

Review

# Future Food: The Possible Impact of Potato Biofortification on Climate Resilience and Space Food

Saeed Rauf <sup>1</sup>, Farghama Khalil <sup>1</sup> and Rodomiro Ortiz <sup>2,\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Sargodha 40100, Pakistan; editor.jaf@uos.edu.pk (S.R.); farghama.khalil21@gmail.com (F.K.)

<sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Breeding, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE 2 3053 Alnarp, Sweden

\* Correspondence: rodomiro.ortiz@slu.se

## Abstract

This review examines the potential impact of potato biofortification on boosting climate resilience and enhancing the nutritional content of potato tubers to combat hidden hunger. It also explores future possibilities for biofortified potatoes as a food source during space travel or colonization. Widespread mineral deficiencies are prevalent globally, particularly in developing countries. Additionally, climate change could adversely affect potato production and soil nutrient absorption. In this context, developing breeding methods to develop cultivars that respond better to biofortification amid climate change is essential. These cultivars may be physiologically efficient at absorbing and transporting minerals into tubers. The review covers various approaches, including identifying germplasm accessions with enhanced micronutrient storage, understanding mechanisms of micronutrient uptake and translocation, and pinpointing genes related to micronutrient, oligopeptide transport, and ligands. It also discusses in vitro selection and screening of calli with improved capacity for micronutrient absorption and transport.

**Keywords:** germplasm; iron; metal transporter; somaclonal variation; translocation; zinc

## 1. Introduction

Potatoes are the fourth most important food crop in the world, after maize, wheat and rice, in terms of production. Global production of potatoes was 391 million tons in 2024 [1]. It supplies essential nutrients, including water, carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, proteins, and fats. The potato is a staple food in almost every part of the world and is relished by people of all ages in daily meals, snacks, and soups [2]. Moreover, it is also a crucial raw material for the industry to produce starch due to its high carbohydrate content [3]. The processed potato “milk” has been considered a cheap substitute for cow milk [4]. It has protein content (2.2%), total sugar (1%), calcium content (13 mg/100 g), magnesium content (25 mg/100 g), zinc content (0.26 mg/100 g), sodium content (0.58 mg/100 g), vitamin C content (28 mg/100 g), iron content (0.82 mg/100 g), phosphorous content (84 mg/100 mL) making it good alternative to cow milk [4].

The crop is consumed by large masses of the world’s low-income population, who require substantial daily energy to sustain their lives. However, they often encounter significant deficiencies of vital minerals such as iron, zinc, vitamins, and iodine [5]. It is grown on an area of 16.8 million hectares. China is the largest grower and crop producer, accounting for approximately 93.4 million metric tons, equivalent to about 24% of world production [6]. The per capita consumption of potatoes was the highest in Belarus, at



Academic Editor: Jaime Prohens

Received: 21 January 2026

Revised: 9 February 2026

Accepted: 12 February 2026

Published: 17 February 2026

**Copyright:** © 2026 by the authors.

Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY\) license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

around 125 kg, followed by Ukraine and Kazakhstan. Large masses consume it daily as a vegetable slurry, soup, and fried and boiled foods. Potatoes are served in various ways, including fried potatoes or chips, a favorite among children of all ages. The net worth of potato processed items (potato fries, starch) is approximately US \$41 billion, projected to reach around US \$60 billion by the end of 2025. It is also an essential part of local fried cuisines and other dishes consumed daily throughout the year. The consensus is that potatoes are the food of choice for low-income or impoverished people who rely on them for daily energy (390 kJ, 100<sup>-1</sup> g of baked potato) in every corner of the world [3].

Global climate change has triggered severe environmental challenges, including drought, supra-optimal temperatures (such as chilling stress in winter and high temperatures during summer crops), frost injury, UV radiation, salinity, low soil fertility, diseases, and the accumulation of toxic chemicals like heavy metals and pesticides in the soil [7]. These factors threaten sustainable agricultural production and may also negatively affect tender crops. These environmental and biological stresses can impact growth and tuber formation, reducing crop yield potential by decreasing tuber size, tubers per plant, and photosynthate translocation [8]. Winter potato crops are often damaged by chilling temperatures and frost, necessitating low tunnels—a measure that increases production costs. Additionally, the crop requires loose, textured soil rich in organic matter and fertility, and is highly sensitive to salinity and alkalinity. A multi-dimensional crop resilience index has been proposed to estimate the sustainability of a production system based on multiple angles, such as crop production inputs, technology, climate exposure, and response capacity of germplasm under the current scenario of climate change [9].

An environmentally resilient crop can be achieved through both conventional and advanced breeding methods. Improving physiological functions, such as developing stronger root systems that can access water and minerals from deeper soil layers and increasing the translocation efficiency of minerals and food reserves, can enhance stress resistance. These improvements can also facilitate the breeding of tubers with enhanced mineral content, resulting from increased mineral uptake from the soil and subsequent translocation into leaves and tubers. Based on these backgrounds, this review uniquely synthesizes the pathways for potato biofortification with its dual potential for enhancing climate resilience and serving as a space food.

## 2. Hidden Hunger

About 840 million people do not receive enough food to meet their daily energy needs [10]. At the same time, a large proportion of the world's population, exceeding 2 billion, suffers from micronutrient deficiencies despite having access to adequate food. The term “hidden hunger” is applied to this large proportion of the population, which generally resides in the developing world. It is an outcome when people rely on a few food items and lack variety, which can cause various diseases, including anemia, bone deformities, blindness, goiter, and reduced fertility [11]. These large masses of the people, especially the children and women, are deficient in vital minerals such as iron, iodine, calcium, and zinc, vitamins, causing life-threatening diseases like anemia, malfunctioning glands, and a significant effect on the reproductive health of women. It is estimated that 1 in 2 pre-school-aged children and 2 out of 3 women of reproductive age may suffer from any micronutrient deficiencies [12].


