysaccharides with innovative structure and functional properties. Ulva spp. carbohydrates can also be a carbon source for microbial production of biomaterials and building blocks to produce a range of chemicals and intermediates, such as organic acids, alcohols and biomaterials. *Ulva* spp. contains phenolics, chlorophyll and carotenoids, which can be regarded as active free-radical scavengers. The green algae Chaetomorpha (Chlorophyte, Cladophorales) are characterised by unbranched heavy filaments and contains about 70 species. They are mostly rich in bioactive compounds, and are used as dietary supplements. Some have shown cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines perviously. Ethanol extracts of *Chaetomorpha* spp. possessed higher antioxidant activity compared to aqueous extracts [67]. These algae are common on all seashores and can be produced in nutrient-enriched waters.

3.3. Red Seaweeds

Red seaweeds are rich in gelling biopolymers such as carrageenan and agars which are also known as galactans. They also are a rich source of a number of bioactive compounds with nutritional, functional or biological features. Several bioactive peptides with heart health benefits have been reported from red seaweeds such as *Palmaria palmata* (Dulse) and *Porphyra* spp. [68,69]. The biological activities of agar oligosaccharides include antimicrobial, antiviral, prebiotic, anti-tumour, immomodulatory and anti-inflammatory as well as antioxidant activities. Red seaweeds are rich also in halogenated low molecular weight compounds, in particular brominated and chlorinated haloforms. These compounds are known to inhibit CH_4 production (bromoform) and also to have strong antimicrobial properties and inhibit a wide range of microorganisms, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and fungi as well as protozoa. *Chondrus crispus* (Irish moss) is a small purplish-red seaweed (up to 22 cm long) found on rocky shores and in pools. The fronds grow dichotomously from a narrow, unbranched stipe and are flat and wide with rounded tips. This seaweed is highly variable in appearance depending on the level of wave exposure of the shore and has a tendency to turn green in strong sunlight. It is best known as a source of carageenan but also produces a di-peptide citrulline-arginine [70].

3.4. Seaweeds and Known Impacts on the Rumen Microbiome

Thirty five years ago Colin Orpin first identified that the microbial community in the rumens of the North Ronaldsay breed of sheep, which consume almost entirely a seaweed diet on the remote island of North Ronaldsay in the Orkney Islands, Scotland, was different from pasture-fed animals [71]. The consumption of seaweed products has also been found to lead to lateral transfer of carbohydrate active enzymes from marine bacteria to human gut microbial symbionts [72]. These studies point to the potential value of including seaweed and/or seaweed extracts to manipulate the composition of the rumen microbiome. A screen of the effect of 20 different fresh and marine macroalgae on in vitro rumen fermentation found the impact of the different seaweeds was highly variable and identified the brown seaweed *Dictyota* spp. and red seaweed *A.taxiformis* as causing a significant reduction in CH₄ production [46]. In vitro studies examining the effect of both *A. taxiformis* and the secondary metabolite bromoform found that both treatments had targeted effects and reduced the abundance of methanogenic archaea in rumen fluid [53]. Analysis of the effects of *A. taxiformis* on rumen fermentation and the composition of the microbiome was recently examined using a semi-continuous in vitro rumen

system [14]. Inclusion of 5% A. taxiform is in a dairy ration resulted in a 95% reduction in CH_4 emissions with no negative impacts on rumen fermentation. Only small shifts in the microbial community were observed with the abundance of methanogenic archaea remaining similar between the control and A. taxiformis treatments. This study highlights that large-scale changes in the rumen microbial community are not a prerequisite for altering the function of the rumen microbiome. Much of the focus of the studies involving supplementation of seaweed into the diet of ruminants has focused on the potential anti-methanogenic effects. However, there is also potential for seaweed, seaweed by-products and/or seaweed extracts to serve as nutritional supplements in ruminant diets. Several studies have found that inclusion of Ascophyllum nodosum in the diet of cattle and sheep can reduce faecal shedding of enterotoxogenic Escherichia coli [73]. Addition of A. nodosum PTs at 500 µg/mL resulted in significant decreases in Fibrobacter succinogenes but not Ruminococcus albus or Ruminococcus flavifaciens and increased the abundance of Selenomonas ruminantium, Streptococcus bovis, Ruminobacter amylophilus, and Prevotella bryantii [74]. These results are consistent with the observed decrease in fibre degradability observed in vitro in the presence of A. nodosum PTs [74]. Orpin et al. [71] also observed increased levels of non-cellulolytic rumen bacteria in the rumen contents of rams consuming a diet containing seaweed. These impacts are not only seen with A. nodosum. An in vitro investigation of the impact of Ecklonia stolonifera on rumen fermentation observed shifts in the population of cellulolytic rumen microbes [75]. It is possible that the addition of macroalgae alters the availability of polysaccharides required for efficient growth of certain rumen microbes.

To date, few studies have reported the use of genomics and metagenomic (a "multi-omics" approach) techniques to examine the complex impacts that the consumption of macroalgae can have on the composition and function of the microbial community in the gastro-intestinal tract of ruminants. A multi-omics approach has been used to examine the potential value of *A. nodosum* and *Laminaria digitata* as alternative feeds for ruminants and found species-dependent effects on rumen fermentation [58]. In vitro, these species did not significantly alter rumen fermentation patterns, feed degradation or CH_4 emissions when supplemented at an inclusion level of 5% of dietary dry matter. There were minimal effects on the richness, diversity or composition of rumen bacteria and archaea due to supplementation by either species. Interestingly, the microbial community in the *Laminaria* spp. treatments showed altered carbohydrate metabolism with increased xylan and carboxy-methyl-cellulose digestion compared to control diets [58]. This result is similar to that of Roque et al. [14] and reveals that despite only small shifts in the composition of the microbial community, macroalgae can have significant impacts on the function of the rumen microbiome.

Metagenomics has also been applied to examine the impact of *A. nodosum* in vivo [76]. Inclusion of *A. nodosum* in the diets of rams resulted in a decrease in the total amount of bacteria and archaea in the rumen and an increase in the amount of protozoa. Although only small changes in relative abundance of individual taxa were observed, principal component analysis showed statistically significant clustering of rumen samples based on *A. nodosum* inclusion level [76]. This result indicates that the composition of the rumen microbial community was significantly altered by the inclusion of *A. nodosum* and that this effect was concentration dependent. In addition to changes to the rumen microbial community, Zhou et al. [76] also observed decreased faecal shedding of several serotypes of shiga toxin producing *E. coli*. This suggests that supplementation of diets with seaweed can alter the microbial community in both the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract.

4. Seaweed Bioactives and CH₄ Emissions Reductions

The potential use of phytogenic feed additives has happened largely due to the 2006 EU ban on the use of antimicrobial substances as growth stimulators. However, they are also used as sensory enhancers, technological additives or substances positively affecting the quality of animal products. Halogen compounds including bromoform reduce CH_4 emissions and react with vitamin B12, thus inhibiting the ability of enzymes from methanogens to produce CH_4 . However, other seaweed bioactives may also impact methanogens. For example saponin compounds are defaunation agents for protozoa and therefore can reduce the population of protozoa in rumen fluid and decrease the methanogens associated with protozoa, and therefore decrease CH₄ production [77,78]. In addition, tannins are compounds that bind to proteins. Tannin–protein complexes decrease ruminal protein digestibility, but do not increase total tract feed digestibility [79]. Furthermore, it has been shown that antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) can inhibit the methanoarchaea *M. stadtmanae* and *M. smithii* in the human gut microbiome and methanoarchaea are prone to the lytic effects of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) [13,80,81]. Bioactive compounds in seaweeds other than bromoform could play a role in CH₄ mitigation in ruminants. Understanding the degree to which structural features in a compound may affect the biological activity of an extract is essential.

