

# Epigenetic and transcriptional consequences in the endosperm of chemically induced transposon mobilization in Arabidopsis

Gerardo Del Toro-De León<sup>1</sup>, Joram van Boven<sup>2</sup>, Juan Santos-González<sup>2</sup>, Wen-Biao Jiao<sup>3</sup>, Haoran Peng<sup>1</sup>, Korbinian Schneeberger<sup>4,5,6</sup> and Claudia Köhler<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Reproductive Biology and Epigenetics, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Potsdam 14476, Germany <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Biology, Uppsala BioCenter, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences and Linnean Centre for Plant Biology, Uppsala 75007, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>National Key Laboratory for Germplasm Innovation & Utilization of Horticultural Crops, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Chromosome Biology, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Cologne 50829, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Faculty for Biology, LMU Munich, Planegg-Martinsried 82152, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf 40225, Germany

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +49 331 567 8100; Email: koehler@mpimp-golm.mpg.de

### Abstract

Genomic imprinting, an epigenetic phenomenon leading to parent-of-origin-specific gene expression, has independently evolved in the endosperm of flowering plants and the placenta of mammals—tissues crucial for nurturing embryos. While transposable elements (TEs) frequently colocalize with imprinted genes and are implicated in imprinting establishment, direct investigations of the impact of *de novo* TE transposition on genomic imprinting remain scarce. In this study, we explored the effects of chemically induced transposition of the Copia element *ONSEN* on genomic imprinting in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Through the combination of chemical TE mobilization and doubled haploid induction, we geneated a line with 40 new *ONSEN* copies. Our findings reveal a preferential targeting of maternally expressed genes (MEGs) for transposition, aligning with the colocalization of H2A.Z and H3K27me3 in MEGs—both previously identified as promoters of *ONSEN* insertions. Additionally, we demonstrate that chemically-induced DNA hypomethylation induces global transcriptional deregulation in the endosperm, leading to the breakdown of MEG imprinting. This study provides insights into the consequences of chemically induced TE remobilization in the endosperm, revealing that chemically-induced epigenome changes can have long-term consequences on imprinted gene expression.

## **Graphical abstract**



Received: March 13, 2024. Revised: June 13, 2024. Editorial Decision: June 14, 2024. Accepted: June 26, 2024

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

### Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are genomic parasites that play essential and diverse roles in all living organisms. TEs can replicate and jump within their host genome, accounting substantially to the variation in genome size. As first predicted by Barbara McClintock in maize (1), transposition can result in gene disruption, chromosomal rearrangements, and heterochromatin expansion (2). Therefore, silencing mechanisms have evolved to prevent TEs from mobilizing, including the RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway in plants (3). Insertions of TEs can affect the expression of adjacent genes, either by silencing mechanisms spreading into gene flanking regions or by the TEs contributing regulatory elements impacting gene expression (4).

These mechanisms are particularly relevant in the endosperm, a seed tissue required to support embryo growth in flowering plants (5). The endosperm forms after double fertilization by the fusion of the central cell within the female gametophyte with one of the two sperm cells. The other sperm cell fertilizes the egg cell, giving rise to the embryo (6). The DNA glycosylase DEMETER (DME) is active in the central cell and removes methylated cytosines from small TEs, causing neighboring genes to be expressed (7). Since DME is not active in sperm, TEs remain methylated and flanking genes silenced. This mechanism establishes an epigenetic asymmetry of the parental genomes, resulting in parent-of-origin specific gene expression. This phenomenon is commonly referred to as genomic imprinting (5,7,8). Removal of DNA methylation in the central cell can also cause the recruitment of the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2), leading to the establishment of the repressive trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 27 (H3K27me3) (9–11) on maternal alleles. In consequence, the maternal alleles of the affected genes are silenced, while the paternal alleles are expressed (9,11).

Genomic imprinting has evolved independently in the embryo-nurturing tissues of flowering plants and mammals, evidencing a key role in reproduction (12). TEs often overlap with imprinted genes in both plants and mammals, leading to the hypothesis that TEs are responsible for establishing imprinting (13–16). Nevertheless, not all imprinted genes colocalize with TEs, and not all genes that overlap with TEs are imprinted (14). This disparity in observations highlights a gap in our understanding of the epigenetic regulation and evolutionary aspects of genomic imprinting. Therefore, studies addressing a direct and immediate effect on TE mobilization on genomic imprinting are relevant to close this knowledge gap.

Recent efforts to artificially mobilize TEs upon controlled chemical and stress treatments provide an opportunity to study the effects of transposition on imprinted gene expression on a laboratory time scale (17–21). By combining the nonmethylable cytosine analog zebularine with the Polymerase II inhibitor  $\alpha$ -amanitin, transposition of the heat-responsive Copia element ONSEN (ATCOPIA78) could be successfully induced after heat treatment. Remobilized ONSEN elements have various effects on the transcriptional regulation of genes that are either directly targeted by ONSEN or flanking the insertion site, demonstrating the power of TEs to impact gene regulation (18,22–24).

Here, we *de novo* mobilized ONSEN and tested the effect on gene regulation in the endosperm of Arabidopsis. We found that imprinted maternally expressed genes (MEGs) were preferentially targeted by new ONSEN insertions, cor-

relating with the enrichment of H3K27me3 and the histone variant H2A.Z that were previously found to promote ON-SEN insertions (18,25). These data suggest that the epigenetic landscape of MEGs are hotspots for new ONSEN insertions, implicating that imprinting can precede TE insertion. Furthermore, we found that new ONSEN insertions in the endosperm had low levels of CG and CHH methylation, but were marked by the heterochromatic H3K9me2 modification, suggesting that H3K9me2 is the primary silencing signal for new ON-SEN elements in the endosperm. Finally, we observed a global breakdown of MEG imprinting, which we account to the combined effect of global and persistent DNA hypomethylation and the activation of gene expression in endosperm.

## Materials and methods

## Plant material and growth conditions

### Plant material

TEmob was generated in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using the previously reported endosperm specific INTACT line (isolation of nuclei tagged in specific cell types) (11) in the Col-0 accession and the delayed dehiscence 2 (*dde2*) mutant background (26). The INTACT line expresses two constructs under the endosperm-specific *PHE1* promoter; a nuclear targeting fusion protein (NTF) with a biotin ligase recognition peptide linked to GFP (*PHE1::NTF*) and the *Escherichia coli* biotin ligase BirA (*PHE1::BirA*). Biotinylation of NTF allows purification of labeled endosperm-specific nuclei using streptavidincoated magnetic beads. The *dde2* mutation was segregated out during haploid induction. The INTACT line was used as wildtype (WT) reference for all comparisons. The *pistillata-1* (*pi-1*) mutant (27) was obtained from NASC.

#### Growth conditions

Seeds were surface sterilized with 70% ethanol and washed three times with sterile water. Sterilized seeds were sown on  $\frac{1}{2}$ MS plates containing 0.5% sucrose and 0.8% agar, stratified for 2 days at 4°C and germinated under long-day conditions (16h light/8h darkness) at 21°C. Seedlings were transferred to soil after 10–12 days and grown in phytotrons under long day conditions (day 21°C, night 20°C 70% humidity, 150 µE light intensity).

#### **Reciprocal crosses**

All endosperm datasets generated in this study (RNAseq, WGBS and CUT&Tag) were obtained from INTACT purified endosperm nuclei from Col  $\times$  Ler (L) reciprocal crosses at 4 days after pollination (DAP) using the INTACT line (referred as C) or TEmob (T3, referred as Cm) genetic background. Reciprocal crosses CxL;LxC, and CmxL;LxCm are also referred as C/CmxL (CxL and CmxL) and LxC/Cm (LxC and LxCm); by convention, the maternal parent is listed first in a genetic cross. To facilitate the crosses, we used the male sterile *pi-1* mutant in Ler background as female parent pollinated with the INTACT lines. For the reciprocal cross TEmob and WT INTACT lines were emasculated and pollinated with Ler wild-type pollen.

### Generation of the TEmob line

Chemical treatment for ONSEN mobilization was performed following the previously published protocol reported (17). In

brief, seeds were sown on  $\frac{1}{2}$ MS agar plates containing 40µM zebularine (Sigma Cat. No. Z4775) and 5.44 µM  $\alpha$ -amanitin (Sigma Cat. No. A2263) (ZA) stratified for 2 days at 4°C and germinated under long-day conditions (16 h light/8 h darkness) at 21°C. After 9 days, the plates were incubated at 4°C degrees for 24 h and subsequently at 37°C in the dark for 24 h for heat shock induction (HS). *ONSEN* copy number was estimated by qPCR in treated plants (T0) and their progeny (T1) (Supplementary Table S1). Plants with the highest *ON*-*SEN* copy numbers were selected and crossed with homozygous *cenh3 CENH3-TAILSWAP* plants (28). Offspring T2 haploids were grown and treated with colchicine. Ploidy was measured on a CyFlow Ploidy Analyser (Sysmex). A single uniparental diploid line was identified (TEmob) in the following generation (T3).