### *Statistics of Micronutrient Deficiencies*

It has been reported that 37.5% of women and 17.5% of men suffer from iron deficiency. This deficiency is most prevalent in Western sub-Saharan Africa (47.4%), followed by South Asia (35.7%) and Central sub-Saharan Africa (35.7%) (Figure 1A) [13]. An inadequate diet is


the primary reason for those experiencing micronutrient deficiencies. Approximately 3.5 billion people worldwide risk calcium deficiency due to poor dietary habits [14]. Calcium deficiency is associated with poor bone health and may also increase the risk of cancer, cardiovascular diseases, preeclampsia, and related complications [14]. These complications linked to calcium deficiency are major contributors to maternal morbidity and mortality. The prevalence of calcium deficiency is particularly high in Africa and Pakistan. Individuals with calcium deficiency may display symptoms of osteoporosis and uncontrolled blood pressure, which can lead to kidney and liver issues. The recommended daily calcium intake is approximately 1000–1300 mg, depending on the age group [15]. Zinc is the most important micronutrient, performing multiple functions in humans, and is vital for sustaining human life. It is the single most important micronutrient that performs more functions than any other micronutrient. It has catalytic, structural, and regulatory roles essential for gene expression and metabolism related to immunity and hormonal regulation. Its deficiency induces inhibition and stunting of growth in children and an increased risk of morbidity. The severe deficiency causes cognitive impairment, recurrent infections, and diarrhea [16,17]. It has been estimated that approximately 17% of the world's population is at risk of inadequate zinc intake. Among these populations, those in Asia and Africa are disproportionately affected, at 19% and 24%, respectively [18].

Iodine is another mineral considered deficient in approximately 35–40% of the world population. It is an essential component of thyroid hormones, thyroxine (T4) and triiodothyronine (T3), which play crucial roles in various physiological functions, including brain development, liver and kidney function, and metabolism, in children and adults [19]. Its deficiency causes goiter, affecting approximately 2.2 billion people worldwide. It is the leading cause of intellectual disability if the deficiency occurs during fetal development. Thus, it is essential for pregnant women and women of reproductive age. The intake of iodized salt has helped overcome iodine deficiency. However, 25% of the world population still encounters iodine deficiency. An adult human contains approximately 15–20 mg of iodine, most of which is accumulated in the thyroid gland. Adults require approximately 40 µg of iodine daily, which they obtain by consuming various foods. Animals, birds, eggs, seafood products such as shellfish, and dairy products like milk are rich in iodine. However, being an expensive commodity in both poor and developed countries, the availability of this essential mineral through food is limited. The plants are also a good source of iodine, but the iodine content in the plants depends on the presence of this salt in the soil.


Iron and Zinc deficiencies are widespread in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa due to a poor diet, where people heavily rely on starchy staples with limited animal-source foods (Figure 1). Micronutrient biofortification can be an important breeding objective for potato, as it is well integrated into local food systems and consumed in substantial quantities. Several procedures, such as genetic biofortification through conventional breeding or transgenic approaches, can help to achieve the breeding objective. Vitamin A or folate deficiencies have been localized in Andean highlands or parts of Eastern Europe, where potato consumption is high but diversified. Transgenic potato with high vitamin A or folate and complementary agronomic biofortification (e.g., fertilizer-based zinc enrichment) could help to lower the deficiencies.




(A)



(B)



(C)



(D)

**Figure 1.** (A) World anemia, (B) Zinc, (C) Iodine, and (D) Vitamin A deficiency map based on various categories of children, pregnant, and non-pregnant women. All maps were produced in the “R-program” using the library “tidyverse; Rnatural earth”, and function “ggplot2”. Data were obtained from the WHO Global database for anemia and Vitamin A deficiency, while data for iodine deficiency were obtained from the link [https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/39840/9070785056\\_eng.pdf](https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/39840/9070785056_eng.pdf), accessed on 24 June 2025. The data for zinc deficiency were obtained from Wessells and Brown [20].

### 3. Biofortification of Target Crops: Context and Evidence

There are more than 400 biofortified cultivars across 12 species, developed through crossbreeding and selection, molecular breeding, or genetic engineering. These crops tend to accumulate several micronutrients at higher concentrations than conventional cultivars, addressing various nutritional issues, including Vitamin A, Vitamin E, iron, and zinc levels. The commercialization program for biofortified crops, led by the Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) and CGIAR HarvestPlus, has released biofortified crops in six countries in Asia and Africa (Pakistan, India, Kenya, Tanzania, Nigeria, and Bangladesh) based on their malnutrition status [21]. Approximately 2 million people have incorporated biofortified products into their daily diets [21]. Orange-fleshed sweet potatoes, which can synthesize several times higher levels of Vitamin A, have been introduced to help overcome vitamin A deficiencies in Africa [22,23]. Similarly, Golden Rice, which contains high levels of  $\beta$ -carotene, has been developed through genetic engineering, and the Philippines is the first country to initiate the commercial cultivation of Golden Rice. High-iron beans have been designed to combat anemia, due to their high iron content, which aims to lower the incidence of iron deficiency in various parts of the world [24,25]. The biofortified pearl millet had a positive outcome in children in India. CIMMYT has been assisting in developing maize with higher vitamin A and iron content, which may help alleviate iron deficiencies in African countries such as Zambia and Nigeria. As progress is made in conventional plant breeding with improved selection tools, such as marker-assisted selection for predicting breeding value and resolving linkage drag, more precise information about the genetic basis of biofortification target traits will enable more crops to be designated as biofortified. Cultivars with improved novel micronutrients will be released.