4.1. Biogenic Halocarbons and Bromoform

Marine algae are an important source of biogenic halocarbons and contribute approximately 70% of the worlds' bromoform [82]. They produce halocarbons as a defense mechanism against physical and chemical stressors and halocarbons have antibacterial and anti-herbivory functions which protect seaweeds [83]. Red seaweeds contain over 1500 secondary metabolites and along with lichen and fungi can be rich in the halogenated aliphatic organobromine compounds bromomethane and bromoform and chlorine [84]. They have one or two carbon atoms and can lower ruminal CH_4 production by blocking the function of corrinoid enzymes and inhibiting cobamide-dependent methyl group transfer in methanogenesis. Additionally they can act as terminal electron acceptors. However, emissions of halocarbons create a pool of atmospheric halogen radicals, which directly or indirectly contribute to climate change but most halocarbons produced by seaweeds are short-lived in the atmosphere [85]. A bromochloromethane (BCM) formulation, known to inhibit methanogenesis, was included in the diet of Brahman (Bos indicus) [86]. Results found that the BCM formulation fed twice daily to steers at a rate of 0.30 g/100 kg liveweight (LW) with a grain-based feedlot diet, resulted in a significant reduction in CH₄ production (L/h) equivalent to 93.7% after 28 days of treatment. In addition, 40% of this response could be maintained over a prolonged period (60–90 days), without affecting LW gain and feed intake [87]. However, the authors acknowledged that this formulation was unlikely to be used in agriculture in Australia to reduce CH₄ emissions due to the fact that un-complexed BCM has an ozone-depleting effect and the manufacture, import and export of ozone-depleting substances, such as BCM, are prohibited by the Australian Government under the Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Act [85,88]. Furthermore, increased seaweed farming in the tropics (especially Indonesia where seaweed farming has increased 33% in the last decade) has resulted in a decrease in the pH of the ocean and this in turn has an impact on emissions of halocarbons and stratospheric chemistry and the ozone layer [85,89]. Despite this, red seaweeds, in particular A. taxiformis, have been researched in recent times for their potential to reduce CH_4 by incorporation into animal feeds or as supplements for ruminants [11,14,15,30,46]. A. taxiformis concentrates halogenated compounds that are known to inhibit cobamide-dependent methanogenesis. In addition to CH₄ reduction the Bonnemaisoniaceae family (Rhodophyta) are amongst those with the highest and broadest spectrum of antimicrobial activity due to the content and diversity of volatile halogenated compounds [87]. The genus Asparagopsis Montagne alone is a particularly prolific source releasing over 100 of such volatile halogenated compounds [88,89]. A. taxiformis contains between 0.19 and 4.39 mg g^{-1} DW bromoform. Carpenter and Liss [82] assessed the quantities of bromoform and other bromoalkanes released from brown, red and green seaweeds with a view to determining the impact of bromoform release from seaweeds on the ozone layer. The levels found for each seaweed studied are shown in Table 3. Most species assessed are found in the Northern Atlantic Ocean. Mata [89] also found that the amount of bromoform present in the tissue of A.taxiformis was influenced by the availability of total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) and carbon dioxide and the addition of hydrogen peroxide to the growth medium decreased haloperoxidase activity and decreased bromoform levels.

Seaweed Species	Bromoform (CHBr ₃) ng g ^{-1} h Fresh Weight		
	Brown		
Fucus vesiculosus	4.9		
Fucus serratus	2.1		
Ascophyllum nodosum	2.7		
Laminaria digitata	49.7		
Laminaria saccharina	32		
Macrocystis pyrifera	125		
	Red		
Meristiella gelidium	25		
Rhodymenia californica	47		
Pterocladia capillacea	500		
Cordllina officinalis	1.4–20		
Gigartina stellata	4.1–26		
Asparagopsis spp.	43–1256		
Chondrus crispus	0–1.3		
Polysiphonia lanosa	2.1		
	Green		
Ulva intestinalis	87–192		
Ulva linza	11		
Ulva spp.	150		
Ulva spp. (formerly lactuca)	13.0–150		
Cladophoria albida	0		

Table 3. Bromoform levels released from brown, red and green seaweeds per hour (adapted from Carpenter and Liss [82].

4.2. Peptides and Bacteriocins

Peptides and bacteriocins isolated or generated from seaweeds could play a role in suppressing archaea and protozoa involved in the production of CH₄. Several bioactive peptides have been identified or derived from seaweeds to date and BIOPEP-UWM (http://www.uwm.edu.pl/biochemia/index.php/en/biopep) is a useful resource where many of these peptides are logged. *C. crispus* (Irish moss) is a red seaweed widespread in the Northern Atlantic, which can be rich in protein depending on the season of harvest [90]. There are only a few studies investigating the effect of bacteriocins on CH₄ emission but Bovicin HC5 and Nisin, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus lactis* subsp. *lactis*, were shown to decrease CH₄ emissions by 40% previously. Peptides and bacteriocins have potential to modulate rumen fermentation leading towards increased propionate, thereby decreasing CH₄ production [20].

Bacteriocins are produced by bacteria and are small, heat-stable peptides that are active against other microbes to which the producer has a specific immunity mechanism. Bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria and Bacillus species are the most studied. Coincidentally, bacteria from the genus Bacillus are associated in particular with brown algae surfaces and are known to produce the lantibiotic—lichenicidin [91] and all marine seaweeds or invertebrates are suitable habitats for their production and several have already been identified from seaweed-associated bacteria [92–96]. For example, marine bacteria were isolated from brown algae including *Laminaria japonica* [97], *F. serratus* [98] and *F. evanescens* [99] and *U. pinnatifida* [100] and all displayed antimicrobial activities. Suresh et al. [101] identified a bacteriocin produced by *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* methylsulfonylmethane (MSM) isolated

from species *U. lactuca, Gracilaria* spp., and *Padina* species. Bacteriocins are thought also to be active against archaea and protozoa [81]. A number of anti-protozoal peptides have also been isolated from marine bacteria associated with seaweeds including Viridamide A isolated from the bacterium *Oscillatoria nigor viridis* which is active against *Leishmania mexicana* and *Trypanosoma cruzi* with an IC₅₀ value of 1.1–1.5 μ M and Diketopiperazines isolated from marine fungi and active against *Trypanosoma brucei* with IC₅₀ values of 40 μ M [102].

4.3. Phlorotannins

PTs are only found in brown algae, and are polymers of phloroglucinol (1-, 3-, 5-trihydroxybenzene). They are herbivore deterrents and help to protect brown seaweeds from UV radiation [103]. Despite these benefits, tannins are considered anti-nutritional although some authors have reported that it is actually galactolipids that are herbivore deterrents [104]. However, intake of tannins at levels between 2 and 4% were found to have positive effects in ruminants, increasing protein metabolism [105], reducing bloat and acting as anthelmintic agents on gastrointestinal parasites [106,107]. PT concentrations in brown seaweeds are known to be highly variable and likely this is due to variations in biotic and abiotic environmental conditions [108]. Their concentration varies between species, and has been reported to range from 0.5 to 20% dry weight of the alga, although some studies reported concentrations of up to 30% dry weight. The concentration of PTs varies between 0 and 14% of the dry weight of the seaweed. Brown seaweeds from locations at higher latitudes contain more PTs perhaps due to the need of seaweeds from tropical regions where the environmental conditions are more constant [109]. Several researchers have assessed the quantity of PTs in different seaweed species [110]. Table 4 collates information on the level of PTs found in different brown seaweeds.

Recently, Vissers et al. [111] identified that PTs from *L. digitata* decreased protein degradation and methanogenesis during in vitro ruminal fermentation. PTs were added at a concentration of 40 g/kg and reduced CH₄ emissions by 40% after 24 h without negatively affecting organic matter digestion or volatile fatty acids. More recently, Moneda et al. studied eight different seaweeds (Brown: *A. esculenta, L. digitata, P. canaliculata, S. latissima;* Red: *M. stellatus, P. palmata* and *Porphyra spp.;* Green: *C. rupestris*) that were included in whole oat hay at a rate of 50% and reported no noticeable anti-methanogenic effect [112]. The study reports no correlation between total extractable polyphenols (TEP) and gas production. Only PTs have been reported to have anti-CH₄ effects, whereas this study did not differentiate between the types of polyphenols nor provide information on how the TEP were quantified, which is known to be inaccurate using the Folin–Ciocalteu method. Furthermore, the study was only performed over 24 h, so it is unlikely that the biopolymers had sufficient time to degrade and release PTs. A study by Pavia and Toth [113] found that nitrogen availability explains some of the natural variation in the PTs content of *F. vesiculosus*, but the light environment has greater importance than nitrogen availability in predicting the PTs content of each species.

Brown Seaweed	Phlorotannin Content (mg/Kg)
Ascophyllum nodosum	34.9
Fucus vesiculosus	42.3
Cladostephus spongiosus	81.64
Cytoseira nodicaulis	516.24
Cytoseira tamariscifolia	815.82
Cytoseira usnevides	288.2
Fucus spiralis	968.57
Halopteris filicina	101.97
Saccorhiza polyschides	36.68
Sargassum vulgare	74.96

Table 4. Quantity of phlorotannins found in different brown macroalgae (adapted from Lopes et al. [110] and Pavia and Toth [113]).

4.4. Lipids

It is well known that dietary fats/lipids and fatty acids lower CH₄ emissions from ruminants. The mechanisms responsible for this effect could relate to reduced fermented organic matter in the rumen, effects of fatty acids on rumen methanogens and protozoal numbers as well as unsaturated fatty acids as a hydrogen sink by biohydrogenation [38,114]. The crude fat content of seaweed/algae is classified as at between 1 and 5% of fresh weight [82]. In a recent study by Bikker et al. [115], brown (L. digitata, S. latissima, A. nodosum), red (P. palmata, C. crispus) and green (U. lactuca) seaweed species from different origins in Northern Europe (harvested in Scotland, France or Ireland) reported average crude fat contents of 1.6,1.3 and 2.6% of fresh weight for brown, red and green seaweed, respectively. The lowest crude fat content was observed for C. crispus (7 g/kg dry matter (DM)) and the highest content for A. nodosum (38 g/kg dry matter (DM)). This study presented the fatty acid pattern of the different algae species and stated that the fatty acid concentration and composition might be relevant for bioactive properties. However, the fatty acid pattern of seaweeds is characterised by a high proportion of polyunsaturated omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids. In a meta-analysis, Patra [20] found that fats/lipids with high concentrations of C12:0 (lauric acid), C18:3 (linolenic acid) and polyunsaturated fatty acids could be included in the diet up to 6% of the dietary dry matter (DM) to reduce CH₄ emissions. The analysis of the fatty acid pattern in the study of Bikker et al. [115] showed that lauric acid is of minor importance, whereas α -linolenic acid has a higher proportion in the fatty acid pattern of European seaweeds. In a study by Poulsen et al. [116], it was shown that rapeseed oil supplementation of the diet reduced methanogenic thermoplasmata in lactating dairy cows. The authors discuss that rapeseed oil components maybe responsible for the anti-methanogenic effect and rapeseed oil also contains a high proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) similar to seaweed.