#### Whole genome Illumina sequencing and analysis

Genomic DNA for short-read sequencing was extracted from 5-week-old leaves using the MagJET<sup>™</sup> Plant Genomic DNA Kit (Thermo Scientific, Cat. No. K2761). DNA libraries were prepared using the NEBNext® Ultra<sup>™</sup> II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (New England Biolabs Cat. No. E7645) and the NEBNext® Multiplex Oligos for Illumina® (New England Biolabs Cat. No. E7335S). Size selection was aimed at 300–400 bp fragments. Sequencing was performed at Novogene on a HiSeq X platform in 150-bp paired-end (PE) mode.

#### Whole genome PacBio sequencing

For high quality DNA isolation, 2 g of flash-frozen 12-day-old seedlings were ground in liquid Nitrogen and DNA was extracted using 8mL of CTAB buffer (29). DNA purification was performed with 1× volume of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, pH 8.0) and precipitated with 0.7× volume of isopropanol. The pellet was washed with 75% ethanol and gently resuspended in sterile water and treated with RNAse A (Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup> EN0531) and Ribonuclease T1 (Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup> EN0541) for 30 min at 37°C. The enzymes were extracted by adding 1× volume of Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (25:24:1, pH 8.0) and remaining phenol was removed with  $1.5 \times$  volume of Chloroform. The DNA was precipitated for 30 min at -20°C with 1/10 volume of 3M Sodium acetate, pH 5.3 and 2.5 volume of 100% ethanol and resuspended in sterile water. Final DNA purification was performed with the Zymogen Genomic DNA Clean and Concentrator-10 column (Zymo Research, Irvine). Libraries were prepared using SMRTbell Template Prep Kit 1.0 and sequenced on a PacBio Sequel platform at Novogene.

# TEmob chromosome-level assembly and annotation of TEmob

PacBio short (<50 bp) and low quality (QV < 80) reads were filtered out using the SMRTLink5 package. PacBio long reads were *de novo* assembled with Flye tool (30) and polished with the Arrow tool from the SMRTLink5 package after remapping with the pbmm2 (minimap2) tool. The resulting assembly was further corrected to remove small-scale assembly errors with bcftools based on the alignments generated with the Illumina short reads using BWA (31). The final chromosomelevel assembly was generated based on the synteny alignment with the Col-0 TAIR10 reference genome using MUMmer4 and the Perl scripts described by (32). Gene annotation was performed using the tool liftoff with the TAIR10 reference annotation. TEs were annotated with RepeatMasker (http: //www.repeatmasker.org) and Transposable Element MOnitoring with LOng-reads (TrEMOLO) (33).

#### Analysis of new ONSEN insertions

TE annotations found by RepeatMasker in the reference Col-0 wild type and TEmob were compared. Significant differences in the number of members per family were only observed for ATCOPIA78 (ONSEN). Manual curation was done by comparing each ONSEN annotation between TAIR10 Col-0 reference and TEmob genomes. To further optimize the discoverv of all potential new TEs we run the TrEMOLO INSIDER TE detection module (v2.5.4b) (33) to identify and annotate structural variations on the new Col-0 TEmob genome assembly compared against the Col-0 reference TAIR10 genome using the transposable elements database. TrEMOLO successfully detected all new ONSEN insertions found by Repeat-Masker. Manual verification of each potential new insertion was done to eliminate false positives by comparing reference and non-reference TE insertions. Only new ONSEN elements were found in TEmob using these combined methods. Sequence polymorphisms between the native ONSEN elements were compared to identify the parental elements of the new copies.

Analysis of epigenetic modifications was performed by analyzing 100 bp upstream and downstream of the new insertions and targeted genes with the map feature of bedtools v2.30.0 (34). Plots and statistical analysis were done in R.

### Imprinting analysis of genes targeted by TEs

To evaluate TE-biased insertion into MEGs, we compiled and analyzed the genes associated with reported new insertions after chemical induction and in natural accessions. The following datasets were used: TE insertions (TEI) found in in ddm1 (decrease in DNA methylation 1) epiRILs and new ONSEN insertions in heat-shock (HS) treated *nrpd1* plants reported by (25); transposon insertion polymorphisms (TIPs) detected in Arabidopsis accessions reported by (35); new ONSEN insertions induced by HS in zebularine and  $\alpha$ -amanitin (ZA) treated plants reported by (18). Coordinates of TE insertions were overlapped with gene annotation from TAIR10 using bedtools v2.30.0 (34) including 1 kb upstream of the start codon. Overlapping genes with sufficient read depth over single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were analyzed from two independent studies estimating imprinting in Arabidopsis endosperm (36,37). Proportions of observed MEGs were compared with the expected number by chance. Exact binomial test was performed with the binom.test function from R. Results for TEI and TIP were reported by TE superfamily and family as for the current TE annotation for TAIR10.

#### Analysis of H3K27me3 and H2A.Z leaf datasets

We made use of publicly available datasets for H3K27me3 (GSE66585) and H2A.Z (GSE123263) from leaf tissues (11,38). Data processing was performed as previously described by (11). Analysis, plots and statistical analysis were done with bedtools v2.30.0 and R (34).

# Aerial tissue whole-genome bisulfite sequencing and analysis

DNA was extracted from 100 mg of ground flash-frozen aerial tissue pooled from three 4-week-old TEmob (T4) plants using the MagMAX<sup>™</sup> Plant DNA Isolation Kit in biological duplicates. Libraries were prepared with the Accel-NGS Methyl-Seq DNA Library Kit (Swift Cat No. 30096), and the sequencing was performed at Novogene on a NovaSeq 6000 platform in 150-bp PE mode. For the wild-type reference, we used the WGBS dataset from GSE156597 previously generated in our group using comparable specifications (3 week-old aerial tissues) (39). 150-bp PE reads were trimmed by removing the first 5 bases from the 5' end and the last 20 bases from the 3' end. Reads were mapped to the Arabidopsis TAIR10 in PE mode (-score\_min L,0,-0.6) genome using Bismark (40). Duplicated reads were eliminated and methylation levels for each condition were calculated by averaging the two biological replicates. Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) between TEmob and WT were defined using 50-bp windows across the genome as units. Cytosine positions with at least six informative mapped reads and 50 bp windows with at least four cytosines were considered. Windows with differences in fractional methylation below the 1st decile (Fisher's exact test, P-value < 0.01) were selected. DMRs with absolute methylation differences of 0.35 for CG, 0.20 for CHG and 0.15 for CHH (Fisher's exact test, P-value < 0.01) were analyzed and merged if they occurred within 300 bp. Genomic features indicated as gene (gene-body), TEs, promoter (1 kb 5' upstream) and intergenic regions were defined based on the current TAIR10 genome release and overlapping with DMRs were obtained using intersect feature of bedtools v2.30.0(34).

#### Endosperm RNA sequencing and analysis

A total of 250 mg of siliques at 4DAP were collected from TEmob Col (Cm) × Ler (L) reciprocal crosses in three biological replicates. Tissue homogenization, nuclei purification, RNA extraction, and library preparation were performed as previously described (36,41). Libraries were sequenced at Novogene on a HiSeq platform in 150-bp PE mode. For each replicate, the 150 bp reads were trimmed and mapped in single-end mode to the Arabidopsis (TAIR10) genome masked for rRNA genes using TopHat v2.1.0 (42) (parameters adjusted as -g 1 -a 10 -i 40 -I 5000 F 0 r 130). Mapped reads were counted in the genes using GFOLD (43). Differentially regulated genes were detected using DESeq2 (v. 1.42.0) (44) and R (v. 4.3.0). Comparisons were made against our previously published wild-type samples generated and processed as explained above (GSE119915). Only changes in expression with FDR of <0.01 and an absolute Log<sub>2</sub> fold change (LFC)  $\geq 1.5$ were considered statistically significant. Parent-of-origin allele specific expression analysis and criteria to define imprinted genes was done as previously described (36,45) following a stricter minimum threshold of 50 informative reads. To increase the statistical power to detect parentally biased genes, replicates were merged.

# Endosperm whole-genome bisulfite sequencing and analysis

A total of 500 mg of siliques at 4DAP were collected from wild-type Col (C)  $\times$  Ler (L) reciprocal crosses and from TEmob Col (Cm)  $\times$  Ler (L) reciprocal crosses in biological duplicates. Tissue homogenization and nuclei purification

were performed as previously described (36,41). DNA was extracted with the DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Cat. No. 69104). Libraries were prepared with the Accel-NGS Methyl-Seq DNA Library Kit (Swift Cat No. 30096), and the sequencing was performed at Novogene on a NovaSeq 6000 platform in 150-bp PE mode. Bisulfite sequencing data processing and parent-of-origin methylation was performed as previously described (11). DMRs identification and analysis were performed as described for the aerial tissue samples above.