#### 3.1. Potato Nutritive Value and Targeted Biofortification

Potatoes are a staple food of many nations, eaten by people of all ages, including children and women. As a non-grain crop, it is grown all year round. The micronutrient values may vary with environmental conditions and potato accessions. However, generally, the zinc (0.3 mg), iron (3.1 mg), folate (17  $\mu$ g), calcium (30 mg), potassium (411 mg), sodium (12 mg), Vitamin C (10.9 mg) may be present per 100 g of potato serving [26]. These values indicate that potatoes are a rich source of potassium, while also containing trace amounts of other essential nutrients for the normal physiological functions of the human body [26]. However, they are deficient in minerals (iron, zinc, and iodine) and vitamins like folate and  $\beta$ -carotene; thus, they are ideal for biofortification.

Biofortification is a powerful tool for improving deficient minerals and functional molecules in crop plants through breeding and biotechnology [26]. The bred cultivars may be more efficient at absorbing and accumulating minerals in their targeted tissues and thus could help manage input resources more effectively than conventional cultivars. Additionally, with looming crises of drought, salinity, and heat stress, breeding populations that are physiologically efficient and developed with an idea of biofortification could also help improve climate resilience [27].

There are several benefits of targeting the potato crop for biofortification. The crop is adaptable to a wide range of environmental conditions and can meet daily energy requirements in a short span, compared to other cereal crops [28]. Several characteristics of this crop, including its adaptability to different systems, early maturity, and tuber formation under continuous light, make it an excellent candidate for exploration in space programs [29]. Various space agencies have also considered this crop for inclusion as space food, attracting significant scientific interest in using it to combat malnutrition in humans both on Earth and beyond. The limited availability of food and difficulties in

producing highly nutritious crops during long space journeys could lead to diseases caused by malnutrition and increase the risk of hazardous cosmic radiation by lowering immunity and the lack of essential micronutrients needed as immunity boosters, which are important for activating DNA repair systems. Hence, the issue of hidden hunger or micronutrient deficiency could follow humanity beyond planet Earth and during the ongoing quest for space exploration.

Potato germplasm can also be selected to enhance the nutrient content of the tubers. However, the crop is polyploid with tetrasomic inheritance, which makes it difficult to breed using conventional methods. Additionally, the extent of genetic variation and heritability complicates selection through traditional breeding techniques. This review will examine progress in increasing micronutrients in potatoes, compare it with other field crops, and propose future strategies to address micronutrient deficiencies in potato crops.

### *3.2. Biofortification Beyond the Boundaries: Modeling the Potato Crop as Space Food*

Several items, including tomato, microgreens, lettuce, and potatoes, have been considered in various investigations as potential foods for astronauts during space journeys. The crops are selected based on the occupied space, lifecycle, and large harvestable fractions. The astronaut's nutrition must be rationalized based on the demineralization of bones, immune system dysfunction (in a microgravity environment), and carcinogenesis (due to the high magnitude of cosmic radiation). Specific space-related issues, such as demineralization and immune system dysfunction, may necessitate a highly nutritious diet, which can also help maintain optimal levels of micronutrients [30]. Biofortification has been proposed to enhance nutritional levels in various crops during long-term space missions, including tomatoes [31], lettuce [32], microgreens [33], and potatoes [34]. The microgreens (basil, coriander, tatsoi) have been considered for biofortification by applying potassium iodide [33]. The concept of "superplant", combining the characteristics of several medicinal plants into a single plant using CRISPR technology, has been proposed [35]. One problem with highly nutritious crops is their edibility, as astronauts come from diverse cultural backgrounds and may not be accustomed to many of them. On the other hand, potatoes have a high edible value among nations worldwide.

Potatoes are an ideal crop for space research and could serve as a food source for astronauts. The successful cultivation of this crop in various systems, such as hydroponics and aeroponics, makes it a strong candidate for studying its response in future space exploration programs [34]. Biofortified potatoes could offer several advantages over other crops, such as more calories and nutrient density, as they store a large amount of starch (about 80% dry mass), which may provide concentrated daily energy and nutrient diversity, such as Zn, Fe, and vitamin A in a small space. Moreover, they have high biomass underground, reducing the reliance on vertical space and minimizing stress from microgravity. Tubers have palatable versatility, such as baked, boiled, mashed, and incorporated into dishes. High biomass, such as leaves and stems, can be recycled as compost, balancing the supply of nutrients in the soil or media. A preliminary study in a potato hydroponic system revealed that hydroponically grown potatoes had 200% greater yield potential (in terms of both tuber mass and a higher number of large tubers) than field-grown potatoes. At the same time, fertigation cycles need to be optimized to prevent cracking and other issues in potatoes [34]. However, there was also a decrease in quality, including reduced dry matter availability. In addition to the higher yield, the growth cycle was shortened by 24%, measured in terms of growing degree days. A NASA space shuttle experiment showed that tubers were well-formed in space and on the ground. This may be attributed to effective control of light and temperature in a controlled environment. Nevertheless, the crop requires engineering before becoming an ideal source of space food. Several

breeding objectives must be addressed; for instance, shortening the growth cycle by increasing growth rate and tuber formation rate could enhance its production potential. Some cultivars, such as 'Norland', 'Denali', and 'Russet Burbank', have been identified as having the ability to form tubers under continuous light [36,37]. The varietal yield potential under controlled environment was about 19.7 kg FM m<sup>-2</sup>, equivalent to nearly 200 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, and harvest index 0.7 [36,37]. One significant impediment to space food is the rapid nutrient loss due to its short shelf life, and the rate of loss of nutrients, such as vitamins B and C, is higher than that of other nutrients. The vegetables maintain their quality for about 1–4 years as opposed to fruits (1.5 years) and meat products (2 years) [38].