4.5. Carbohydrates

Seaweeds are rich in polysaccharides, a group of complex carbohydrate polymers, whose level ranges from 4 to 76% of dry weight, with the genera of brown seaweed *Ascophyllum*, red seaweed *Porphyra* and *Palmaria*, and green seaweed *Ulva* having the highest polysaccharide content [60]. Seaweed polysaccharides function in energy storage and to structurally support the cell wall, which typically consists of a fibrillar skeleton and an amorphous embedding matrix [117]. For energy storage, floridean starch (amylopectin-like α -D-glucan) is produced by red seaweeds, whereas laminarin is produced by brown seaweeds [118,119]. Cellulose (1,4- β -D-glucan) is the most common fibrillar skeleton material in seaweeds, and 1,3,- β -D-xylan, 1,4- β -D-xylan and 1,4,- β -D-mannam are also present, depending on algae species and stage of cell wall differentiation [120].

Compared to terrestrial higher plants, seaweed polysaccharides have some similar structural features (4-linked glucan, mannan and xylan) but are unique in the linkage structure of carrageenans, agarans, porphyrans, alginates, fucoidans, ulvans, 1,3- β -D-xylan, mixed linkage xylan, and glucuronans [121,122]. Despite this structural complexity, seaweed polysaccharides with various structural features can be hydrolysed by carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZyme) encoded within the genomes of gut microbiota (e.g., Bacteroides) during anaerobic fermentation [72]. The rumen microbiota can also adapt to metabolise seaweed polysaccharides. Recently, there has been increasing interest in the use of seaweeds for livestock feed, and the restricted bioavailability of structurally complex seaweed polysaccharides can result in low CH₄ production [122].

4.6. Alkaloids and Saponins

Alkaloids are nitrogenous compounds with antibacterial, antifungal as well as anti-inflammatory activities [123,124] and there is increased interest in bioprospecting seaweed alkaloids for their anti-inflammatory potential. Algae extracts of the genus *Caulerpa* are rich in caulerpin, an indolic alkaloid with proven anti-inflammatory activity. Caulerpin has been described in different species of the genus *Caulerpa*, such as *C. peltata*, *C.racemosa*, *C.cupressoides*, *C. paspaloides*, *C. prolifera*, *C. sertularioides*, *C. mexicana*, and *C. lentillifera*, besides being found in the red algae *Chondria armata*. The analysis of *C. peltata* and *C. racemosa* ethanolic extracts showed caulerpin as one of the main products [125]. Other indolic alkaloids of the genus *Caulerpa* and already identified are racemosin A and B [126], and C [127], and caulersin [128]. Red algae of the genus *Gracilaria* have also been described as important sources of alkaloids [79].

Saponins are phytochemicals which can be found in most vegetables, beans and herbs. The best known sources of saponins are peas, soybeans, and some herbs with names indicating foaming properties such as soapwort, soaproot, soapbark and soapberry [129]. The effects of saponins on rumen fermentation, rumen microbial populations, and ruminant productivity have been reviewed. Saponins from the desert plants Quillaja saponaria and Yucca have been examined for their CH₄-reducing potential when fed to dairy cattle [130]. Quillaja saponin at 1.2 g/L, but not at 0.6 g/L, lowered CH₄ production in vitro and the abundance of methanogens (by 0.2–0.3 log) and altered their composition. Ivy fruit saponin decreased CH₄ production by 40%, modified the structure of the methanogen community, and decreased its diversity. Saponins from Saponaria officinalis decreased CH4 and abundance of both methanogens and protozoa in vitro [131]. However, in other in vitro studies, *Quillaja* saponins at 0.6 g/L did not lower CH₄ production or methanogen abundance, and Yucca and Quillaja saponins at 0.6 to 1.2 g/L even increased archaeal abundance (by 0.3–0.4 log), despite a decrease in protozoal abundance by Quillaja saponin [132]. Tea saponins (30 g/day) also did not lower CH₄ emission from steers or the abundance of total methanogens but increased the abundance of rumen cluster C (RCC) methanogens and protozoa [133]. Over time, the rumen microbiome can adapt to saponins which may explain variations in results of feeding studies with saponin containing plants to date. Thus, the effects of saponins on methanogenesis and methanogen abundance are highly variable among studies carried out to date.

The Chlorophyta are reported to be a rich source of marine saponins as reviewed recently by Feroz [134]. Table 5 shows the saponin content of different seaweeds. The Yucca plant was reported to reduce CH_4 and methanogens and contains approximately 10% saponins based on the dry weight of the plant. The content of saponins in several seaweeds was reported as being between 13 and 17% of the dry weight of the seaweed [135,136].

17	of 28

Seaweed Species	Saponin Content (% of Dry Weight of Alga)
Gracilaria crassa	15
Gracilaria edulis	17
Cymodoceae rotudata	13
Cymodoceae serrulata	14
Ulva lactuca	14
Ulva reticulate	16
Gracilaria foliifera	14
Kappaphycus alvarezii	14
Galidiella accrosa	13

Table 5. Saponin content of different seaweed species.

5. Effect of Seaweeds/Seaweed-Derived Bioactives on the Microbiome of Ruminant Livestock

Novoa-Garrido et al. [137] investigated the effect of a commercial seaweed product with extracts collected from wild seaweeds (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) in Northern Norway on cultivable commensal intestinal bacterial groups. The regular consumption of seaweed polysaccharides is reported to act as a prebiotic promoting the intestinal health and stimulating the growth of beneficial probiotic bacteria such as Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli [138]. In contrast, the lambs born from ewes in the seaweed-fed group had high mortality. This high mortality in the lambs in the seaweed-fed group is quite remarkable and is explained by inadequate levels of absorbed antibodies caused by mechanisms not related to modulation in the gut microbiota adaptations [139].

The brown seaweed *Saccharina latissima* and the red *Porphyra* spp. were used to evaluate their in vivo digestibility, rumen fermentation and blood amino acid concentrations [62]. The results showed that protein digestibility of the diets including *S. latissimi* were lower than those of *Porphyra* spp. The protein digestibility of *Porphyra*, both in vitro [66] and in vivo [44], was comparable to that of soybean meal. Lind et al. [44] showed that there was no difference in the growth rate of lambs when fed either soybean meal or *Porphyra* spp. as the protein source. The amino acid profile of *Porphyra* sp. was considered as a relevant protein source for ruminants by Gaillard et al. [140].

As mentioned, inclusion of *A. taxiformis* and *A. aramata* in diets of ruminants has shown considerable decreases in enteric CH₄. Li et al. [141] investigated feeding *A. taxiformis* in diets of sheep and CH₄ production and found an 80% reduction in CH₄ compared to a control diet. Similarly, Roque and colleagues [14] found a 67% reduction of CH₄ from cows fed *A. taxiformis*, while Kinley et al. [15] found reductions of between 40 and 98% CH₄ in Brahman-Angus cross steers. Other seaweed species have yet to be investigated for their CH₄ mitigating effects in ruminants. Table 6 summarises in vivo studies in livestock fed different seaweeds where CH₄ reductions were observed previously.

Seaweed	Seaweed Dose (% of Dry Matter (DM)) and Animal Trial Used	CH ₄ Decrease vs. Control	Effects of TGP, TVFA and Digestibility	Reference
Asparagopsis taviformis (R)	<2% OM	Asparagopsis inclusion resulted in a consistent and dose-dependent reduction in enteric CH ₄ production in sheep over time, with up to 80% CH ₄ mitigation at the 3% offered rate compared with the group fed no Asparagopsis spp. (p < 0.05).	Sheep fed Asparagopsis had a significantly lower concentration of total volatile fatty acids and acetate, but higher propionate concentration. No changes in live weight gain were identified. Supplementing Asparagopsis in a high-fibre diet (<2% OM) results in significant and persistent decreases in enteric methanogenesis over a 72 d period in sheep.	[141]
taxiformis (R)	0.05–0.20% feed	Asparagopsis spp. was included in the feed of Brahman-Angus cross steers at 0.00%, 0.05%, 0.10%, and 0.20% of feed organic matter. Emissions were monitored in respiration chambers fortnightly over 90 d of treatment. Asparagopsis demonstrated decreased CH ₄ up to 40% and 98%.	<i>Asparagopsis</i> resulted in weight gain improvements of 53% and 42%, respectively.	[15]
	5% inclusion rate in OM	Feeding cows a 1–2% dry mass supplement of <i>Asparagopsis</i> per day reduced CH ₄ release by up to 95% without altering fermentation processes.	No negative impact on milk taste. No obvious negative impacts on volatile fatty acid production.	[14]
Ascophyllum nodosum (B)	with sun-dried seawed extract (Tasco; Acadi Seaplants Ltd., Dartmon NS, Canada) containin mixture of polysacchari and oligosaccharides derived from Ascophy, nodosum resulted in		Feeding 8 Canadian rams with sun-dried seaweed extract (Tasco; Acadian Seaplants Ltd., Dartmouth, NS, Canada) containing a mixture of polysaccharides and oligosaccharides and derived from <i>Ascophyllum</i> <i>nodosum</i> resulted in decreased faecal shedding of <i>E. coli</i> .	[76]
Seaweed-derived beta-glucans and marine omega-3 oils (fish oil)	5 L (120 g/L) per day of milk replacer (MR) and one of the following: (1) 40 g n-3 PUFA per day; (2) 1 g β -glucans per day (GL) and (3) 40 g n-3 PUFA per day and 1 g/d β -glucans in a 2 × 2 factorial design.	Improved immunity.	44 Holstein Friesian bull calves pre- and post-weaning.	[142]

Table 6. Summary of seaweeds with CH₄ mitigation effects in vivo.