# Endosperm INTACT-CUT&Tag sequencing and analysis

A total of 250 mg of siliques at 4DAP were collected from wild-type Col (C)  $\times$  Ler (L) reciprocal crosses and from TEmob Col (Cm)  $\times$  Ler (L) reciprocal crosses in biological duplicates. We coupled our INTACT protocol for endospermnuclei purification with Cleavage Under Targets & Tagmentation (CUT&Tag) (46) for epigenomic profiling of H3K9me2 and H3K27me3. Tissue homogenization and nuclei purification were performed as previously described (36,41) except for the replacement of MgCl<sub>2</sub> by spermidine 0.5 mM in the Honda Buffer to prevent pAG-Tn5 activation by residual MgCl<sub>2</sub>. We performed all steps with streptavidinbound nuclei. After purification, nuclei were resuspended in Antibody150 buffer and from antibody incubation to tagmentation with pAG-Tn5 (EpiCypher Cat No. 15-1017) we followed the CUT&Tag Protocol v1.7 from CUTANA<sup>™</sup>. Primary antibody incubation was performed overnight at 4°C with gentle shaking using anti-H3K9me2 (Diagenode Cat. No. C15410060), Anti-Histone H3 (Sigma-Aldrich Cat. No. H9289) or anti-H3K27me3 (Cell Signaling Technology Cat. No. 9733T) antibodies. Secondary antibody incubation was performed with Guinea Pig anti-Rabbit IgG, (Antibodies-Online Cat. No. ABIN101961). Tagmentation was performed at 37°C for 1 h. PCR library amplification and post-PCR cleanup was performed as recommended by (47). We prepared unique index Nextera-compatible libraries with custom-designed index primers (48) amplified with Non-hot Start Phusion<sup>™</sup> High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific<sup>TM</sup> Cat No. F530S) for 14 cycles. Sequencing was performed on a NextSeq 1000 platform in 150-bp PE mode. PE reads were trimmed using the trim\_galore tool and mapped to the Arabidopsis (TAIR10) genome using Bowtie2 (49) (parameters -no-unal -no-mixed -no-discordant -phred33 -local -very-sensitive-local). Mapped reads were sorted and indexed using SAMtools (50). Read coverage was estimated and normalized to 1x sequencing depth (reads per genome coverage, RPGC) using the bamCoverage from deepTools (51) (parameters -bs50 -effectiveGenomeSize 119481543 -normalizeUsing RPGC -skipNAs). Signal-to-noise ratio was normalized with H3 data by calculating the log2 ratio in 50 bins across the genome using bigwigCompare from deepTools. Parentof-origin methylation was performed as previously described (11). For comparative purposes across samples data were standardized and normalized with a z-score transformation (52).

#### Motif analysis

Annotation of Transcription Factors and predicted motifs were obtained from the Arabidopsis transcription factor database (AtTFDB) and from the Plant Transcription Factor Database (PlantTFDB 5.0) (53,54) (http://planttfdb.gao-lab. org/). 1 and 3 kb upstream sequences to the ATG start codon



**Figure 1.** Characteristics of new full-length *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob. **(A)** New *ONSEN* insertions are distributed in the 5 chromosomes of *A. thaliana. AT1TE12295* and *AT1TE59755* (yellow triangles) account for most of the insertions. **(B)** Total number of full-length *ONSEN* in TAIR10 and TEmob genomes. **(C)** Genomic context of new *ONSEN* insertions. **(D)** Genome browser example of a new insertion located in an intron of *ATG12420* showing enrichment of CG, CHG and CHH methylation in flanking insertion regions of TEmob in comparison to WT in vegetative aerial tissues. **(E)** Vegetative aerial methylation levels in WT and TEmob in 100 bp flanking insertion regions (upstream and downstream) of new *ONSEN* elements. Wilcoxon test, \*\**P*-value < 0.01; \**P*-value < 0.05; ns, not significant.

were obtained from TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org). Motif analysis was performed using the FIMO tool (55). Plots and statistical analysis were performed in R.

### Results

# TEmob—a double haploid line with 40 new ONSEN insertions

To test how *de novo* transposition influences imprinting in Arabidopsis, we followed a published protocol for chemically inducing *ONSEN* TE mobilization (17). We applied the treatment to a previously established endosperm-specific IN-TACT line that allows purification of endosperm nuclei for downstream experiments (11). To ensure homozygosity of remobilized TEs, we used lines with higher *ONSEN* copy number (T1) as pollen donor and crossed them to a *cenh3 CENH3-tailswap* haploid inducer line (28). Haploid progenies were identified (T2) and treated with colchicine to duplicate the genome. A single double haploid line was suc-

cessfully recovered (T3) which we refer as TEmob hereafter (Supplementary Figure S1).

To accurately determine the location of newly inserted TEs in the TEmob genome, we performed whole-genome sequencing with long read technology from PacBio. TEmob genome assembly and annotation confirmed an increased number of ONSEN elements. In addition to the eight fulllength native ONSEN elements in the Col-0 genome, 42 new full-length ATCOPIA78 (AtONSENmob1 to 42) elements were detected by RepeatMasker in TEmob, distributed in all five chromosomes (Figure 1A, B, Supplementary Figure S2 and Supplementary Figure S3). Two of the new ON-SEN TEs (AtONSENmob9 and AtONSENmob28) are likely native to Col-0 and were potentially misannotated in the TAIR10 assembly, in agreement with a recent report (56) (Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Figure S2B). Thus, a total number of 40 new full-length ONSEN elements were detected in the TEmob genome (Figure 1A, B, Supplementary Table S2). Analyses of sequence polymorphisms between full-length native and new ONSEN elements in TEmob revealed that most *AtONSENmob* were derived from *AT1TE12295* (*AT1G11265*) and few from *AT1TE59755* (*AT1G48710*) (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure S4). These copies contain heat responsive elements (HREs), making them prone to be activated by heat treatment (57). Further annotation of new TEs by TrEMOLO IN-SIDER TE detection module (33) confirmed that only *ON-SEN* was mobilized as no other TE family was found to increase in copy number in TEmob, consistent with previous reports showing that the applied protocol specifically mobilizes the heat-responsive Copia-like *ONSEN* retrotransposon (17,18).

To understand the potential effect of newly inserted ON-SEN elements on neighboring gene expression, we performed whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) on vegetative aerial tissues and analyzed changes in DNA methylation (DNAme) at the insertion regions. Of the new ONSEN elements, 65% were inserted into exons, consistent with previous observations of insertion preferences into exons for this family of retrotransposons, followed by intergenic regions and introns (Figure 1C, Supplementary Table S2). New ONSEN elements recruited high levels of DNAme in all sequence contexts to flanking regions of insertion sites (100bp) upstream and downstream of the new insertions) in aerial tissues (Figure 1D, E), revealing a strong transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) of newly transposed elements and spreading of DNAme into flanking regions. There was a minor increase of DNAme in the native full-length ONSEN copies, demonstrating reinforcement of silencing after TE mobilization (Supplementary Figure S5).

In summary, we established an ONSEN-mobilized double haploid line by combining chemical TE mobilization followed by maternal genome elimination and subsequent colchicineinduced diploidization (Supplementary Figure S1). The resulting TEmob line contains 40 new full-length ONSEN insertions that exhibited high CG and non-CG DNAme in aerial tissues at the flanking insertion sites, potentially influencing gene regulation in neighboring regions. The TEmob line was phenotypically indistinguishable from WT, as we did not observe any obvious differences in vegetative growth under normal growth conditions.

#### New ONSEN insertions preferentially target MEGs

TEs frequently colocalize with imprinted genes (14,58,59)leading to the hypothesis that epigenetic regulation of TEs is connected to genomic imprinting. To study potential effects on genomic imprinting derived from new ONSEN copies in TEmob, we first analyzed the imprinting status of genes overlapping or being in the vicinity of new ONSEN elements prior de novo insertion. Of the 40 new insertions, only 11 were located within or in the vicinity (1 kb up or downstream) of genes expressed in the endosperm and had sufficient read depth over single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in available imprinting datasets to distinguish parental alleles (14,36,37,45,58,60,61) (Supplementary Table S3). Interestingly, out of the 11 genes colocalizing with new AtONSENmob elements, 9 genes are imprinted or have parentally biased expression (Supplementary Table S4). In datasets of Col (C) and Ler (L) accessions (C-L), HDG3 (AT2G32370) is a paternally expressed gene (PEG), UGT71C4 (AT1G07250), AT1G46552, MCTP16 (AT5G17980) and AT3G20975 are MEGs in CxL and UGT71C4 and AT3G20975 show signifi-

cantly maternal biased expression in the reciprocal cross LxC. Two genes, UGT71C4 and MCTP16 also show significantly maternal biased expression in an independent study with Col (C) and Cvi (V) accessions (C-V) (37) (Supplementary Table S4). Further analysis of published imprinted expression datasets (14,36,37,45,58,60,61) revealed additional imprinting for VTE (AT5G39220) and AT4G29580, which are reported as MEGs and for AT2G21930 as a PEG (Supplementary Table S4). Finally, GSTF3 (AT2G02930) is not imprinted but shows significantly maternally biased expression in the C-V dataset (37). Since imprinted genes, depending on the study, are estimated to represent 3 to 7% of the endosperm-expressed genes (36,37), we conclude that new ONSEN insertions in TEmob are overrepresented in imprinted genes (P-value < 0.01, Exact binomial test, Supplementary Table S4).

