

Successful control of salmonella and a minimized use of antibiotics in Swedish broiler production by long term implementation of disease preventive methods with special reference to the use of competitive exclusion (CE)

> Martin Wierup<sup>1</sup> and Pia Gustafsson<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden, <sup>2</sup> Swedish Poultry Meat Association

> > Int. Symposium Alternatives to Antibiotics in Animal Productiom The World Organisation fo Animal Health (OIE)

> > > Paris 25-28 Sept. 2012



Professor em. Dep. of Biomed. Scie. and Vet. Publ. Health Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU) P.O. Box 7028; 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden phone: + 46 70 539 9295 martin.wierup@slu.se

### KEY EVENTS FOR CONTROL OF ANIMAL AND ZOONOTIC DISEASES IN SWEDEN Background

- Rinderpest 1740
- Education of veterinarians 1775
- Foot and Mouth and Classical Swine Fever
- Bovine tuberculosis 1897-1958
- Bovine brucellosis 1943–1957
- Organized health control 1943
- Salmonella 1941, 1961, 1970, 2001 \*\*
  - Antimicrobial growth promoters ban 1986
- Fallen stock feed ban 1986
- MBM feed to ruminants ban 1986, 1991
- Entry to EU 1995

#### Message:

Sweden has a long tradition of sucessful control of animal diseases

Initially against EV regulations



### Broiler production Three methods applied

- 1. Prevention and control of Salmonella
- 2. Competetive exclusion
- 3. Biosecurity and disease prevention
  - following ban of antimrobial growth promoters





Slaughter : 30 days old – 1.75 Kg



## 1. Control of Salmonella

- Start > 50 years ago (1961), specific for broiler since 1970
- Essential elements:
  - prevent introduction through feed and breeding animals
  - high level of biosecurity
  - testing including all flocks before slaughter
  - Salmonella positive flocks destroyed for all serovars
- Cost paid by producer insurance

Result:

- Pandemic of S. Enteritidies (late 1980-ies) was prevented
- Annual incidence (last 16 years) infected flock : 0.2%
- Monitoring of carcasses : 0.03% contaminated birds
  Ref. Zoonotic reports from EU/ EFSA and Sweden (<u>www.sva.se</u>)



## Result - Salmonella Salmonella control

✤ Pandemic spread of S. Enteritidies (late 1980-ies) was excluded



Annual incidence (last 16 years) infected flock : 0.2%

Monitoring of carcasses : 0.03% contaminated birds



## 2. Competititive exclusion – Broilact<sup>®</sup>

#### Strategy for use:

Prevent Salmonella infection of new flocks

1. in units where previous flock was Salmonella infected

2. periods with increased risk for Salmonella in feed.

#### Administration:

- in drinking water to the day old chickens

#### Amount treated:

– 3.82 mill chickens / 179 flocks (3.82 mill birds) ; 1981–1990 Result:

- One of 179 flocks Salmonella infected significantly verified effect
- Effective tool for avoiding re infection of Salmonella



## New approach





### 3. Disease prevention

- following ban of antimrobial growth promoters (AGP)

### Background

Sweden banned AGP 1986, EU 1997-2006

### Basic experience

Growth promoting due to infectious disease control



### Basic challenge

Implement other ways to prevent infections



## Strategies

- Monitor use of antimicrobials and resistance show facts
- Educate farmers, employers and veterinarians
- Guidelines on the use of antimicrobials
- ✤ Batch production "all in all out "
- ✤ Biosecurity
- Disease surveillance
- No economic incitement for vet to prescribe antimicrobials



## Final result – use of antimicrobials

### Broiler:

1. First years after AGP ban

Antibiotic for Necrotic enteritis (NE) prevention:

- 1987 100 % of 3000 flocks (60 mill. broilers)
- 1988 7 % of 3000 flocks
- 1995 <1% of 3100 flocks

Reduction by 99 %

2. Current situation

Total use of antibiotics apart from coccidiostats :

- 2011 - 0.02%; 6 of 3185 flocks (70 mill. birds)

Use of antibiotics largely eliminated

NE the major disease. Proportion treated following ban



## Conclusion

Long term implementation of **1.** Biosecurity **2.** Disease preventive management and **3.** CE (Broilact<sup>•</sup>) have largely eliminated Salmonella and the use of antimicrobials



### References

- Anon 2010, Surveillance of zoonotic and other animal disease agents in Sweden 2011, www.sva.se .
- Bengtsson B, Wierup M.: Antimicrobial resistance in Scandinavia after ban of antimicrobial growth promoters. Anim Biotechnol. 2006;17(2):147–56.
- Gustafsson P.: Antibiotika användning 2011 (Use of antibiotics during 2011), Report to National Veterinary Institute from Swedish Poultry Meat Association, April 20, 2012
- Wierup M, Wold-Troell M, Nurmi E, Häkkinen M. :Epidemiological evaluation of the salmonellacontrolling effect of a nationwide use of a competitive exclusion culture in poultry. Poult Sci. 1988 Jul;67(7):1026-33.
- Wierup, M., H. Wahlström & B. Engström: Experience of a 10-year use of competitive exclusion treatment as a part of the Salmonella control programme in Sweden. Int. J. of Food Microbiol. 1992,15, 287-
- Wierup, M., B. Engström, A. Engvall & H. Wahltröm: Control of Salmonella enteritidis in Sweden. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 1995, 25, 219–226
- Wierup M. The control of microbial diseases in animals: alternatives to the use of antibiotics. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2000 May;14(4):315–9. Review.
- Wierup M. The Swedish experience of the 1986 year ban of antimicrobial growth promoters, with special reference to animal health, disease prevention, productivity, and usage of antimicrobials. Microb Drug Resist. 2001 Summer;7(2):183–90.

# Thanks for your attention & & Questions ?