B, brown; DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; R, red; TGP, total gas production; TVFA, total volatile fatty acids.

6. Potential for Scale-Up Trials and Economic Feasibility

Cultivation of Seaweed

In order to build a sustainable industry around CH_4 -inhibiting seaweed cultivation, it is essential to meet the high demand for biomass required as well as to address the environmental constraints regarding sustainability issues and socio-economic aspects. Table 7 details several companies currently active in the area of seaweed cultivation for CH_4 emissions reductions. Several of these companies have a focus on cultivation of either *A. taxiformis* or *A. aramata*.

Company	Location	Activities	
Symbrosia	USA	Production techniques for Asparagopsis spp. seaweeds	
Volta Greentech	Sweden	Production of A.s taxiformis species	
Taighde Mara Teo	Ireland	Production of A. aramata	
DúlaBio	Ireland	Production of seaweed "blends" for CH ₄ reduction	
BMRS	Ireland	Production of Asparagopsis spp.	
CH ₄ Global	Australia	Global supply of Asparagopsis spp.	
SeaExpert—Consultoria na Área das Pescas, Lda.	Portugal	Sustainable harvest and supply of Asparagopsis spp.	
Acadian SeaPlants Ltd.	Canada; Ireland and UK	Sustainable harvest and supply of a range of different seaweeds	
SeaLac Ltd.	Ireland	Supply of sustainable seaweeds	

Table 7. Seaweed cultivation companies with a focus on CH₄ emissions reduction.

7. Gaps in Current Knowledge

Several gaps exist in current knowledge regarding the usefulness of seaweeds to tackle climate change through its potential to reduce CH_4 emissions as a diet supplement or feed for livestock. The potential negative and positive environmental and subsequent economic impacts of seaweed farming at a large scale still remain unanswered. There is a lack of understanding of the requirements and needs for new markets in order to decide which seaweed species to cultivate, what traits to focus on regarding selective breeding and how to reduce the negative compounds in seaweeds, in addition to knowledge concerning optimum cultivation sites and seaweed growth, harvest and processing as well as storage, transportation, cost and sale prices. There is also a lack of evidence that using seaweed-based ingredients to reduce CH_4 emissions will be competitive, feasible and technically and economically successful and bioeconomic modelling-based approaches are required. Despite these challenges, seaweed use for CH_4 mitigation provides a new market development opportunity for feed manufacturers and somewhat addresses the issue of limited terrestrial resources for the development of animal feeds.

Potential delivery mechanisms of seaweeds/seaweed bioactive compounds with CH₄-reducing potential to ruminants could include the use of purified seaweed bioactives provided in a capsule or in injectable or bolus forms [143]. Other options include incorporation of processed crude plant material or extract into feed pellets or solutions; application of fresh seaweed material to the paddock/feed lot; incorporation of crude seaweed material or extract into water sources on the farm. The most appropriate delivery method is impossible to predict presently and will require animal feeding trials suitable to the animal production method used per country. However, the best delivery option will depend on the seaweed stability and activity, the seaweed compound stability, potency, bioavailability and safety. Of great importance also is the palatability of the selected seaweeds chosen for animals. Palatability refers to those characteristics of a feed that invoke a sensory response in the animal Seaweed

has a highly variable composition, which depends on the species, time of collection, habitat and on external factors including light, water nutrient content and several other factors [143]. Feed preferences in ruminants are generally associated with digestive modifications. Animals use their senses to learn to associate the post-ingestive effects of the feed with its sensory characteristics. Ruminants generally develop preferences for feeds that will provide a high satiety level rapidly. Thus, palatability measured as the sensory response invoked by the feed integrates its nutritive value [144]. Physical characteristics of the feed including particle size, resistance to fracture and dry matter content contribute to the sensory response invoked by the animal [144]. Feeds that are free of mould and that have been fermented longer (silages) are more palatable for dairy cows. Stems are less palatable. Feed preference in ruminants is affected by smell and several other variables. Flavours include natural flavour such as garlic, anise, and black cumin or artificial flavours such as fruit extracts and chemical products including vanillin and sodium glutamate. They are usually added as dry powders and included in the diet at levels ranging from 0.5 to 1.5% of the feed. Vanilla and fenugreek may be some of the preferred scents [145]. Other studies have identified that older ruminants have a preference for citric tastes and aromas [146]. Orange, citrics, anise, fenugreek, coconut, molasses and maple flavours have been used in adult sheep previously [147]. Sheep showed stronger preference for flavoured feeds than goats in a study carried out by Robertson et al. but both sheep and goats showed a similar pattern of preference across the flavours offered. In general, sheep exhibited significant preference for truffle, garlic, onion, apple, caramel, maple and orange relative to the unflavoured feeds, whereas goats showed significant preference for truffle, onion, apple and garlic [148]. The timing of feeding any new feed ingredient to cows for CH₄ mitigation is also very important as some ingredients can be detected in the milk or meat, for examples—flavours. Flavours can accumulate in body tissues, especially in fat, and can be transferred to the blood and milk. Flavoured CH₄ mitigating feeds should therefore be fed after milking and withheld from the cows 4–5 h before milking. Inclusion of seaweeds in the diet of cows could also increases the level of iodine in milk as observed by Antaya who found previously that incremental amounts of A. nodosum meal do not improve animal performance but do increase milk iodine output in early lactation dairy cows fed high-forage diets [148].

8. Conclusions

The potential of seaweed use to reduce enteric CH_4 emissions from ruminants depends on a number of factors including the level of the bioactive compound present in the seaweed, which in turn is dependent on seaweed availability and sustainability, harvesting, transport, storage and processing methods employed to formulate seaweed into a feed ingredient. The safety and mechanism of action of the seaweed-derived CH_4 -reducing active ingredient and the palatability of the seaweed/seaweed active ingredient and delivery of these in the diet of the animal are also important considerations. Perhaps the most important consideration is the economic feasibility of seaweed use and processing of seaweeds into extracts for use in animal feed to reduce CH_4 emissions for ruminants. Several in vitro studies detailing the efficacy of seaweeds in reducing CH_4 emissions from ruminants have been carried out to date but additional large-scale animal trials are required to determine real efficacy in the field. In addition, details regarding seaweed bioactives and their mechanisms of action will be necessary to ensure seaweed-derived active ingredients for CH_4 emissions reduction can be sold as animal feed/feed additives in the future.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2076-2615/10/12/2432/s1, Supplementary Table S1: Summary of in vitro studies reporting no CH₄ mitigation effects of seaweeds.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, M.H. and P.J.W.; methodology, M.H.; writing—original draft preparation; review and editing—all authors; project administration, M.H.; funding acquisition, M.H. and S.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was carried out as part of the SeaSolutions Project funded by the European Research Area on Sustainable Animal Production (ERA-NET) SUSAN and ICT-Agri-2, 2018 Joint Call on Novel Technologies, solutions and systems to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in animal production systems. The project receives

funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement no 696231. This research was funded was made possible by funding from the 2018 Joint Call.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gerber, P.J.H.; Steinfeld, B.; Henderson, A.; Mottet, C.; Opio, C.; Dijkman, J.; Falcucci, A.; Tempio, G. *Tackling Climate Change through Livestock: A Global Assessment of Emissions and Mitigation Opportunities*; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO): Rome, Italy, 2013.
- 2. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). *World Livestock 2011: Livestock in Food Security;* FAO: Rome, Italy, 2011.
- IPCC. 2007: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., Miller, H.L., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- 4. McAllister, T.A.; Beauchemin, K.A.; McGinn, S.M.; Hao, X. Greenhouse gases in animal agriculture—Finding a balance between Food Production and emissions. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *166–167*, *1–6*. [CrossRef]
- Carberry, C.A.; Kenny, D.A.; Han, S.; McCabe, M.S.; Waters, S.A. Effect of phenotypic residual feed intake and dietary forage content on the rumen microbial community of beef cattle. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2012, 78, 4949–4958. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Huws, S.A.; Creevey, C.J.; Oyama, L.B.; Mizrahi, I.; Denman, S.E.; Popova, M.; Muñoz-Tamayo, R.; Forano, E.; Waters, S.M.; Hess, M.; et al. Addressing global ruminant agricultural challenges through understanding the rumen microbiome: Past, Present and Future. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 2161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Johnson, K.A.; Johnson, D.E. Methane emissions from cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 1995, 73, 2483–2492. [CrossRef]
- 8. van Gastelen, S.; Dijkstra, J.; Bannink, A. Are dietary strategies to mitigate enteric methane emissions equally effective across dairy cattle, beef cattle, and sheep? *J. Dairy Sci.* **2019**, *102*, 6109–6130. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ramin, M.; Franco, M.; Roleda, M.; Aasen, I.M.; Hetta, M.; Steinshamn, H. In vitro evaluation of utilisable crude protein and methane production for a diet in which grass silage was replaced by different levels and fractions of extracted seaweed proteins. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *255*, 114225. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Xu, Z.; Bach, S.J.; McAllister, T.A. Effects of phlorotannins from Ascophyllum nodosum (brown seaweed) on in vitro ruminal digestion of mixed forage or barley grain. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2008, 145, 375–395. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kinley, R.D.; de Nys, R.; Vucko, M.J.; Machado, L.; Tomkins, N.W. The red macroalgae *Asparagopsis taxiformis* is a potent natural anti-methanogenic that reduces methane production during in vitro fermentation with rumen fluid. *Anim. Prod.* **2016**, *56*, 282–289. [CrossRef]
- 12. Machado, L.; Kinley, R.D.; Magnusson, M.; de Nys, R.; Tomkins, N.W. The potential of macroalgae for beef production systems in Northern Australia. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2015**, *27*, 2001–2005. [CrossRef]
- Bang, C.; Schilhabel, A.; Weidenbach, K.; Kopp, A.; Goldmann, T.; Gutsmann, T.; Schmitz, R.A. Effect of Antimicrobial peptides on methanogenic Archaea. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2012, *56*, 4123–4130. [CrossRef]
- 14. Roque, B.M.; Brooke, C.G.; Ladau, J.; Polley, T.; Marsh, L.J.; Najafi, N.; Pandey, P.; Singhi, H.; Kinley, R.; Salwen, J.R.; et al. Effect of the macroalgae Asparagopsis taxiformis on methane production and rumen microbiome assemblage. *Anim. Microbiome* **2019**, *1*, 3. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kinley, R.D.; Martinez-Fernandez, G.; Matthews, M.K.; de Nys, R.; Magnusson, M.; Tomkins, N.W. Mitigating the carbon footprint and improving productivity of ruminant livestock agriculture using a red seaweed. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2020**, *259*, 120836–120842. [CrossRef]
- Henderson, G.; Cox, F.; Ganesh, S.; Jonker, A.; Young, W.; Global Rumen Census collaboration; Janssen, P.H. Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sasson, G.; Kruger Ben-Shabat, S.; Seroussi, E.; Doron-Faigenboim, A.; Shterzer, N.; Yaacoby, S.; Berg Miller, M.E.; White, B.A.; Halperin, E.; Mizrahi, I. Heritable bovine rumen bacteria are phylogenetically related and correlated with the cow's capacity to harvest energy from its feed. *mBio* 2017, *8*, e00703-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 18. Tapio, I.; Snelling, T.J.; Strozzi, F.; Wallace, R.J. The ruminal microbiome associated with methane emissions from ruminant livestock. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *8*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- IPCC. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report; Contribution of working groups I, II and III to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change; Pachauri, R.K., Meyer, L.A., Eds.; IPCC: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014; pp. 151–172.
- Patra, A.; Park, T.; Kim, M.; Yu, Z. Rumen methanogens and mitigation of methane emission by anti-methanogenic compounds and substances. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2017, *8*, 1–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Danielsson, R.; Dicksved, J.; Sun, L.; Gonda, H.; Muller, B.; Schnurer, A.; Bertilsson, J. Methane production in dairy cows correlates with rumen methanogenic and bacterial community structure. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 266. [CrossRef]
- 22. Kittelmann, S.; Pinares-Patino, C.S.; Seedorf, H.; Kirk, M.R.; Ganesh, S. McEwan, J.C.; Janssen, P.H. Two different bacterial community types are linked with the low methane emission trait in sheep. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e103717. [CrossRef]
- 23. Shi, W.; Moon, C.D.; Leahy, S.C.; Kang, D.; Foula, J.; Kittelmann, S.; Fan, C.; Deutsch, S.; Gagic, D.; Seedorf, H.; et al. Methane yield phenotypes linked to differential gene expression in the sheep rumen microbiome. *Genome Res.* **2014**, 24, 1517–1525. [CrossRef]
- 24. Leahy, S.C.; Kelly, W.J.; Altermann, E.; Ronimns, R.S.; Yeoman, C.J.; Pacheco, D.M.; Li, D.; Kong, Z.; McTowish, S.; Sang, C.; et al. The genome sequence of the rumen methanogen *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* reveals new possibilities for controlling ruminant methane emissions. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e8926. [CrossRef]
- Reeve, J.N.; Nolling, J.; Morgan, R.M.; Smith, D.R. Methanogenesis: Genes, genomes, and who's on first? J. Bacteriol. 1997, 179, 5945–5986. [CrossRef]
- 26. Kamke, J.; Kittelmann, S.; Soni, P. Rumen metagenome and metatranscriptome analyses of low methane yield sheep reveals a *Sharpea*-enriched microbiome characterised by lactic acid formation and utilisation. *Microbiome* **2016**, *4*, 56. [CrossRef]
- Janssen, P.H. Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formulation and fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation thermodynamics. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2010, 160, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wolin, M.J.; Miller, T.L.; Stewart, C.S. Microbe-microbe interactions. In *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1997; pp. 467–491.
- Wallace, R.J.; Rooke, J.A.; McKain, N.; Duthie, C.-A.; Hyslop, J.J.; Ross, D.W.; Waterhouse, A.; Watson, M.; Roehe, R. The rumen microbial metagenome associated with high methane production in cattle. *BMC Genom.* 2015, *16*, 839. [CrossRef]
- Edwards, J.E.; Forster, R.J.; Callaghan, T.M.; Dollhofer, V.; Dagar, S.S.; Cheng, Y.; Chang, J.; Kittelmann, S.; Fliegerova, K.; Puniya, A.K.; et al. PCR and Omics Based Techniques to Study the Diversity, Ecology and Biology of Anaerobic Fungi: Insights, Challenges and Opportunities. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 1657. [CrossRef]
- Cunha, C.S.; Veloso, C.M.; Marcondes, M.I.; Mantouvani, H.C.; Tomich, T.R.; Pereira, L.G.R.; Ferreira, M.F.L.; Dill-McFarland, K.A.; Suen, G. Assessing the impact of rumen microbial communities on methane emissions and production traits in Holstein cows in a tropical climate. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 2017, 40, 492–499. [CrossRef]
- 32. Aydin, S.; Yildirim, E.; Ince, O.; Ince, B. Rumen anaerobic Fungi create new opportunities for enhanced methane production from microalgae biomass. *Algal Res.* **2017**, *23*, 150–160. [CrossRef]
- 33. Newbold, C.J.; de la Fuente, G.; Belanche, A.; Ramos-Morales, E.; McEwan, N.R. The role of ciliate protozoa in the rumen. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 1313. [CrossRef]
- 34. Weimer, P.J. Redundancy, resilience, and host specificity of the ruminal microbiota: Implications for engineering improved ruminal fermentations. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 296. [CrossRef]
- 35. Difford, G.F.; Plichta, D.R.; Løvendahl, P.; Lassen, J.; Noel, S.J.; Højberg, O.; Wright, A.-D.G.; Zhu, Z.; Kristensen, L.; Nielsen, H.B.; et al. Host genetics and the rumen microbiome jointly associate with methane emissions in dairy cows. *PLoS Genet.* **2018**, *14*, e1007580. [CrossRef]