To test whether preferential insertion of ONSEN into imprinted genes is a general trend or specific to TEmob, we analvzed parent-of-origin expression of genes overlapping with previously reported new ONSEN insertions (18,25). Of the 239 genes associated with new ONSEN insertions in the progeny of HS ZA-treated plants (18), 86 had sufficient read depth over SNPs in C-L (36) and 106 in C-V imprinting datasets (37). Of those genes overlapping with new ONSEN insertions, 16.7% were identified as MEGs in C-L parentof-origin expression data, which is higher than expected by chance, as only 7.1% are estimated to be MEGs in the C-L dataset (*P*-value = 0.003, Exact binomial test) (Figure 2A, Supplementary Table S5 and S6). Similarly, when comparing to the C-V dataset (37), 8.6% of ONSEN overlapping genes were MEGs, significantly more than the expected 2.5% MEGs present in this dataset (*P*-value = 0.001, Exact binomial test) (Figure 2A). Interestingly, ONSEN-associated genes reported in Col-0 *nrpd1* mutants (25) did not show enrichment of MEGs when compared to either of the imprinting datasets (Figure 2A and Supplementary Table S7). These observations suggest different insertion preferences of ONSEN dependent on the DNA methylation context. To further depict if preferential insertion in MEGs by ONSEN or potentially other TEs occurs in nature, we extended the analysis on genes overlapping TE insertion polymorphisms (TIPs) representing new transposition events found in Arabidopsis natural accessions (35). We also included new TE-insertions (TEIs) detected in epigenetic recombinant inbred lines (epiRILs) of ddm1 mutants (25). Copia insertions in natural accessions were more frequently associated with MEGs (8.75%) than expected by chance in the C-L imprinting dataset (P-value = 0.004, Exact binomial test) (Figure 2B and Supplementary Table S8). Similarly, we observed a higher proportion of MEGs than expected by chance in Gypsy TIPs associated loci in both imprinting datasets (C-L 9.26% and C-V 4.5%, P-value = 0.005 and 0.001, respectively, Exact binomial test). When analyzing TEI in epiRILs, we found that EVD was more frequently associated with MEGs (11.1%) than expected by chance (C-L, Pvalue = 0.003, Exact binomial test). Interestingly, we observed a particularly strong enrichment of MEGs in genes targeted by the DNA transposon family ATENSPM3 in both imprinting datasets, with 14.7% in C-L and 8.1% in C-V datasets, which is substantially higher than expected by chance (*P*-value = 0.009 and 0.003 respectively, Exact binomial test) (Figure 2B and Supplementary Table S7). Together, these observations support the idea that imprinted genes and specifically MEGs are preferentially targeted by ONSEN and other families of



**Figure 2**. *ONSEN* preferential insertion in MEGs. **(A)** Percentage of MEGs targeted by *ONSEN* reported by Roquis *et al* 2021 (HS + AZ treated plants) and by Quadrana *et al*. 2019 (*nrpd1* + HS plants) in comparison to the expected value. Two independent imprinting datasets depicted side by side (C-L, Del Toro-De León & Köhler, 2019; C-V, Picard *et al* 2021). **(B)** Percentage of MEGs targeted by new TEs reported as Transposon insertion polymorphisms (TIPs) in Arabidopsis accessions by Baduel *et al* 2021. and TE insertions (TEI) from epiRILs by Quadrana *et al* 2019. Data is depicted by TE superfamilies or families. Two independent imprinting datasets depicted side by side. For (A) and (B), significance was tested using an Exact binomial test. *\*\*P*-value < 0.01. C, Col-0; L, L*er*, V, CVI. **(C)** H2A.Z (left) (Potok *et al*. 2019) and H3K27me3 (right) (Moreno-Romero *et al*. 2017) occupancy in core MEGs (cMEGs) and **(D)** core PEGs (cPEGs) in aerial tissues (cMEGs and cPEGs are genes reported as imprinted in at least two independent datasets, Supplementary Table S3). Wilcoxon test, *\*\*P*-value < 0.01; *\*P*-value < 0.05; ns, not significant.

LTR retrotransposons, like *EVD* and *GYPSY*, but also DNA transposons like *ATENSPM3*.

It was reported that ONSEN and other Copia retrotransposons preferentially target silenced genes enriched for H3K27me3 and the histone variant H2A.Z (18,25). We analyzed previously published epigenome profiles from aerial tissues (11,38) to determine whether H2A.Z and H3K27me3 occupancy explains ONSEN preferential insertion into MEGs. First, we analyzed H2A.Z and H3K27me3 occupancy in genes associated with new ONSEN insertions in TEmob and found that indeed these regions were enriched for both H2A.Z and H3K27me3 (Supplementary Figure S6). We further tested whether imprinted genes were occupied by H2A.Z and H3K27me3 in aerial tissues and also found a substantial enrichment of H2A.Z and H3K27me3 over MEGs compared to randomly selected genes (Figure 2C). In contrast, PEGs were significantly enriched for H3K27me3 but not for H2A.Z (Figure 2D). Together, our data show that *de novo ONSEN* insertions preferentially target MEGs, possibly a consequence of MEGs being enriched for H2A.Z and H3K27me3 in aerial tissues. Importantly, H2A.Z and H3K27me3 rich regions also play a role in the preferential integration of the DNA transposon family ATENSPM3 (18,25), which targets we found to be rich in MEGs (Figure 2B), further supporting the idea of insertion preference in MEGs mediated by H2A.Z and H3K27me3 chromatin occupancy.

# Recruitment of repressive epigenetic modifications in the endosperm by new *ONSEN* insertions

Since new ONSEN elements inserted into imprinted genes and recruited DNA methylation to flanking regions in vegetative aerial tissues (Figure 1D, E), we tested whether this would be reflected by DNA and histone methylation changes in TEmob endosperm. We generated endosperm-specific DNA methylation profiles at 4DAP in reciprocal crosses between Ler (L) with either TEmob (Cm) or WT (C): CxL; LxC and CmxL; LxCm (also referred as C/CmxL and LxC/Cm). In contrast to vegetative aerial tissues, new ONSEN flanking sites did not contain significantly increased CGme and CHHme on maternal and paternal alleles in the endosperm (Figure 3A, B). Interestingly however, when TEmob was the maternal parent (CmxL), flanking regions of new ONSEN insertions showed a strong enrichment of CHGme, which was not observed when TEmob was the paternal parent (LxCm) (Figure 3A, B). CHG methylation generally co-occurs with H3K9me2 and in PEGs both marks colocalize with H3K27me3 to jointly repress maternal alleles ((11), Supplementary Figure S7 and S8). To test if new ONSEN insertions recruit heterochromatic marks in the endosperm, we generated H3K9me2 and H3K27me3 CUT&Tag epigenome profiles from 4DAP INTACT purified endosperm of reciprocal crosses between Ler (L) with either TEmob (Cm) or WT (C): CxL; LxC and CmxL; LxCm. In agreement with the maternal inheritance of CHGme at flank-



**Figure 3.** Parent-specific epigenetic effects in 100 bp flanking regions of new *ONSEN* elements in TEmob. (**A**) Endosperm parental-specific DNA methylation in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**B**) Boxplot of the regions shown in the heat maps. Boxes show medians and the interquartile range (IQR), error bars show the full range excluding outliers. (**C**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K9me2 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K9me2 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) Heatmaps (left) and boxplots (right) of endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 in flanking regions of new *ONSEN* insertions in TEmob in comparison to WT. (**D**) the temperative set (the tempe

ing regions of new ONSEN insertions, we observed a significant enrichment of H3K9me2 when TEmob was the maternal parent in CmxL (Figure 3C, Supplementary Figure S9A). Though we did not detect CHGme at new ONSEN insertions when TEmob was paternally inherited, these regions were significantly enriched for H3K9me2, which was also reflected by increased H3K9me2 deposition on the entire targeted gene (Figure 3B and C, Supplementary Figure S10A). These observations suggest that H3K9me2 in the flanking regions of newly inserted ONSEN elements can be established independently of CHGme in the early endosperm. Maintenance of H3K9me2 depends on SUVH4/5/6, which are expressed in

the endosperm (62). H3K9me2 acts in a reinforcing loop with non-CGme (63). However, H3K9me2 deposition was shown to occur independently of DNAme by sRNA-dependent recruitment of SUVH9 in the Arabidopsis embryo (64). Furthermore, SUVH9 (AT4G13460) is associated with H3K9me2 deposition independently of non-CGme enrichment in the endosperm of  $3 \times$  seeds (62). We thus conclude that new ON-SEN elements recruit H3K9me2 to their flanking regions that can be inherited and maintained in the endosperm. These observations also suggest that H3K9me2 at new ONSEN elements in the paternal genome can be deposited in a DNAme independent way.