Golden potatoes (GPs) have been enriched with  $\beta$ -carotene, which is a precursor of vitamin A. The shelf life of the GP was studied, which showed that potatoes enriched with  $\beta$ -carotene,  $\alpha$ -carotene ( $\alpha$ C), lutein, phytoene, and  $\alpha$ TC retained 80% of the nutrients after boiling, and a 150 g serving of potato fulfilled 17–42% of the retinal activity equivalent in various human population groups [39]. In vitro digestion and intestinal cell culture model (Caco-2 cells) have been used to evaluate the carotenoids and tocopherol bio-accessibility and cellular uptake, demonstrating enhanced micellization and absorption potential of provitamin A carotenoids and vitamin E. However, direct human intervention was lacking, and data relied on post-cooking trials and in vitro bioavailability data. Biofortification has been recommended to address nutrient loss. Moreover, astronauts may also experience rapid loss and deficiency of minerals from their bodies in a gravity-free environment, along with a limited variety of food available to scientists.

#### 4. Agronomic Treatments for Biofortification of Potato Crops

Micronutrient fertilization increased the micronutrient statuses of Fe<sup>2+</sup> by 70% and Zn by 27% for both the raw and processed forms of the potato [40]. The mild processing resulted in the loss of Fe<sup>2+</sup> (–29%) and Ca<sup>++</sup> (–17%) due to removal of skin, while storage for 12 days did not alter the micronutrient profile of the potatoes. Fertilization of soil with potassium iodide (KI) and foliar application of KIO<sub>3</sub> of 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> could improve the iodine availability in potato, and 100 g intake of the biofortified potato could supplement about 25% of the daily dietary recommendation of iodine [41]. The biofortification of KIO<sub>3</sub> (as an iodine positive control) and two iodoquinolines [8-hydroxy-7-iodo-5-quinolinic acid (8-OH-7-I-5QSA) and 5-chloro-7-iodo-8-quinoline (5-Cl-7-I-8-Q)] had tuber iodine contents of 1400, 694, and 503  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> of dry weight, respectively [42]. The 8-hydroxy-7-iodo-5-quinolinic acid source of iodine also decreased the toxic elemental uptake of chromium, lead, and nickel, indicating that the potato plant prioritizes the uptake of iodine over heavy metals. The polyphenolic concentration increased, while carotenoids were decreased due to the application of 8-hydroxy-7-iodo-5-quinolinic acid [42]. The foliar fertilizer, with a concentration of 3.6 g Zn plot<sup>-1</sup> (0.92  $\times$  2 m), has also been recommended to improve the Zinc content in potato tubers. Zinc sulphate and zinc oxide yielded better results than zinc nitrate, and lower concentrations were preferred over higher doses, which had an adverse effect on the potato yield [43]. The biofortification value due to foliar spray was 2.4–3.6 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> after cooking [43]. The calcium biofortification was achieved using CaCl<sub>2</sub> and Ca-EDTA at 12 and 24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Both applications resulted in a significant accumulation of Ca content in tubers for potato cultivars 'Picasso' and 'Agrida'. The percentage of increase ranged from 6 to 96% depending on potato cultivar and fertilizer dose [44].

The strategies for biofortification through fertilizers are complicated, and the overuse of fertilizers may have toxic effects on plants, as well as increasing the production cost of the crop. The uptake of fertilizer and accumulation in the tubers will also depend on the physiological efficiency (uptake rate and translocation efficiency) of the plants. On the other hand, the exploitation of natural genetic variation within potato germplasm

and the selection of accessions through marker-assisted selection or the development of overexpression lines may increase the nutrient value of potato tubers. In comparison between the potato and carrot, it was observed that the potato was a better accumulator of iodine under controlled conditions, with iodine contents ranging between 0.15 and 0.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in various soils, including sand, silt, and sandy silt. The accumulation was highest in the silt soil under the control condition. The carrot showed a several-fold higher accumulation of iodine when crops were treated with 0.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of iodine. Thus, it shows that the potato did not respond better than the carrot in terms of biofortification, but may give a better response under natural conditions [45].

The mycogenic ZnO nanoparticles were obtained from *Aspergillus flavus* and sprayed over tomatoes and potatoes. The spectrophotometric analyses revealed that both species were able to accumulate Zn in their leaves; however, the potato failed to translocate it into the tubers [46]. These results also showed that the accumulation of biofortified Zn was complicated by the poor translocation of resources to the developing tubers. This brings a challenge for plant physiologists and soil nutritionists to devise new strategies to make biofortification effective in potatoes. In a comparison between the potato cultivars 'Cara' and 'Lady Rosetta', the latter showed higher translocation and accumulation of Zn contents in the tubers, accumulating about 77% of the total Zn contents in the biomass. At the same time, 'Cara' was able to take 68% of the total Zn contents in the tubers [47]. The foliar application of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> mixed in plasma-activated water increased yield by 40%, Zn content by 2-fold, and iron content by 3-fold [48].

Plant breeders may select new accessions with better capacity for translocation from above-ground biomass to the leaves. It has been concluded that Zn contents are translocated and remobilized from aerial plant parts through xylem-phloem vessels during tuber bulking [47]. Among the micronutrients, K<sup>+</sup> had the highest mobility, while Ca<sup>++</sup> had the least mobility within the potato plant, indicating a preferential site for the accumulation of these micronutrients. The Fe was preferentially stored in leaves, and new enzymatic machinery may be identified that can translocate micronutrients from leaves to tubers, enhancing the nutritive value of the tubers [49]. CRISPR/Cas9 may be utilized to modify the genes that hinder the translocation of photosynthates and stem reserves to the tubers. One quick example is editing *StbH47*, which alerted iron homeostasis and increased the iron contents in tobacco tubers [50]. Research also showed that micronutrient accumulation was a rate-limiting process, where one micronutrient is prioritized over the other. There is a need to understand the mechanism of micronutrient transport within the plant. There are membrane transporters within the cell that absorb micronutrients and transport them to their target sites. At the same time, the plant also removes excessive micronutrients to mitigate the toxic effects of these micronutrients [51]. The metal tolerance protein functions as an efflux metal transporter throughout plants, regulating the metal level in various biological processes. The *Fe/Zn-MTP*, *Zn-MTP*, and *Mn-MTP* are genes that regulate the levels of various micronutrients within a cell [52]. There is a need to identify the metal transporter that regulates micronutrients in plants and translocates them to the tubers.