- 36. Roehe, R.; Dewhurst, R.J.; Duthie, C.-A.; Rooke, J.A.; McKain, N.; Ross, D.W.; Hyslop, J.J.; Waterhouse, A.; Freeman, T.C.; Watson, M.; et al. Bovine Host Genetic Variation Influences Rumen Microbial Methane Production with Best Selection Criterion for Low Methane Emitting and Efficiently Feed Converting Hosts Based on Metagenomic Gene Abundance. *PLoS Genet.* 2016, 12, e1005846. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Q.; Difford, G.; Sahana, G.; Løvendahl, P.; Lassen, J.; Lund, M.S.; Guldbrandtsen, B.; Janss, L. Bayesian modeling reveals host genetics associated with rumen microbiota jointly influence methane emission in dairy cows. *ISME J.* 2020, *14*, 2019–2033. [CrossRef]
- Beauchemin, K.A.; McGinn, S.M.; Petit, H.V. Methane abatement strategies for cattle: Lipid supplementation of diets. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 2007, 87, 431–440. [CrossRef]
- 39. Patra, A.K. The effect of dietary fat on methane emissions, and its other effects on digestibility, rumen fermentation and lactation performance in cattle: A meta-analysis. *Livest. Sci.* 2013, 155, 244–254. [CrossRef]
- 40. Jayanegara, A.; Goel, G.; Makkar, H.P.S.; Becker, K. Divergence between purified hydrolysable and condensed tannin effect on methane emission, rumen fermentation and microbial populations in vitro. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *209*, 60–68. [CrossRef]
- Cobellis, G.; Petrozzi, A.; Forte, C.; Acuti, G.; Orrui, M.; Marcotullio, M.C.; Aquino, a.; Nicolini, A.; Mazza, U. and Trabalza-Marinucci, M. Evaluation of the effects of methane and ammonia production by using *Origanum vulgare* L. and *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oils on in vitro rumen fermentation systems. *Sustainability* 2015, 7, 12856–12869. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bay-Larsen, I.; Risvoll, C.; Bjorkhang, H. Local protein sources in animal feed—Perceptions among arctic sheep farmers. *J. Rural Stud.* **2018**, *59*, 98–110. [CrossRef]
- Molina-Alcaide, E.; Carro, M.D.; Roleda, M.Y.; Weisbjerg, M.R.; Lind, V.; Novoa-Garrido, M. In vitro ruminal fermentation and methane production of different seaweed species. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2017, 228, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 44. Lind, V.; Weisbjerg, M.R.; Jørgensen, G.M.; Fernandez-Yepes, J.E.; Arbesú, L.; Molina-Alcaide, E. Ruminal Fermentation, Growth Rate and Methane Production in Sheep Fed Diets Including White Clover, Soybean Meal or *Porphyra* sp. *Animals* **2020**, *10*, 79. [CrossRef]
- 45. Chagas, J.C.; Ramin, M.; Krizsan, S.J. In vitro evaluation of different dietary methane mitigation strategies. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 1120. [CrossRef]
- Machado, L.; Magnusson, M.; Paul, N.A.; Kinley, R.; Nys, R.; Tomkins, N. Identification of bioactives from the red seaweed *Asparagopsis taxiformis* that promote antimethanogenic activity in vitro. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 2016, 28, 3117–3126. [CrossRef]
- Machado, L.; Magnusson, M.; Paul, N.A.; Kinley, R.; de Nys, R.; Tomkins, N. Dose-response effects of *Asparagopsis taxiformis* and *Oedogonium* sp. on in vitro fermentation and methane production. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 2016, 28, 1443–1452. [CrossRef]
- 48. Brooke, C.G.; Roque, B.M.; Najafi, N.; Hess, M. Methane reduction potential of two pacific coast macroalgae during in vitro ruminant fermentation. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2020**, *7*, *7*. [CrossRef]
- 49. Machado, L.; Magnusson, M.; Paul, N.A.; de Nys, R.; Tomkins, N. Effects of Marine and Freshwater Macroalgae on In-Vitro Total Gas and Methane Production. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e85289. [CrossRef]
- 50. Dubois, B.; Tomkins, N.W.; Kinley, R.D.; Bai, M.; Scott, S.; Paul, N.A.; de Nys, R. Effect of topical algae as additives on rumen in vitro gas production and fermentation characteristics. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 34–43. [CrossRef]
- 51. Maia, M.R.G.; Fonseca, A.J.M.; Oliveria, H.M.; Medonca, C.; Cabrita, A.R.J. The potential role of seaweeds in the natural manipulation of rumen fermentation and methane production. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 32321. [CrossRef]
- Brooke, C.G.; Roque, B.M.; Najafi, N.; Gonzalez, M.; Pfefferien, A.; DeAnde, V.; Ginsberg, D.W.; Harden, M.C.; Nuzhdin, S.V.; Salwen, J.K.; et al. Evaluation of the potential of two common Pacific coast macroalgae for mitigating methane emissions from ruminants. *bioRxiv* 2018, *16*, 434480. [CrossRef]
- Machado, L.; Tomkins, N.; Magnusson, M.; Midgley, D.J.; De Nys, R.; Rosewarne, C.P. In Vitro Response of Rumen Microbiota to the Antimethanogenic Red Macroalga *Asparagopsis taxiformis*. *Microb. Ecol.* 2018, 75, 811–818. [CrossRef]
- 54. Kinley, R.D.; Fredeen, A.H. In vitro evaluation of feeding North Atlantic stormtoss seaweeds on ruminal digestion. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 2015, 27, 2387–2393. [CrossRef]

- 55. Prayitno, C.H.; Utami, F.K.; Nugroho, A.; Widyastuti, T. The effect of seaweed (*Gracilaria* sp.) supplementation in sheep feed on methanogenesis inhibition in vitro. In Proceedings of the IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science, Makassar, Indonesia, 6–7 November 2018; Volume 247, p. 012069.
- 56. Gemeda, B.S.; Hassen, A. Effect of tannin and species variation on in vitro digestibility, gas, and methane production of tropical browse plants. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **2015**, *28*, 188–199. [CrossRef]
- 57. Burtin, P. Nutritional value of seaweed. *Electron. J. Environ. Agric. Food Chem.* 2003, 2, 498–503.
- 58. Belanche, A.; Jones, E.; Parveen, I.; Newbold, C.J. A metagenomic approach to evaluate the impact of dietary supplementation with *Ascophyllum nodosum* or *Laminaria digitata* on rumen function in Rusitec fermenters. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hymes-Fecht, U.C.; Broderick, G.A.; Muck, R.E.; Grabber, J.H. Replacing alfalfa or red clover silage with birdsfoot trefoil silage in total mixed rations increases production of lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2013, 96, 460–469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Holdt, S.L.; Kraan, S. Bioactive compounds in seaweed: Functional food applications and legislation. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2011**, 23, 543–597. [CrossRef]
- 61. Cabrita, A.R.J.; Maia, M.R.G.; Oliveira, H.M.; Pinto, I.S.; Almeida, A.; Pinto, E.; Fonseca, A.J.M. Tracing seaweeds as mineral sources for farm-animals. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2016**, *28*, 3135–3150. [CrossRef]
- 62. Gulzari, S.O.; Lind, V.; Aasen, I.M.; Steinshamn, H. Effect of supplementing sheep diets with macroalgae species on in vivo nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentation and blood amino acid profile. *Animal* **2019**, *13*, 2792–2801. [CrossRef]
- 63. Hansen, H.R.; Hector, B.L.; Feldmann, J. A qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the seaweed diet of North Ronaldsay sheep. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2003**, *105*, 21–28. [CrossRef]
- 64. Biancarosa, I.; Espe, M.; Bruckner, C.G.; Heesch, S.; Liland, N.; Waagbo, R.; Torstensen, B.; Lock, E.J. Amino acid composition, protein content, and nitrogen to protein conversion factors of 21 seaweed species. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2017**, *29*, 1001–1009. [CrossRef]
- 65. Burt, A.W.A.; Bartlett, S.; Rowland, S.J. The use of seaweed meals in concentrate mixtures for dairy cows. *J. Dairy Res.* **1954**, *21*, 299–304. [CrossRef]
- Tayyab, U.; Novoa-Garrido, M.; Roleda, M.Y.; Lind, V.; Weisbjerg, M.R. Ruminal and intestinal protein degradability of various seaweed species measured in situ in dairy cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2016, 213, 44–54. [CrossRef]
- 67. Haq, S.H.; Al-Ruwaished, G.; Al-Mutlaq, M.A.; Naki, S.A.; Al-Mogren, M.; Al-Rashed, S.; Ain, Q.T.; Al-Amro, A.A.; Al-Mussallam, A. Antioxidant, anticancer activity and phytochemical analysis of green algae, Chaetomorpha collected from the Arabian Gulf. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 18906. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fitzgerald, C.; Aluko, R.E.; Hossain, M.; Rai, D.K.; Hayes, M. Potential of a renin inhibitory peptide from the red seaweed Palmaria palmata as a functional food ingredient following confirmation and characterization of a hypotensive effect in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2014, 62, 8352–8356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Sharifuddin, Y.; Chin, Y.-X.; Lim, P.-E.; Phang, S.-M. Potential bioactive compounds from seaweeds for diabetes management. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 5447–5491. [CrossRef]
- Young, E.G.; Smith, D.G. Amino acids, peptides and proteins of Irish Moss, *Chondrus crispus*. J. Biol. Chem. 1958, 233, 406–410.
- 71. Orpin, C.G.; Greenwood, Y.; Hall, F.J.; Paterson, I.W. The rumen microbiology of seaweed digestion in Orkney sheep. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* **1985**, *58*, 585–596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Hehemann, J.H.; Correc, G.; Barbeyron, T.; Helbert, W.; Czjzek, M.; Michel, G. Transfer of carbohydrate-active enzymes from marine bacteria to Japanese gut microbiota. *Nature* **2010**, *464*, 908–912. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Bach, S.J.; Wang, Y.; McAllister, T.A. Effect of feeding sun-dried seaweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) on fecal shedding of Escherichia coli O157:H7 by feedlot cattle and on growth performance of lambs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *142*, 17–32. [CrossRef]
- 74. Wang, Y.; Alexander, T.W.; McAllister, T.A. In vitro effects of phlorotannins from *Ascophyllum nodosum* on rumen bacterial populations and fermentation. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2009**, *89*, 2252–2260.
- Lee, S.; Jeong, J.S.; Shin, W.H.; Lee, S.K.; Kim, H.S.; Eom, J.S.; Lee, S.S. Impact of *Ecklonia stolonifera* extract on *in vitro* ruminal fermentation characteristics, methanogenesis and microbial populations. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 2019, 32, 1864–1872. [CrossRef]