In contrast to the observed enrichment of H3K9me2 at ON-SEN flanking regions, we found that those regions were significantly depleted for H3K27me3 (Figure 3D, Supplementary Figure S9B), suggesting that ONSEN interrupts H3K27me3 deposition at the regions it inserts into. This decrease remained restricted to the flanking regions of new ONSEN insertions and did not impact H3K27me3 at the remaining parts of the genes (Supplementary Figure S10B). Depletion of H3K27me3 was restricted to the maternal genome, H3K27me3 levels were low at ONSEN insertion sites when paternally inherited and did not change before and after insertion (Figure 3D). These observations suggest that ONSEN insertion into H3K27me3 rich regions locally impairs H3K27me3 deposition, possibly due to recruitment and spreading of H3K9me2 (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure **S10**A).

### Global changes of parental gene expression in TEmob endosperm

To understand whether ONSEN mobilization caused global expression changes in the endosperm, we generated parentalspecific endosperm transcriptome profiles at 4DAP by reciprocally crossing TEmob Col (Cm) × Ler (L) accessions (Supplementary Tables S9 and S10). When TEmob was paternally inherited (LxCm), we observed a striking number of deregulated genes compared to WT (LxC), with 496 up- and 961 downregulated genes (FDR < 0.01, LFC  $\pm$  1.5) (Figure 4A, B and Supplementary Table S11 and S12). In contrast, in the reciprocal CmxL cross there were substantially fewer deregulated genes, with 74 up- and 239 downregulated genes compared to WT CxL (FDR  $\leq 0.01$ , LFC  $\pm 1.5$ ) (Figure 4A, B and Supplementary Tables S13 and S14). 35 Transcription Factors (TFs) were among the upregulated genes when TEmob was the paternal parent ( $\sim 7\%$  of the total upregulated genes), while only one TF was upregulated in the reciprocal CmxL cross ( $\sim 1\%$  of the total upregulated) (Figure 4C, Supplementary Tables S15, S16 and Supplementary Figure S11). These observations revealed a global parent-of-origin effect on gene expression in the TEmob endosperm, suggesting an epigenetic effect.

New ONSEN insertions can have effects on gene expression, for example, providing new gene regulation in cis through their heat-responsive elements (HREs). In TEmob, most of the new ONSEN inserted into exons (Figure 1C) or, in the case of VTE7, into an intron. Only AtONSEN\_mob14 and 27 inserted at flanking regions of GSTF3 and MDA1, respectively. Both insertions were downstream of the 3'UTR and did not cause changes in expression, as evidenced by our differential gene expression analysis in Cm-L endosperm (Supplementary Table S11 and S13). One of the new ON-SEN insertions occurred in an exon of HDG3, a transcription factor involved in endosperm cellularization (65). Consistent with HDG3 being predominantly paternally expressed and disrupted by ONSEN in TEmob, HDG3 was downregulated in LxCm endosperm (Supplementary Table S12). We compared the LxCm transcriptome with the published transcriptome of hdg3-1 endosperm (65) and found 14 commonly up- and 9 commonly downregulated genes (Supplementary Figure S12). While the overlap with the upregulated genes was significant, the small number of commonly deregulated genes (Supplementary Table S17) make it unlikely that the insertion in HDG3 accounts for the observed transcriptional changes in

TEmob. These observations further support that the parental effect observed in TEmob C-L endosperm gene expression is of epigenetic origin.

To further understand the induced changes in gene expression by paternal TEmob, we analyzed the upregulated TFs in LxCm. Interestingly, of the 35 TF-encoding genes upregulated in LxCm endosperm, three (*AGL45*, *AGL48*, *AGL95*) are Type I MADS-box M $\gamma$  genes, with potential roles in endosperm development (Supplementary Table S15) (66,67). We also observed that many of the upregulated TFs overlapped with TEs, particularly helitrons, resembling imprinted genes (Figure 4D). Of the upregulated TFs, 60% are imprinted genes with strong overrepresentation of MEGs (Figure 4E) (*P*-value < 0.001, Hypergeometric Test). We thus conclude that paternal inheritance of TEmob results in upregulation of TFs in the endosperm, with many of them being MEGs, indicating a parent-of-origin epigenetic effect.

# Paternal hypomethylation in TEmob impacts MEGs in LxCm

Parent-of-origin transcriptional effects in the TEmob endosperm suggested global epigenetic changes characterizing the TEmob endosperm. Combined with heat shock, efficient ONSEN mobilization requires transient hypomethylation by zebularine, an inhibitor of DNA methylation (68) and  $\alpha$ amanitin, an inhibitor of RNA polymerase II (17). We therefore speculated that upregulation of MEG TFs is a consequence of hypomethylated and thus activated paternal alleles. To determine whether chemical hypomethylation impacted DNA methylation in TEmob four generations after treatment, we identified differentially methylated regions (DMRs) from vegetative aerial tissues. We found 15055 hypomethylated and 5319 hypermethylated CG DMRs, revealing that the hypomethylated status was largely retained four generations after the chemically induced hypomethylation (Figure 5A and B). We identified only few non-CG DMRs in TEmob, yet there were about twice more CHG hypo- than hypermethylated regions (1395 compared to 717 DMRs, respectively). There were more hypermethylated CHH DMRs than hypomethylated CHH regions (1921 and 391, respectively), revealing that a subset of loci had undergone de novo CHH hypermethylation after treatment. Most of the CG DMRs overlapped with gene regions, 80% of DMRs were present in gene bodies and 10% in promoters, contrary to non-CG DMRs that were mostly localized in TEs (Figure 5C). We therefore conclude that TEmob maintains hypomethylation mainly in the CG context at least four generations after zebularine and  $\alpha$ amanitin treatment.

To test how hypomethylation impacted TEmob endosperm, we identified parental-specific DMRs in the endosperm. Our analysis revealed that the TEmob genome transmitted hypoand hypermethylated regions both as the maternal and paternal parent (Figure 5D), with most of the genes with endosperm DMRs overlapping DMRs found in leaves (Figure 5E). Out of a total of 4411 maternal hypomethylated DMRs in CmxL endosperm, 84% were CG DMRs, while the 2627 hypermethylated DMRs, were distributed over all sequence contexts (Supplementary Figure S13A). Similarly, in LxCm endosperm, 85% out of 2814 DMRs detected in the TEmob paternal genome were CG hypo DMRs, and 65% of those overlapped with maternal CG hypomethylated DMRs in CmxL endosperm. The 1008 hyper DMRs were distributed among



**Figure 4.** TEmob endosperm shows a parent-of-origin effect on differential gene expression in the endosperm. (**A**) Volcano plots showing differential gene expression of TEmob vs WT endosperm in reciprocal crosses with Ler (FDR  $\leq$  0.01, LFC  $\pm$  1.5). TFs, transcription factors. (**B**) Number of up- and downregulated genes in the indicated crosses. (**C**) Percentage of the deregulated genes annotated as Transcription Factors (TFs). (**D**) Percentage of transposable elements overlapping gene groups: All, All genes in TAIR genome; En, Genes expressed in Endosperm; cP, core PEGs and cM core MEGs are reported in at least two independent datasets; TFup: TFs upregulated in LxCm. Significance was tested using a Fisher test by comparing all groups to En (En was compared to All), \*\**P*-value < 0.01; \**P*-value < 0.05. (**E**) Percentage of imprinted TFs deregulated in LxCm. Not Imp., not imprinted genes; Hypergeometric test, \*\**P*-value < 0.01; \**P*-value < 0.05. C, Col-0 WT; Cm, Col-0 TEmob; L, Ler.



**Figure 5.** TEmob is highly hypo-methylated. **(A)** Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in vegetative aerial tissues in TEmob. **(B)** Heat-maps of hypo-methylated DMRs in all sequence contexts. **(C)** Annotation of TEmob DMRs in leaves. **(D)** TEmob DMRs detected in the endosperm by comparing reciprocal crosses of Col-Ler (CxL) to Col TEmob-Ler (CmxL). **(E)** Comparison of genes overlapping with TEmob DMRs detected in leaves and endosperm. C, Col-0 WT; Cm, Col-0 TEmob; L, Ler. Parental-specific DMR comparisons are displayed in underscore.

CG, CHG and CHH contexts. Like in sporophytic tissues, parental-specific CG DMRs overlapped gene regions, whereas CHG and CHH DMRs were localized to TEs (Supplementary Figure S13B).