## 5. Status of Potato Germplasm Micronutrients for Biofortification Targeting

The genetic variation among potato germplasms has shown significant main effects and interactions with micronutrients across accessions [53]. The manganese and zinc contents exhibited very high heritability (0.80). In contrast, Fe demonstrated medium heritability (0.49), indicating that these nutrients could be selected to enhance trait value [53]. In a study conducted in Ethiopia, potato germplasm selected from the biofortified core set showed ranges of 15–26 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 10–21 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight (dw) for iron and zinc

contents, respectively [54]. The clone CIP312725.052 displayed the highest iron content, while clone CIP312621.069 had the highest zinc content [54]. The clone also had significant values for starch, specific gravity, and dry mass [54]. There were also accessions obtained from the INTA Balcarce. For example, 'CL836' had the Mg, Ca, and Zn contents of 190, 112, and 1.29 mg/100 g dw, respectively. Another accession 'CL 790' was noted for its Mn content (2.09 mg/100 g dw), while "CL 516" and "CCS 1349" had high Zn and Ca (2.23 and 123 mg/100 g dw), respectively [55]. The specific examples for the research on the potato germplasm are given in Tables 1 and 2.

The heritability estimates for both traits (Zn and Fe) were lower in the tetraploid accession compared to the diploid clones, suggesting that epigenetic factors may influence the expression of micronutrients in potatoes. These epigenetic factors may inactivate the loci through differential packaging, forming complex DNA-packaging protein bonds that result in transient heterochromatin. The genetic variability among accessions, estimated from the genotypic coefficient of variation (single environment), was only 10%, indicating low variability in the study [54]. There were negative relationships between the micronutrients and yield-related traits, suggesting that selecting for higher micronutrients may come at the expense of yield and related traits. Additionally, enhancing one micronutrient may not facilitate the increase in another micronutrient. To identify the genetic basis of linkage or pleiotropic effects, populations may require targeted analysis, and new genetic recombinants may need to be developed to subsequently select for multiple micronutrients in a high-yielding potato background using markers. The type of gene action may influence the selection process for iron and zinc contents. Several diploid potato populations underwent selection for Zn and Fe contents through three cycles of recurrent selection, with significant effects observed for cycle and cycle  $\times$  location interactions, indicating that both traits were improved due to selection [56]. The additive gene effects and high heritability (0.81) for both traits were estimated, showing that the traits were highly selectable through recurrent selection [56]. The genetic gain from selection was about 29% and 26% for iron and zinc, respectively. There was a negative impact of selection on dry matter contents, which decreased by 2–5% in each selection cycle. The iron content had a positive effect on the number of tubers per plant, whereas the zinc content harmed tuber weight. This may pose challenges for breeders, as such accessions may not gain popularity among farmers due to their low yield potential unless a premium is offered for these cultivars, which may be less common in developing countries. Wild germplasm has also been a source of novel genetic variation, as well as related species such as *Solanum tuberosum* Andigenum Group ( $2n = 4 \times = 48$  chromosomes), *Solanum vernei* ( $2n = 2 \times = 24$ ), and *Solanum boliviense* ( $2n = 2 \times = 24$ ) have the potential to produce 2 times more folate than the standard check 'Russet Burbank' [56]. The  $4 \times$  Group Andigenum is cross-compatible with other cultivated species and could be utilized for the transfer of novel alleles. The  $2 \times$  wild species, such as *S. vernei* and *S. boliviense*, are cross-incompatible and require inducing tetraploidy or crossing with haploid lines of  $4 \times$  cultivated species to overcome the incompatibility due to the ploidy level and endosperm balance number.

Association mapping has revolutionized our knowledge, enabling its further use in breeding and selection. The technique helps to map directly the region that may affect the traits of interest in a core set of germplasm. It has been utilized to identify quantitative trait loci (QTL) for biofortification traits, including  $\beta$ -carotene, iron, zinc, and essential amino acids, in various field crops [57,58]. These crops have developed higher biofortified content and retain their nutritional value after processing, cooking, and intestinal absorption. A core set of germplasm is formulated from a large gene pool through different programs. In potatoes, a panel of 214 core sets was used to phenotype the mineral contents at three locations [59]. The same set was also genotyped using the Infinium Illumina 22K V3

single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array, which identified two QTL on chromosome 7 associated with zinc content. In contrast, three QTL were associated with potassium and manganese content at chromosome 5 [59].