- 76. Zhou, M.; Hünerberg, M.; Chen, Y.; Reuter, T.; McAllister, T.A.; Evans, F.; Critchley, A.T.; Guan, L.L. Air-dried brown seaweed, *Ascophyllum nodosum*, alters the rumen microbiome in a manner that changes rumen fermentation profiles and lowers the prevalence of foodborne pathogens. *mSphere* 2018, *3*, e00017-18. [CrossRef]
- 77. Newbold, C.J.; Lassalas, B.; Jouany, J.P. The importance of methanogens associated with ciliate protozoa in ruminal methane production in vitro. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **1995**, *21*, 230–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Newbold, C.J. Assessing antiprotozoal agents. In *In Vitro Screening of Plant Resources for Extra-Nutritional Attributes in Ruminants*; Vercoe, P.E., Makkar, H.P.S., Schilink, C., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherland, 2010; pp. 47–53.
- 79. Makkar, H.P.S.; Blummel, M.; Becker, K. In vitro effects of and interactions between tannins and saponins and fate of tannins in the rumen. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1995**, *69*, 481–495. [CrossRef]
- Khelaifia, S.; Drancourt, M. Susceptibility of Archaea to antimicrobial agents: Applications to clinical microbiology. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2012, 18, 841–848. [CrossRef]
- 81. Varnava, K.G.; Ronimus, R.S.; Sarojini, V. A review on comparative mechanistic studies of antimicrobial peptides against Archaea. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2017**, *114*, 2457–2473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Carpenter, L.J.; Liss, P.S. On temperate sources of bromoform and other reactive organic bromine gases. *J. Geophys. Res.* **2000**, *105*, 20539–20547. [CrossRef]
- 83. Paul, N.A.; de Nys, R.; Steinberg, P.D. Chemical Defence against Bacteria in the Red Alga *Asparagopsis armata*: Linking Structure with Function. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **2006**, *306*, 87–101. [CrossRef]
- Maschek, J.A.; Baker, B.J. The chemistry of algal secondary metabolism. In *Algal Chemical Ecology*; Amsler, C.D., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2008; pp. 1–24.
- 85. Leedham, E.C.; Hughes, C.; Keng, F.S.L.; Phang, S.-M.; Malin, G.; Sturges, W.T. Emission of atmospherically significant halocarbons by naturally occurring and farmed tropical macroalgae. *BiogeoSciences* **2013**, *10*, 3615–3633. [CrossRef]
- 86. Tomkins, N.; Colegate, S.; Hunter, R. A bromochloromethane formulation reduces enteric methanogenesis in cattle fed grain-based diets. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 2009, *49*, 1053–1058. [CrossRef]
- 87. Kasanah, N.; Seto, T.D.S.; Ameila, W.; Isnansetyo, A. Antibacterial compounds from red seaweeds (Rhodophyta). *Indones. J. Chem.* 2015, 15, 201–209. [CrossRef]
- Genovese, G.; Tedone, L.; Hamann, M.T.; Morabito, M. The Mediterranean Red Alga Asparagopsis: A source of compounds against Leishmania. *Mar. Drugs* 2009, 7, 361–399. [CrossRef]
- Mata, L.; Gasper, H.; Santos, R. Carbon/Nutrient balance in relation to biomass production and halogenated compound content in the red alga *Asparagopsis taxiformis* (Bonnemaisoniaceae). *J. Phycol.* 2012, 48, 248–253. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Palmieri, B.; Vadalá, M.; Laurino, C. Clinical effect of overwintered, stressed *Chondrus crispus* and non-overwintered-stressed *Chondrus crispus* on dietary supplementation. *Asian J. Med. Sci.* 2018, 9, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- Ismail, A.; Ktari, L.; Ahmed, M.; Bolhuis, H.; Boudabbous, A.; Stal, L.J.; Cretoiu, M.S.; El Bour, M. Antimicrobial Activities of Bacteria Associated with the Brown Alga *Padina pavonica*. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, *7*, 1072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Egan, S.; Harder, T.; Burke, C.; Steinberg, P.; Kjelleberg, S.; Thomas, T. The seaweed holobiont: Understanding seaweed–bacteria interactions. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2013**, *37*, 462–476. [CrossRef]
- Goecke, F.; Wiese, L.A.; Imhoff, J. Chemical interaction between marine macroalgae and bacteria. Mar. Ecol. Prog. 2010, 409, 267–300. [CrossRef]
- 94. Chellaram, C.; Raja, P.; Alex John, A.; Krithika, S. Antagonistic effect of epiphytic bacteria from marine algae, southeastern India. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* **2013**, *16*, 431–434. [CrossRef]
- 95. Horta, A.; Pinteus, S.; Alves, C.; Fino, N.; Silva, J.; Fernandez, S.; Rodrigues, A.; Pedrosa, R. Antioxidant and antimicrobial potential of the *Bifurcaria bifurcata* epiphytic bacteria. *Mar. Drugs* **2012**, *12*, 1676–1689. [CrossRef]
- 96. Martin, M.; Portetelle, D.; Michel, G.; Vandenbol, M. Microorganisms living on macroalgae, Diversity, interactions, and biotechnological applications. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *98*, 2917–2935. [CrossRef]
- 97. Sawabe, T.; Makino, H.; Tatsumi, M.; Nakano, K.; Tajima, K.; Iqbal, M.M.; Yumoto, I.; Ezura, Y.; Christen, R. *Pseudoalteromonas bacteriolytica* sp. nov.; a marine bacterium that is the causative agent of red spot disease of *Laminaria japonica*. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **1998**, *48*, 769–774. [CrossRef]

- Johansen, J.E.; Nielsen, P.; Sjoholm, C. Description of *Cellulophaga baltica* gen. nov.; sp. nov. and *Cellulophaga fucical* gen. nov.; sp. nov. and reclassification of *Cytophaga lytica* to *Cellulophaga lytica* gen. nov.; comb. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 1999, 49, 1231–1240. [CrossRef]
- Ivanova, E.P.; Christen, R.; Alexeeva, Y.V.; Zhukova, N.V.; Gorshkova, N.M.; Lysenko, A.M.; Mikhailov, V.V.; Nicolau, D.V. *Brevibacterium celere* sp. nov.; isolated from degraded thallus of a brown alga. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2004, 54, 2107–2111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, Y.K.; Jung, H.J.; Lee, H.K. Marine bacteria associated with the Korean brown alga, *Undaria pinnatifida*. *Microbiol. J.* 2004, 44, 694–698.
- Suresh, M.; Lyappuraj, P.; Anantharaman, P. Optimisation, characterisation and partial purification of bacteriocin produced by *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* MSM an isolate from seaweed. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 2014, 3, 161–166. [CrossRef]
- 102. Simmons, T.L.; Engene, N.; Ureña, L.D.; Romero, L.I.; Ortega-Barría, E.; Gerwick, L.; Gerwick, W.H. Viridamides A and B, lipodepsipeptides with antiprotozoal activity from the marine cyanobacterium Oscillatoria nigro-viridis. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1544–1550, PMCID:PMC2656441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fairhead, V.A.; Amsler, C.D.; McClintock, J.B.; Baker, B.J. Variation in phlorotannin content with two species of brown macroalgae (*Desmarestia anceps* and *D. menziesii*) from the Western Antartic Peninsula. *Polar Biol.* 2005, 28, 680–699. [CrossRef]
- 104. Hay, M.E.; Fenical, W. Galactolipids rather than phlorotannins as herbivore deterrents in the brown seaweed *Fucus vesiculosus*. *Oecologia* **2003**, *136*, 107–114.
- 105. Mueller-Harvey, I. Unravelling the conundrum of tannins in animal nutrition and health. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2006**, *86*, 2010–2037.
- Rochfort, S.; Parker, A.J.; Dunshea, F.R. Plant bioactives for ruminant health and productivity. *Phytochemistry* 2008, 69, 299–322. [CrossRef]
- 107. Wang, Y.; Majak, W.; McAllister, T.A. Frothy bloat in ruminants: Causes, occurrence and mitigation strategies. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *172*, 103–114. [CrossRef]
- Jormalainen, V.; Honkanen, T.; Koivikko, R.; Eranen, J. Induction of phlorotannin production in a brown alga: Defense or resource dynamics? *OIKOS* 2003, 103, 140–150. [CrossRef]
- 109. Ank, G.; da Gama, B.A.P.; Pereira, R.C. Latitudinal variation in phlorotannin contents from Southwestern Atlantic brown seaweeds. *PeerJ Life Environ.* **2019**, *7*, 7379–7398. [CrossRef]
- 110. Lopes, G.; Sousa, C.; Silva, L.R.; Pinto, E.; Andrade, P.B.; Bernardo, J.; Mouga, T.; Valentão, P. Can Phlorotannins Purified Extracts Constitute a Novel Pharmacological Alternative for Microbial Infections with Associated Inflammatory Conditions? *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e31145. [CrossRef]
- Visser, A.M.; Brok, A.E.; Westphal, A.H.; Hendriks, W.H.; Gruppen, H.; Vincken, J.-P. Resolubilisation of protein from water-insoluble phlorotannin-protein complexes upon classification. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2017, 65, 9595–9602. [CrossRef]
- 112. de la Moneda, A.; Carro, M.D.; Weisbjerg, M.R.; Roleda, M.Y.; Lind, V.; Novoa-Garrido, M.; Molina-Alcaide, E. Variability and potential of seaweeds as ingredients of ruminant diets: An in vitro study. *Animals* 2019, *9*, 851. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Pavia, H.; Toth, G.B. Inducible chemical resistance to herbivory in the brown seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum*. *Ecology* **2000**, *81*, 3212–3225. [CrossRef]
- 114. Beauchemin, K.A.; Kreuzer, M.; O'Mara, F.; McAllister, T.A. Nutritional management for enteric methane abatement: A review. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 2008, *48*, 21–27. [CrossRef]
- Bikker, P.; Stokvis, L.; van Krimpen, M.M.; van Wikselaar, P.G.; Cone, K.N. Evaluation of seaweeds from marine waters in Northwestern Europe for application in animal nutrition. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2020, 263, 114460. [CrossRef]
- 116. Poulsen, M.; Schwab, C.; Jensen, B.B.; Engberg, R.M.; Spang, A.; Canibe, N.; Højberg, O.; Milinovich, G.; Fragner, L.; Schleper, C.; et al. Methylotrophic methanogenic Thermoplasmata implicated in reduced methane emissions from bovine rumen. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1428. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Stiger-Pouvreau, V.; Bourgougnon, N.; Deslandes, E. Chapter 8—Carbohydrates From Seaweeds. In Seaweed in Health and Disease Prevention; Fleurence, J., Levine, I., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2016; pp. 223–274.