DNA hypomethylation could potentially lead to the activation of paternal alleles of MEGs as previously reported for *met1* (61,69). Indeed, we observed a decreasing number of MEGs when TEmob was the paternal parent (Figure 6A). From the 437 reciprocal MEGs present in WT (36), 32.7% retained MEG expression only in the CmxL cross, and were biallelically expressed when TEmob was the paternal parent in LxCm endosperm (we will refer to those genes as Lost MEGs; LMEGs) (Figure 6B, C). Importantly, only 13% remained reciprocal MEGs in TEmob (we will refer to those genes as Strong MEGs; SMEGs) (Figure 6B, C). The remaining MEGs were reciprocally biallelic or showed a biased expression dependent on the accession. A stricter group of MEGs (MEGs found in at least two independent studies, referred to as 'core' MEGs, cMEGs) confirmed these observations (Figure 6B). We concluded that most MEGs became biallelic when TEmob was the paternal parent. To test if paternal hypomethylation explained the reduced number of MEGs, we analyzed parentalspecific DNAme in LMEGs. We however did not identify significant changes of DNA methylation in LMEGs in TEmob (Supplementary Figure S14A), indicating that paternal allele activation of MEGs did not globally connect with hypomethy-



**Figure 6.** Breakdown of MEG imprinting in TEmob. **(A)** Percentage of MEGs present in WT in comparison to TEmob. **(B)** MEGs switch in TEmob. 437 MEGs in WT C-L dataset. **(C)** Scatter plot depicting parent-of-origin expression in TEmob comparing Lost MEGs (LMEGs) to Strong MEGs (SMEGs). **(D)** Boxplot showing CG methylation in upstream regions of LMEGs and SMEGs in LxC endosperm. **(E)** Percentage of transposable elements overlapping LMEGs and SMEGs. Fisher test comparing LMEGs vs SMEGs, \*\**P*-value < 0.01. **(F)** Boxplots showing endosperm parental-specific H3K27me3 accumulation at LMEGs and SMEGs in WT endosperm. **(G)** Parental-specific H3K27me3 occupancy at the transcription start site region (TSS) in LMEGs in LxC TEmob (Cm) endosperm in comparison to WT (C). **(H)** Motif occurrence of upregulated transcription factors in LxCm endosperm in LMEGs and all genes annotated in TAIR10 (FIMO scan *P*-value = 0.01). Fisher test, \*\**P*-value < 0.01; \**P*-value < 0.05. (D,F and G) Smooth color boxes refer to crosses with WT, gridded pattern refers to crosses with TEmob. C/CmxL and LxC/Cm indicate crosses with either C or Cm. Boxes show medians and the interquartile range (IQR), error bars show the full range excluding outliers. Wilcoxon test, \*\**P*-value < 0.01; \**P*-value < 0.05; ns, not significant. All MEGs, all MEGs in Col-Ler dataset; cMEGs, core MEGs defined as those reported in at least two independent datasets; SMEGs, strong MEGs, MEGs did not change imprinting in TEmob paternal LxCm; LMEGs, Lost MEGs in TEmob paternal LxCm; Not detec., genes with no parental-specific data; Not Imp., not imprinted genes defined as genes not meeting criteria to be called as MEGs or PEGs or showing accession biased expression.

lation. In contrast to LMEGs, SMEGs showed a robust enrichment of CGme at the paternal alleles' 5' flanking regions in both WT and TEmob, suggesting a strong silencing of the paternal allele of these group of MEGs by CGme in the promoter regions (Figure 6D, Supplementary Figure S14B). Though paternal *met1* was shown to activate paternal MEG alleles, its effect is restricted to a handful of genes (61,69). We tested if previously reported MEGs affected by *met1* were also affected in TEmob. Indeed 8 of the 16 MEGs losing imprinting upon *met1* pollination, were also affected in paternal TEmob endosperm (Supplementary Table S18). We therefore concluded that a limited number of LMEGs can be explained by hypomethylation in LxCm TEmob endosperm, while most MEGs lose their imprinted status because of methylationindependent changes.

# Ectopic expression of TFs potentially impairs MEG imprinting in TEmob

The paternal alleles of LMEGs were not marked by CGme, in contrast to the strongly methylated paternal alleles of SMEGs (Figure 6D). In addition, SMEGs showed a stronger association with TEs in their flanking regions than LMEGs, particularly with helitrons and LTR/Copia elements, suggesting a differential epigenetic regulation (Figure 6E). We thus tested whether paternal allele silencing of LMEGs may be mediated by repressive H3K27me3. We found that indeed LMEGs, in contrast to SMEGs, had significantly higher levels of H3K27me3 on the paternal compared to the maternal alleles, possibly accounting for paternal allele repression (Figure 6F). In LxCm TEmob there was a significant reduction of H3K27me3 particularly at regions close to the transcription start site (TSS) on the paternal alleles of LMEGs, which was not observed for SMEGs (Figure 6G, Supplementary Figure S14C). Based on these findings we speculated that increased TF activity (Figure 4C) may cause activation of the silenced paternal LMEG alleles and depletion of H3K27me3.

We identified 35 TFs to be upregulated in LxCm endosperm (Figure 4C). We thus wondered whether increased expression of TFs may explain the activation of silenced paternal MEG alleles. To test this idea, we identified binding motifs of the upregulated TFs and quantified their occurrence in the group of LMEGs (Supplementary Table S19). The predicted binding motifs were indeed present in LMEGs and several motifs were present in higher proportion than expected, including motifs for AGLs, HOMEODOMAIN GLABRA (HDG) and HOMEOBOX (HB) TF families as well as for TCX6 (Figure 6H). These results suggest that ectopic expression of TFs may contribute to the activation of the paternal allele of MEGs in the LxCm endosperm.

### Discussion

Genomic imprinting has long been associated with the presence of TEs in the vicinity of genes; however, whether new TE insertions cause an instant effect on genomic imprinting has not been experimentally tested so far. As a proof of concept, we mobilized ONSEN, a heat shock responsive COPIA element and tested the consequences on genomic imprinting. We generated a line containing 40 new full-length homozygous ONSEN copies distributed across the five Arabidopsis chromosomes. The majority of the insertions were located in exons, confirming the insertion preference of ONSEN for coding genes (17). We further revealed a strong ONSEN insertion preference for imprinted genes, particularly MEGs. Less than 20% of the targeted MEGs contained a TE in the vicinity prior ONSEN insertion (Supplementary Table S4 and S5), revealing a weak association of MEGs and TEs. While the literature generally infers a strong association of TEs with imprinted genes (65,70,71), not all genes overlapping with TEs are imprinted, neither do all imprinted genes colocalize with a TE (14). Our observations indicate that the association of imprinted genes with TEs could also arise by the preferential insertion of TEs into imprinted genes, thus genomic imprinting may precede TE insertion. Determining the insertion preferences of TEs other than ONSEN will be important to test this hypothesis. Our observations show that other families of LTR retrotransposons and the DNA transposon family ATENSPM3 also preferentially insert into MEGs. In the case of ONSEN and ATENSPM3, the H2A.Z histone variant and H3K27me3 establish the chromatin landscape for preferential integration (18,25). We found that MEGs are enriched for both, H2A.Z histone variant and H3K27me3, consistent with the preferential insertion of new ONSEN and ATEN-SPM3 elements into MEGs. Furthermore, since DNA methylation and H2A.Z are mutually exclusive chromatin features (72), chemically-induced hypomethylation is possibly causing H2A.Z to become particularly enriched at a subset of MEGs with high levels of CG methylation, making them preferential insertion targets.

Similar to previous observations for the remobilized At-COPIA93 element Evadé (EVD) (73), we found that new ONSEN insertions in TEmob were silenced in vegetative aerial tissues, as evidenced by DNA methylation enrichment in all sequence contexts that extended into flanking regions. In the endosperm, new ONSEN elements were marked by H3K9me2 on both parental alleles, which co-occurred with CHGme on the maternal alleles. In contrast, there was only little CHHme on newly inserted ONSEN elements, consistent with low activity of the RdDM pathway in the early endosperm (11,74). These data suggest that silencing of newly inserted ONSEN elements in the endosperm is mediated by H3K9me2 and partially independent of DNA methylation. Similarly, H3K9me2 deposition independent of DNA methylation was previously shown to occur in the Arabidopsis embryo, mediated by the histone methyltransferase SUVH9, whose activity is proposed to depend on small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) (64). SUVH9 is a PEG (14) and recruits H3K9me2 in the endosperm (62); whether it has a role in silencing ONSEN in the endosperm remains to be shown.

We noticed a strong parent-of-origin effect on gene expression when TEmob was used as paternal parent (LxCm), with more than 32.7% of MEGs becoming biallelically expressed. We found that chemical TE mobilization caused and retained hypomethylation in TEmob four generations after treatment, extending previous findings showing stable inheritance of hypomethylated regions for two generations (75). We therefore hypothesized that the activation of paternal MEG alleles in LxCm is a consequence of paternal allele hypomethylation, explaining the parent-of-origin effect on gene deregulation. However, DNA methylation analysis failed to globally connect hypomethylation with the loss of MEG imprinting. Using TEmob as paternal parent we noticed a strong upregulation of TFs (Figure 4C). Interestingly, we found that biallelically expressed MEGs (LMEGs) contained binding motifs for the upregulated TFs (Figure 6H, Supplementary Table S19).

While MEGs that remained imprinted were highly enriched for CGme in the promoter region of paternal alleles, the paternal alleles of LMEGs were not marked by CGme. Instead, they had significantly higher levels of H3K27me3 on paternal compared to the maternal alleles, which were significantly reduced at their TSS region in TEmob. These observations suggest that ectopic expression of TFs caused activation of the silenced paternal LMEG alleles by depletion of H3K27me3. In crosses using *met1* as paternal parent (61,69), only few (16) MEGs lose their imprinting status (Supplementary Table S18). One possible explanation for the large number of MEGs affected in TEmob is that loss of MET1 function mainly affects CG methylation, while zebularine impairs all DNA methylation pathways, with predictably larger effects (76). Together, we conclude that the observed global breakdown of MEG imprinting by paternal TEmob is largely attributed to upregulated TFs that activate the silenced paternal alleles of MEGs.