**Table 1.** Genetic variation in potato breeding lines for various functional compounds and minerals.

Genotype	Minerals	Heritability	Results	Reference
36 breeding lines	Zinc 2 to 18 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$	Broad sense heritability (0.61)	Genotypes provided only 4% of the total zinc required	[60]
214 accession	12 micronutrients	2 major Zn quantitative trait loci at chromosome 5	Red-skinned potato had the highest minerals like P, K, S, and Zn	[59]
33 clones	Fe	Broad sense heritability 0.63–0.71	17–62 $\mu\text{g}$ per gram of DW. Red-skinned potatoes had 3 $\times$ higher iron contents	[60]
'Freedom', 'Yukon Gold', and particularly the very stable mineral source 'Russet Burbank'	Iron, Zinc, and Copper	-	One serving per day of these cultivars provides a significant contribution.	[61]
71 Indian accessions	Zinc	-	10.62–27.58 ppm iron (30.49–56.29 ppm). Low genetic variation. Negative relationships between yield and micronutrient traits	[62]
Golden potato	Vitamin A	High expression of transformed genes	91 $\mu\text{g}$ (PAC)/g D, provitamin A carotenes, increased levels of xanthophylls, phytoene and phytofluene, 78 $\mu\text{g}$ vitamin E/g DW	[39]
77 accessions and 10 Solanum species	Folate (B9)	-	2-fold difference	[63]
Andean Landrace	Fe and Zn	Additive genetic variance. Heritability in narrow sense (0.36) and (0.57)	Negative relationship between the minerals when estimated on a dry basis	[64]

**Table 2.** Potato skin and flesh diversity with salient features.

Trait	Skin Colour	Significance	Reference
Provitamin A carotenoids ( $\alpha$ - and $\beta$ -carotene) and xanthophylls		91 $\mu\text{g}$ provitamin A carotenes (PAC)/g dry weight (DW) in tubers	[65]
Anthocyanin, Zn		Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside and peonidin-3-O-glucoside, 61.5 to 573.5 mg/kg of fresh weight (FW) in tubers. High antioxidant activity and total anthocyanin contents in blue-fleshed cultivars. Zn contents in "Provita" cultivar 15 mg 100 $\text{g}^{-1}$ of DW in tubers	[66,67]

Table 2. Cont.

Trait	Skin Colour	Significance	Reference
Anthocyanin, Fe, Zn contents		“Herbie 26” had Fe contents averaging 78 mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> FW in tubers, while also having very high Zn contents of 16 mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> FW in tubers. Individual anthocyanin in cultivar “Highland Burgundy Red” contained pelargonidin (98.7%)	[67,68]
Protein, Vitamin C		Potato cultivars with high protein and vitamin C, and lower Zn (25 vs. 28 µg g <sup>-1</sup> ) and Fe (19 vs. 28 µg g <sup>-1</sup> dry mass) contents than red and yellow accessions in tubers	[59,69]
Carotenoids		Zeaxanthin 2055 µg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> of FW in tubers	[70]
Oil contents		( <i>WR11</i> ), Diacylglycerol Acyltransferase 1 ( <i>DGAT1</i> ), and Oleosin, oil content 3.3%	[71]

#### *Oil-Rich Potato Cultivars: New Biofortification Targets for Affordable Frying, Mental Well-Being, and Space Food Systems*

Potatoes are commonly fried in vegetable oils and fats to create crispy fries and chips. The deep-frying process often has high oil consumption, which poses a health risk due to the deterioration of oil during the frying process. The deteriorated oil produces free radicals, which may cause cellular damage. One potential solution is to increase the oil content within potatoes themselves, which can reduce the use of excessive edible oil during frying. The increased oil content could enable potatoes to be cooked in air fryer appliances, potentially lowering cooking costs and reducing health risks associated with deep-frying. Advanced technologies, such as genetic modification, offer a promising approach to enhancing oil content within tubers. Several genes from oilseed crops have been identified that could be used to genetically transform potato cultivars. Three genes—namely, *wrinkled 1* (*WR11*), *Diacylglycerol Acyltransferase 1* (*DGAT1*), and *Oleosin*—were simultaneously used to improve oil content through a tuber-specific promoter (patatin) in potatoes [71]. *WR11* is a transcription factor that regulates lipid synthesis. At the same time, *DGAT1* encodes an enzyme that catalyzes the final step in triacylglycerol formation and is widely used in field crops to increase oil content. The oleosin gene stabilizes oil bodies by anchoring the phospholipid membrane in the oil bodies. This metabolic engineering of the genes resulted in a 100-fold increase in oil content, with potatoes containing about 3.3% oil at the expense of starch [71].

Although potato cultivars have a very low oil content, their fatty acid profile is healthier than those of popular field crops like canola, olive, sunflower, and soybean due to their high proportion of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (ALA), which accounts for approximately 20%. Omega-3 fatty acids are essential for human health. It serves as a substrate for

producing long-chain fatty acids (LCPUFA), such as docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), in the human body [72,73]. LCPUFAs are vital for neuron cell receptor function, neuroprotection, mood regulation, heart rhythm, anti-inflammatory cytokine production, and eyesight, and can be obtained directly through diet to improve health. EPA and DHA have initially been sourced from microalgae and protists, such as thraustochytrids, which enter the human food chain when marine fish consume them. Increasing oil content in potatoes could also lead to higher levels of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid. Furthermore, crops such as *Camelina sativa* or canola have been genetically engineered to produce long-chain fatty acids like EPA and DHA, and it is possible that potatoes could also be modified for this purpose [72,73]. The canola line “LBFLFK” was genetically modified with 10 genes (various elongase and desaturase) to produce long-chain omega-3 fatty acid DHA in the seeds [74].

One of the challenges of modern life is the increased prevalence of depression, insomnia, or mood swings driven by rigid routine, poor lifestyle choices, and high mental stress. The potatoes, with their vibrant colors—yellow, red, and purple skin/flesh—are rich in anthocyanins, carotenoids, and antioxidants, which can help combat these issues by promoting mental wellbeing and other health benefits (Table 1). One of the causes of insomnia and depression is neuroinflammation, which may be decreased by increased intake of antioxidants and pigments [65]. The purple and red fleshed potato contains cyanidin-3-O-glucoside and peonidin-3-O-glucoside, in concentrations ranging from 62 to 574 mg, which have been known to act as antidepressants and reduce anxiety by functioning as antioxidants, anti-inflammatories, and neuroprotectants, while also interacting with dopamine D2 receptors to improve mood [66]. Moreover, red and purple-fleshed cultivars contain higher antioxidant activity than white and yellow-fleshed potatoes. Genetic variability was also observed among the potato cultivars, with cultivar ‘British Columbia’ having the highest cyanadin content [68]. There was a positive relationship between the anthocyanin contents and antioxidant properties of the potato cultivar.