- 118. Becker, S.; Tebben, J.; Coffinet, S.; Wiltshire, K.; Iversen, M.H.; Harder, T.; Hinrichs, K.-U.; Hehemann, J.-H. Laminarin is a major molecule in the marine carbon cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2020, 117, 6599–6607. [CrossRef]
- Yu, S.; Blennow, A.; Bojko, M.; Madsen, F.; Olsen, C.E.; Engelsen, S.B. Physico-chemical Characterization of Floridean Starch of Red Algae. *Starch-Stärke* 2002, 54, 66–74. [CrossRef]
- 120. Usov, A.I. Chapter 4—Polysaccharides of the red algae. In *Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry and Biochemistry;* Horton, D., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2011; Volume 65, pp. 115–217.
- 121. Kidgell, J.T.; Magnusson, M.; de Nys, R.; Glasson, C.R.K. Ulvan: A systematic review of extraction, composition and function. *Algal Res.* **2019**, *39*, 101422. [CrossRef]
- 122. Morais, T.; Inácio, A.; Coutinho, T.; Ministro, M.; Cotas, J.; Pereira, L.; Bahcvandziev, K. Seaweed potential in the animal feed: A review. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* 2020, *8*, 559. [CrossRef]
- 123. Wink, M. Medicinal plants: A source of anti-parasitic secondary metabolites. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 12771–12791. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Desgagné-Penix, I. Biosynthesis of alkaloids in Amaryllidaceae plants: A review. *Phytochem. Rev.* 2020, 5, 239–270. [CrossRef]
- 125. Guven, K.C.; Percot, A.; Sezik, E. Alkaloids in marine algae. Mar. Drugs 2010, 8, 269–284. [CrossRef]
- 126. Liu, D.-Q.; Mao, S.-C.; Zhang, H.-Y.; Yu, X.-Q.; Feng, M.-T.; Wang, B.; Feng, L.-H.; Guo, Y.-W. Racemosins A and B, two novel bisindole alkaloids from the green alga Caulerpa racemosa. *Fitoterapia* **2013**, *91*, 15–20. [CrossRef]
- 127. Yang, H.; Liu, D.-Q.; Liang, T.-J.; Li, J.; Liu, A.-H.; Yang, P.; Lin, K.; Yu, X.-Q.; Guo, Y.-W.; Mao, S.-C.; et al. Racemosin C, a novel minor bisindole alkaloid with protein tyrosine phosphatase-1B inhibitory activity from the green alga *Caulerpa racemosa*. J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. **2014**, *16*, 1158–1165. [CrossRef]
- 128. Wahlström, N.; Stensland, B.; Bergman, J. Synthesis of the marine alkaloid caulersin. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 2147–2153. [CrossRef]
- 129. Chen, Y.F.; Yang, C.H.; Chang, M.S.; Ciou, Y.P.; Huang, Y.C. Foam properties and detergent abilities of the saponins from *Camellia oleifera*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2010**, *11*, 4417–4425. [CrossRef]
- Holtshausen, L.; Chaves, A.V.; Beauchemin, K.A.; McGinn, S.M.; McAllister, T.A.; Odongo, N.F.; Cheeke, P.R.; Benchaar, C. Feeding saponin-containing *Yucca schidigera* and *Quillaja saponaria* to decrease enteric methane production in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2009, *92*, 2809–2821. [CrossRef]
- Cieslak, A.; Zmora, P.; Stochmal, A.; Pecio, L.; Oleszek, W.; Pers-Kamczyc, E.; Szczechowiak, J.; Nowak, A.; Szumacher-Strabel, M. Rumen anti-methanogenic effect of *Saponaria officinalis* L. phytochemicals in vitro. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2014, 152, 981–993. [CrossRef]
- 132. Wang, Y.X.; McAllister, T.A.; Newbold, C.J.; Cheeke, P.R.; Cheng, K.J. Effect of *Yucca schidigera* extract on fermentation and degradation of steroidal saponins in the rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC). *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *74*, 143–153. [CrossRef]
- 133. Patra, A.K.; Saxena, J. Dietary phytochemicals as rumen modifiers: A review of the effects on microbial populations. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **2009**, *96*, 363–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 134. Feroz, B. Saponins from marine macroalgae: A review. J. Mar. Sci. Res. Dev. 2018, 8, 4. [CrossRef]
- 135. Leelavathi, M.S.; Prasad, M.P. Comparative analysis of phytochemical compounds of marine algae isolated from Gulf of Mannar. *World J. Pharm. Pharmalogical Sci.* **2015**, *4*, 640–654.
- 136. Venkatesh, R.; Shanthi, S.; Rajapandian, K.; Elamathi, S.; Thenmozhi, S.; Radha, N. Preliminary study on anti-xanthomonas activity, phytochemical analysis and characterization of antimicrobial compounds from *Kappaphycus alvarezii*. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **2011**, *4*, 46–51.
- Novoa-Garrido, M.; Rebours, C.; Aaensen, L.; Torp, T.; Lind, V.; Steinshamn, H. Effect of seaweed on gastrointestinal microbiota isolated from Norwegian White sheep. *Acta Agric. Scand. Sect. A Anim. Sci.* 2017, 66, 152–160. [CrossRef]
- 138. Jaspars, M.; Folmer, F. Sea Vegetables for Health; Food and Health innovation Service: Aberdeen, UK, 2013.
- 139. Novoa-Garrido, M.; Aanensen, L.; Lind, V.; Larsen, H.J.S.; Jensen, S.K.; Govasmark, E.; Steinshamn, H. Immunological effects of feeding macroalgae and various vitamin E supplements in Norwegian White sheep-ewes and their offspring. *Livest. Sci.* 2014, 167, 126–136. [CrossRef]
- Gaillard, C.; Bhatti, H.S.; Novoa-Garrido, M.; Lind, V.; Roleda, M.Y.; Weisbjerg, M.R. Amino acid profiles of nine seaweed species and their in situ degradability in dairy cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2018, 241, 210–222. [CrossRef]

- 141. Li, X.; Norman, H.C.; Kinley, R.D.; Laurence, M.; Wilmot, M.; Bender, H.; de Nys, R.; Tomkins, N. Asparagopsis taxiformis decreases enteric methane production from sheep. Anim. Prod. Sci. 2018, 58, 681–688. [CrossRef]
- 142. McDonnell, R.P.; O'Doherty, J.V.; Earley, B.; Clarke, A.M.; Kenny, D.A. Effect of supplementation with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and/or β-glucans on performance, feeding behaviour and immune status of Holstein Friesian bull calves during the pre- and post-weaning periods. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2019, 10, 1–17.
- Baumont, R. Palatability and feeding behaviour in ruminants. A review. *Annales de Zootechnie INRA/EDP Sci.* 1996, 45, 385–400. [CrossRef]
- 144. Harper, M.T.; Oh, J.; Giallongo, F.; Lopes, J.C.; Weeks, H.L.; Faugeron, J.; Hristov, A.N. Short communication: Preference for flavoured concentrated premixes by dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 2016, 99, 6585–6589. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Carlotto, S.B. Performance and behavior of dairy calves fed diets containing milk and citric flavor agents. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia* **2007**, *31*, 889–895. [CrossRef]
- 146. Mora, L.M.; Oddo, J.M. Flavours in Ruminants. Technical Bulletin No. 27. Norel Animal Nutrition. 1-3. Available online: https://norel.net/en/system/files/TB_27_Use%20of%20flavors%20in%20ruminants_ENG.pdf (accessed on 14 October 2020).
- 147. Robertson, E.; Gordan, I.J.; Pérez-Barbería, J.F. Preference of sheep and goats for straw pellets treated with different food-flavouring agents. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2006**, *63*, 50–75. [CrossRef]
- 148. Antaya, N.T.; Soder, K.J.; Kraft, J.; Whitehouse, N.L.; Guidon, N.E.; Erickson, P.S.; Conroy, A.B.; Brito, A.F. Incremental amounts of *Ascophyllum nodosum* meal do not improve animal performance but do increase milk iodine output in early lactation dairy cows fed high-forage diets. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2015, *98*, 1991–2004. [CrossRef]

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).