## **Data availability**

Original data files for WGS, WSBS, RNAseq and CUT&Tag sequencing can be obtained from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE260822). The assembled TEmob genome sequence can be obtained from the ENA (PRJEB73629).

## Supplementary data

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

## Acknowledgements

Author contributions: C.K. and G.D.T.D.L. conceptualized the manuscript; J.B. generated ONSEN mobilization lines. W.B.J. and K.S. assembled the TEmob genome; H.P. supported TE annotation; G.D.T.D.L. performed the experiments; G.D.T.D.L., C.K. and J.S. analyzed the data; G.D.T.D.L. and C.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and commented on the manuscript.

# Funding

Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation [2018-0206 to C.K.]; Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation [2019-0062 to C.K.]; Max Planck Society, Germany. Funding for open access charge: Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation; Max Planck Society, Germany.

## **Conflict of interest statement**

None declared.

## References

- 1. Mcclintock,B. (1956) Controlling elements and the gene. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol., 21, 197–216.
- Bourque,G., Burns,K.H., Gehring,M., Gorbunova,V., Seluanov,A., Hammell,M., Imbeault,M., Izsvák,Z., Levin,H.L., Macfarlan,T.S., *et al.* (2018) Ten things you should know about transposable elements. *Genome Biol.*, **19**, 199.
- 3. Liu,P., Cuerda-Gil,D., Shahid,S. and Slotkin,R.K. (2022) The epigenetic control of the transposable element life cycle in plant genomes and beyond. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, **56**, 63–87.

- 4. Choi, J.Y. and Lee, Y.C.G. (2020) Double-edged sword: the evolutionary consequences of the epigenetic silencing of transposable elements. *PLoS Genet.*, **16**, e1008872.
- 5. Batista,R.A. and Köhler,C. (2020) Genomic imprinting in plants-revisiting existing models. *Genes Dev.*, 34, 24–36.
- Dresselhaus, T., Sprunck, S. and Wessel, G.M. (2016) Fertilization mechanisms in flowering plants. *Curr. Biol.*, 26, R125–R139.
- 7. Gehring, M., Bubb, K.L. and Henikoff, S. (2009) Extensive demethylation of repetitive elements during seed development underlies gene imprinting. *Science*, **324**, 1447–1451.
- Choi, Y., Gehring, M., Johnson, L., Hannon, M., Harada, J.J., Goldberg, R.B., Jacobsen, S.E. and Fischer, R.L. (2002) DEMETER, a DNA glycosylase domain protein, is required for endosperm gene imprinting and seed viability in Arabidopsis. *Cell*, 110, 33–42.
- Zhang,M., Xie,S., Dong,X., Zhao,X., Zeng,B., Chen,J., Li,H., Yang,W., Zhao,H., Wang,G., *et al.* (2014) Genome-wide high resolution parental-specific DNA and histone methylation maps uncover patterns of imprinting regulation in maize. *Genome Res.*, 24, 167–176.
- Weinhofer,I., Hehenberger,E., Roszak,P., Hennig,L. and Köhler,C. (2010) H3K27me3 profiling of the endosperm implies exclusion of polycomb group protein targeting by DNA methylation. *PLoS Genet.*, 6, e1001152.
- Moreno-Romero, J., Jiang, H., Santos-Gonzalez, J., Kohler, C., Santos-González, J., Köhler, C., Akiyama, T., Suzuki, O., Matsuda, J., Aoki, F., *et al.* (2016) Parental epigenetic asymmetry of PRC2-mediated histone modifications in the Arabidopsis endosperm. *EMBO J.*, 35, 1298–1311.
- Feil,R. and Berger,F. (2007) Convergent evolution of genomic imprinting in plants and mammals. *Trends Genet.*, 23, 192–199.
- 13. Gehring, M. and Satyaki, P.R. (2017) Endosperm and imprinting, inextricably linked. *Plant Physiol.*, 173, 143–154.
- 14. Pignatta,D., Erdmann,R.M., Scheer,E., Picard,C.L., Bell,G.W. and Gehring,M. (2014) Natural epigenetic polymorphisms lead to intraspecific variation in Arabidopsis gene imprinting. *eLife*, 3, e03198.
- Suzuki,S., Ono,R., Narita,T., Pask,A.J., Shaw,G., Wang,C., Kohda,T., Alsop,A.E., Marshall Graves,J.A., Kohara,Y., *et al.* (2007) Retrotransposon silencing by DNA methylation can drive mammalian genomic imprinting. *PLoS Genet.*, 3, e55.
- Renfree, M.B., Suzuki, S. and Kaneko-Ishino, T. (2013) The origin and evolution of genomic imprinting and viviparity in mammals. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*, 368, 20120151.
- 17. Thieme,M., Lanciano,S., Balzergue,S., Daccord,N., Mirouze,M. and Bucher,E. (2017) Inhibition of RNA polymerase II allows controlled mobilisation of retrotransposons for plant breeding. *Genome Biol.*, 18, 134.
- Roquis, D., Robertson, M., Yu, L., Thieme, M., Julkowska, M. and Bucher, E. (2021) Genomic impact of stress-induced transposable element mobility in Arabidopsis. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 49, 10431–10447.
- 19. Pecinka, A., Dinh, H.O., Baubec, T., Rosa, M., Lettner, N. and Mittelsten Scheid, O. (2010) Epigenetic regulation of repetitive elements is attenuated by prolonged heat stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 22, 3118–3129.
- 20. Ito,H., Gaubert,H., Bucher,E., Mirouze,M., Vaillant,I. and Paszkowski,J. (2011) An siRNA pathway prevents transgenerational retrotransposition in plants subjected to stress. *Nature*, 472, 115–120.
- Tittel-Elmer, M., Bucher, E., Broger, L., Mathieu, O., Paszkowski, J. and Vaillant, I (2010) Stress-induced activation of heterochromatic transcription. *PLoS Genet.*, 6, e1001175.
- 22. Lisch,D. (2013) How important are transposons for plant evolution? *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 14, 49–61.
- Klein,S.P. and Anderson,S.N. (2022) The evolution and function of transposons in epigenetic regulation in response to the environment. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 69, 102277.

- 24. Hirsch,C.D. and Springer,N.M. (2017) Transposable element influences on gene expression in plants. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gene Regul. Mech.*, 1860, 157–165.
- Quadrana,L., Etcheverry,M., Gilly,A., Caillieux,E., Madoui,M.-A., Guy,J., Bortolini Silveira,A., Engelen,S., Baillet,V., Wincker,P., *et al.* (2019) Transposition favors the generation of large effect mutations that may facilitate rapid adaption. *Nat. Commun.*, 10, 3421.
- 26. von Malek,B., van der Graaff,E., Schneitz,K. and Keller,B. (2002) The Arabidopsis male-sterile mutant dde2-2 is defective in the ALLENE OXIDE SYNTHASE gene encoding one of the key enzymes of the jasmonic acid biosynthesis pathway. *Planta*, **216**, 187–192.
- Goto,K. and Meyerowitz,E.M. (1994) Function and regulation of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene PISTILLATA. *Genes Dev.*, 8, 1548–1560.
- 28. Ravi,M. and Chan,S.W.L. (2010) Haploid plants produced by centromere-mediated genome elimination. *Nature*, 464, 615–618.
- Doyle, J.J. and Doyle, J.L. (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.*, 19, 11–15.
- Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y. and Pevzner, P.A. (2019) Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 37, 540–546.
- Li,H. and Durbin,R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 1754–1760.
- 32. Jiao,W.-B. and Schneeberger,K. (2020) Chromosome-level assemblies of multiple Arabidopsis genomes reveal hotspots of rearrangements with altered evolutionary dynamics. *Nat. Commun.*, 11, 989.
- 33. Mohamed,M., Sabot,F., Varoqui,M., Mugat,B., Audouin,K., Pélisson,A., Fiston-Lavier,A.-S. and Chambeyron,S. (2023) TrEMOLO: accurate transposable element allele frequency estimation using long-read sequencing data combining assembly and mapping-based approaches. *Genome Biol.*, 24, 63.
- Quinlan,A.R. and Hall,I.M. (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 841–842.
- 35. Baduel,P., Leduque,B., Ignace,A., Gy,I., Gil,J. Jr, Loudet,O., Colot,V. and Quadrana,L. (2021) Genetic and environmental modulation of transposition shapes the evolutionary potential of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genome Biol.*, 22, 138.
- Del Toro-De León,G. and Köhler,C. (2019) Endosperm-specific transcriptome analysis by applying the INTACT system. *Plant Reprod.*, 32, 55–61.
- Picard,C.L., Povilus,R.A., Williams,B.P. and Gehring,M. (2021) Transcriptional and imprinting complexity in Arabidopsis seeds at single-nucleus resolution. *Nat. Plants*, 7, 730–738.
- Potok, M.E., Wang, Y., Xu, L., Zhong, Z., Liu, W., Feng, S., Naranbaatar, B., Rayatpisheh, S., Wang, Z., Wohlschlegel, J.A., et al. (2019) Arabidopsis SWR1-associated protein methyl-CpG-binding domain 9 is required for histone H2A.Z deposition. Nat. Commun., 10, 3352.
- 39. Wang,Z., Butel,N., Santos-González,J., Simon,L., Wärdig,C. and Köhler,C. (2021) Transgenerational effect of mutants in the RNA-directed DNA methylation pathway on the triploid block in Arabidopsis. *Genome Biol.*, 22, 141.
- 40. Krueger, F. and Andrews, S.R. (2011) Bismark: A flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq applications. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 1571–1572.
- Moreno-Romero, J., Santos-González, J., Hennig, L. and Köhler, C. (2017) Applying the INTACT method to purify endosperm nuclei and to generate parental-specific epigenome profiles. *Nat. Protoc.*, 12, 238–254.
- 42. Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. and Salzberg, S.L. (2009) TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 1105–1111.
- 43. Feng, J., Meyer, C.A., Wang, Q., Liu, J.S., Shirley Liu, X. and Zhang, Y. (2012) GFOLD: A generalized fold change for ranking

differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 2782–2788.