## 6. Environmental Stress and Nutrient Availability

It has been observed that tuber micronutrients are affected by climatic conditions, genotypes, and production systems [29]. The bioavailability of minerals may be compromised by climate change, which could reduce the availability of vulnerable food crops such as maize and potatoes. These crops are susceptible to environmental fluctuations, including high temperatures and water stress, which can threaten their survival and compromise the country’s food security by potentially leading to yield failures or decreased food production. Moreover, abiotic stresses such as water scarcity or salinity hinder the absorption of essential minerals, leading to stunted growth and disrupting the micronutrient status of potato tubers. Soil pH is crucial for the absorption of  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{2+}$  from the soil, with lower pH levels enhancing their solubility and uptake by plants. Under saline conditions, the  $Zn^{++}$  and  $Fe^{++}$  contents availability is reduced due to a combination of factors such as a shift in soil pH, redox potential, increased competition from cations such as  $Na^+$  and  $Ca^{++}$ , and physiological constraints. Salinity-induced ion toxicity and osmotic stress can further alter root metabolism, transport activity, and membrane integrity [75]. To enhance mineral uptake, plant roots release organic acids, which facilitate the absorption of Zn and Fe. Water stress regimes significantly affect micronutrients like Mg, Fe, and Zn, with the highest contents observed under mild water stress (5.26, 0.75, and 0.14 mg g<sup>-1</sup> dw of tubers), decreasing to 4.14, 0.46, and 0.05 mg g<sup>-1</sup> dw of tubers as water stress intensifies [76]. This represents a decrease of 21% for magnesium, 39% for iron, and 64% for zinc. Micronutrient deficiencies (Zn and Fe) reduce the activity of antioxidant enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and peroxidases, thereby increasing the concentration of

reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS are produced due to the disintegration of the membrane in mitochondria and chloroplasts, and also act as signaling molecules which activate the transcription factors related to stress hormones (ABA) and mineral transport factors; i.e., IRT and YSL [77,78]. The overall bioavailability of micronutrients may be even more significant when considering yield losses, suggesting that climate change could reduce the quantity of edible tubers and their nutrient content.

Foliar application is recommended to mitigate the adverse effects of stress factors such as salinity. A foliar spray of ascorbic acid ( $200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) improved protein contents by 6 to 16% and starch contents by 13 to 19% in potato tubers grown in salt-affected soils [79]. A foliar application of  $46.50 \text{ g}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$  silicon decreased  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  contents while increasing  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mn}^{-}$  contents. A negative relationship was also noted between Fe and Si contents in potato tubers under drought stress [79]. Salinity and water stress conditions reduce the uptake of Fe and Zn, leading to key abnormalities such as stunted growth, reduced chlorophyll production, and impaired enzymatic activity. There is also a need to introgress salinity and drought stress tolerance genes. A gene with a putative function in enhanced mineral uptake from soil could improve the micronutrient status of tubers while mitigating the adverse effects of stress conditions. Engineered genes responsible for mineral uptake at low osmotic potential may enhance mineral absorption and induce tolerance by improving physiological functions. Mineral uptake under stress environments is hindered, but micronutrient translocation and distribution between vegetative organs, such as leaves, stems, and roots, increase at non-lethal high temperatures and  $\text{CO}_2$  levels, even though further translocation into tubers remains unaffected under stress conditions. This suggests that climate change may also impact the translocation patterns within plants [80]. To improve the nutrient balance within potato tubers and mitigate the suppressive effects of abiotic stress, foliar and soil amendments have been recommended to mitigate the deteriorating effects of abiotic factors on yield and quality [81]. In potato, lower Zn efficiency has been attributed to the root system architecture, specifically lower root length per unit of above-ground biomass, highlighting the importance of root system size and architecture [82]. Improving the root architecture, such as an extensive system of fine roots, can more efficiently absorb minerals from the deeper root profile. Targeted genes related to mineral transporters, along with genes that transcribe for extensive root development under stress conditions, may be identified and introgressed into breeding lines and varieties [83]. The nicotinamine synthase (NAS) gene family is involved in the chelation and transport of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , with the key gene *stNAS* and other associated genes [*StYSL1* (*Yellow Stripe-Like 1*), *StIRT1* (*Iron-Regulated Transporter 1*), and *StFRO1* (*Ferric Reduction Oxidase 1*)] not only related to biofortification but also intersecting with the abiotic stress pathway [84]. The deeper penetration of the root system could absorb water from a deeper root profile, as well as micronutrients, and may enhance resilience under stress conditions and meet the evapotranspiration demand of the potato plant.

#### *Improved Efficiency for Micronutrient Uptake in Potato Germplasm*

A deficiency of micronutrients causes abnormal growth and significant yield losses in potato tubers. Potato plants absorb micronutrients at three levels: from the soil to the root hairs, from the roots to vascular tissues, and then translocate them to the tubers. Metal transporters form transmembrane helices that act as channels for micronutrient transport (Figure 2). These transporters contain 12 transmembrane domains arranged to create a pore or channel. The iron-regulated transporter protein (*IRT1*) has 12 transmembrane domains that form a channel allowing iron to be absorbed from the soil into the root hairs [85]. Additionally, multidomain oligopeptide transporters specialize in moving peptide proteins that bind micronutrients in their peptides or linked amino acids. The gene *StOPT3* encodes


an oligopeptide transporter in the potato. The gene *StNAS4* encodes an enzyme called nicotianamine synthase, which is involved in the biosynthesis of nicotianamine (NA), a metal-binding ligand. Nicotianamine is crucial for transporting and storing metals, including iron, in plants. The gene *StFRO3* encodes a ferric chelate reductase enzyme that reduces  $Fe^{3+}$  to  $Fe^{2+}$ , facilitating iron absorption from the soil. Iron homeostasis is negatively regulated by the gene *StbHLH47*. CRISPR-edited potato lines targeting *StbHLH47* exhibited higher iron content compared to the wild type, accompanied by increased activity of transporters [50]. Table 3 presents examples of various genes encoding enzymes involved in the absorption and transport of zinc (Zn) and iron (Fe).