- 44. Love, M.I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.*, **15**, 550.
- 45. Moreno-Romero, J., Del Toro-De León, G., Yadav, V.K., Santos-González, J. and Köhler, C. (2019) Epigenetic signatures associated with imprinted paternally expressed genes in the Arabidopsis endosperm. *Genome Biol.*, 20, 41.
- 46. Kaya-Okur,H.S., Wu,S.J., Codomo,C.A., Pledger,E.S., Bryson,T.D., Henikoff,J.G., Ahmad,K. and Henikoff,S. (2019) CUT&Tag for efficient epigenomic profiling of small samples and single cells. *Nat. Commun.*, 10, 1930.
- 47. Henikoff,S., Henikoff,J.G. and Ahmad,K. (2021) Simplified epigenome profiling using antibody-tethered tagmentation. *Bio Protoc*, **11**, e4043.
- 48. Liu,S., Aldinger,K.A., Cheng,C.V., Kiyama,T., Dave,M., McNamara,H.K., Zhao,W., Stafford,J.M., Descostes,N., Lee,P., *et al.* (2021) NRF1 association with AUTS2-Polycomb mediates specific gene activation in the brain. *Mol. Cell*, 81, 4663–4676.
- 49. Langmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*, 9, 357–359.
- 50. Danecek, P., Bonfield, J.K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M.O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S.A., Davies, R.M., *et al.* (2021) Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience*, **10**, giab008.
- 51. Ramírez, F., Dündar, F., Diehl, S., Grüning, B.A. and Manke, T. (2014) deepTools: a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 42, W187–W191.
- 52. Cheadle,C., Vawter,M.P., Freed,W.J. and Becker,K.G. (2003) Analysis of microarray data using Z score transformation. J. Mol. Diagn., 5, 73–81.
- 53. Yilmaz,A., Mejia-Guerra,M.K., Kurz,K., Liang,X., Welch,L. and Grotewold,E. (2011) AGRIS: the Arabidopsis Gene Regulatory Information Server, an update. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 39, D1118–D1122.
- 54. Jin, J., Tian, F., Yang, D.-C., Meng, Y.-Q., Kong, L., Luo, J. and Gao, G. (2017) PlantTFDB 4.0: toward a central hub for transcription factors and regulatory interactions in plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 45, D1040–D1045.
- 55. Grant, C.E., Bailey, T.L. and Noble, W.S. (2011) FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 1017–1018.
- 56. Kirov, I., Merkulov, P., Dudnikov, M., Polkhovskaya, E., Komakhin, R.A., Konstantinov, Z., Gvaramiya, S., Ermolaev, A., Kudryavtseva, N., Gilyok, M., *et al.* (2021) Transposons hidden in *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome assembly gaps and mobilization of non-autonomous LTR retrotransposons unravelled by nanotei pipeline. *Plants*, 10, 2681.
- 57. Cavrak, V.V., Lettner, N., Jamge, S., Kosarewicz, A., Bayer, L.M. and Mittelsten Scheid, O. (2014) How a retrotransposon exploits the plant's heat stress response for its activation. *PLoS Genet.*, **10**, e1004115.
- Wolff, P., Weinhofer, I., Seguin, J., Roszak, P., Beisel, C., Donoghue, M.T.A., Spillane, C., Nordborg, M., Rehmsmeier, M. and Köhler, C. (2011) High-Resolution analysis of parent-of-origin allelic expression in the Arabidopsis endosperm. *PLoS Genet.*, 7, e1002126.
- 59. Batista,R.A., Moreno-Romero,J., Qiu,Y., van Boven,J., Santos-González,J., Figueiredo,D.D. and Köhler,C. (2019) The mads-box transcription factor pheres1 controls imprinting in the endosperm by binding to domesticated transposons. *eLife*, 8, e50541.
- 60. Gehring, M., Missirian, V. and Henikoff, S. (2011) Genomic analysis of parent-of-origin allelic expression in Arabidopsis thaliana seeds. *PLoS One*, 6, e23687.
- Hsieh,T.-F.F., Shin,J., Uzawa,R., Silva,P., Cohen,S., Bauer,M.J., Hashimoto,M., Kirkbride,R.C., Harada,J.J., Zilberman,D., *et al.* (2011) Regulation of imprinted gene expression in Arabidopsis endosperm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 108, 1755–1762.

- 62. Jiang,H., Moreno-romero,J., Santos-gonzález,J., Jaeger,G.D., Gevaert,K., Slijke,E.V.D. and Köhler,C. (2017) Ectopic application of the repressive histone modification H3K9me2 establishes post-zygotic reproductive isolation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genes Dev.*, 12, 1272–1287.
- 63. Du,J., Johnson,L.M., Jacobsen,S.E. and Patel,D.J. (2015) DNA methylation pathways and their crosstalk with histone methylation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 16, 519–532.
- 64. Parent, J.-S., Cahn, J., Herridge, R.P., Grimanelli, D. and Martienssen, R.A. (2021) Small RNAs guide histone methylation in *Arabidopsis* embryos. *Genes Dev.*, 35, 841–846.
- 65. Pignatta,D., Novitzky,K., Satyaki,P.R.V. and Gehring,M. (2018) A variably imprinted epiallele impacts seed development. *PLoS Genet.*, **14**, e1007469.
- 66. Qiu,Y. and Köhler,C. (2022) Endosperm evolution by duplicated and neofunctionalized type I MADS-box transcription factors. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **39**, msab355.
- 67. Bemer, M., Heijmans, K., Airoldi, C., Davies, B. and Angenent, G.C. (2010) An atlas of type I MADS box gene expression during female gametophyte and seed development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*, **154**, 287–300.
- Baubec,T., Pecinka,A., Rozhon,W. and Mittelsten Scheid,O. (2009) Effective, homogeneous and transient interference with cytosine methylation in plant genomic DNA by zebularine. *Plant J.*, 57, 542–554.
- Hornslien,K.S., Miller,J.R. and Grini,P.E. (2019) Regulation of parent-of-origin allelic expression in the endosperm. *Plant Physiol.*, 180, 1498–1519.

- Li,T., Yin,L., Stoll,C.E., Lisch,D. and Zhao,M. (2023) Conserved noncoding sequences and de novo Mutator insertion alleles are imprinted in maize. *Plant Physiol.*, **191**, 299–316.
- Villar, C.B.R., Erilova, A., Makarevich, G., Trösch, R. and Köhler, C. (2009) Control of PHERES1 imprinting in Arabidopsis by direct tandem repeats. *Mol. Plant*, 2, 654–660.
- Zilberman, D., Coleman-Derr, D., Ballinger, T. and Henikoff, S. (2008) Histone H2A.Z and DNA methylation are mutually antagonistic chromatin marks. *Nature*, 456, 125–129.
- Marí-Ordóñez, A., Marchais, A., Etcheverry, M., Martin, A., Colot, V. and Voinnet, O. (2013) Reconstructing de novo silencing of an active plant retrotransposon. *Nat. Genet.*, 45, 1029–1039.
- 74. Borges, F., Donoghue, M.T.A., LeBlanc, C., Wear, E.E., Tanurdžić, M., Berube, B., Brooks, A., Thompson, W.F., Hanley-Bowdoin, L. and Martienssen, R.A. (2021) Loss of small-RNA-directed DNA methylation in the plant cell cycle promotes germline reprogramming and somaclonal variation. *Curr. Biol.*, 31, 591–600.
- Huc, J., Dziasek, K., Pachamuthu, K., Woh, T., Köhler, C. and Borges, F. (2022) Bypassing reproductive barriers in hybrid seeds using chemically induced epimutagenesis. *Plant Cell*, 34, 989–1001.
- 76. Griffin,P.T., Niederhuth,C.E. and Schmitz,R.J. (2016) A comparative analysis of 5-azacytidine- and zebularine-induced DNA demethylation. G3, 6, 2773–2780.

Received: March 13, 2024. Revised: June 13, 2024. Editorial Decision: June 14, 2024. Accepted: June 26, 2024 © The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.