The proteomic analysis of potato breeding lines subjected to a deficient supply of Fe, Mn, and Zn induces the differential expression of proteins identified through MALDI-TOF. There were 42 proteins involved in signaling, transport, cellular structure, and transcription-related processes, and their expression was increased under micronutrient stress [86]. These proteins function in metabolic pathways, photosynthesis, defense, and redox homeostasis under conditions of micronutrient deficiency. The deficiency of micronutrients induces lower photosynthesis efficiency, but the effects are more pronounced during iron deficiency in the growth medium.

Both Zn and Fe show limited and strongly genotype-dependent phloem mobility, and their remobilization efficiency varies substantially among cultivars and environmental conditions [87]. The results of Zn fertilization showed that there was 2-fold increase in tuber Zn contents, in contrast, there was 20-fold increase in Zn shoot contents, showing the limited mobility of the Zn through phloem. However, there were also differences among the cultivars for their capacity to mobilize the Zn contents to the tuber and therefore, clones with high Zn mobilization may be selected [87,88]. There was no accessions  $\times$  environments interaction showing that accessions ranking for Zn contents accumulation was not affected by the environment [88].

**Table 3.** Function of putative genes related to metal movement under various environmental conditions.

Metal	Gene	Function	Environment	Reference
Zn	<i>NtZIP11-like</i> , <i>NtNRAMP3</i> , <i>NtMTP2</i> , and <i>MRP/ABCC</i> genes	Increased expression for sequestration (storing Zn in vacuoles)	High Zn	[86]
Zn	<i>NtZIP1-like</i> and <i>NtZIP4</i>	Downregulation to reduce accumulation in the cell	High Zn	[86]
Zn	<i>NtZIP1-like</i>	Upregulation increases accumulation in the cell	Low Zn	[86]
Fe	<i>StMIT</i>	Transport iron into mitochondria	Drought and salinity	[89]
Fe	PGSC0003DMG400024976	A metal transporter across the cell membrane acquires Fe from the soil and moves it to the plant.	Iron-rich soil	[43]
Fe	PGSC0003DMG400013297	Oligopeptide transporter, transports Fe from roots to plant after binding with proteins	Vascular tissues	[85]
Fe	PGSC0003DMG400021155 ( <i>IRT1</i> )	Absorb iron from soil	Root hairs, low iron availability	[85]
Fe	<i>StbHLH47</i>	Negative regulator, the CRISPR-edited lines had higher activity of genes, <i>StNAS4</i> , <i>StOPT3</i> , and <i>StFRO3</i> , resulting in higher iron contents	Edited lines	[50]
Ca	<i>CAX2B</i>	65% more $Ca^{++}$ in engineered potatoes, transport Ca across the vacuole with magnee	Salinity stress	[20]



**Figure 2.** Micronutrient uptake and translocation mechanisms within plants.  $H^+$ -ATPases, *FRO*, *IRT* homologs are transcriptionally upregulated under iron deficiency [90]. *StZIP2* transports zinc and promotes root growth [91]. Editing *StbHLLH47* alerted iron homeostasis and increased the iron contents in tobacco tubers [50]. *StPCR9* efflux pump Cd/Zn transport [92].

## 7. Approaches for Micronutrient Enhancement in Potato: Conventional Breeding, Transgenic Strategies, and Gene Editing

Conventional approaches are based on exploiting natural genetic variation in elite and wild germplasm. High narrow- and broad-sense heritability for traits such as  $Fe^+$  and  $Zn^+$ , along with other minerals, indicates that these traits should undergo recurrent selection. Evaluation of germplasm, especially diploid and wild accessions, reveals substantial genetic variation within potato germplasm. However, introgression from wild accessions is challenging due to differences in ploidy, epigenetic regulation of traits, and linkage drag issues. Additionally, a negative relationship between yield components and micronutrient concentrations can further complicate selection. These findings suggest that while conventional breeding methods are useful for selecting accessions with high micronutrient content, the process is slow and complicated by the polygenic nature of these traits and environmental influences. Genomic selection and SNP marker-assisted selection could facilitate the introgression of targeted genomic regions into elite germplasm.

Genetic engineering can be used to overexpress genes involved in the absorption, translocation, and storage of micronutrients. Several previously discussed genes can be targeted and overexpressed to develop biofortified crops. For example, overexpressing *AtNAS1* and *PvFERRITIN* can significantly boost the iron and zinc content in potato tubers without affecting yield or causing linkage drag. Introducing genes related to provitamin A and  $\beta$ -carotene led to the development of golden potatoes, which could not be achieved through traditional breeding.


Genome editing technologies, especially CRISPR/Cas9, fall between transgenic and conventional methods. CRISPR-based RNPs can directly knock out undesirable genes, potentially leading to non-GMO potato varieties. This strategy could potentially overcome the regulatory requirement for releasing potato cultivars. RNPs do not integrate into the genome and can directly edit the targeted site. Editing rate-limiting or regulatory elements in the potato genome can enhance micronutrient accumulation without impacting yield.

### 7.1. In Vitro Screening and Identification of Putative Genes

The potato germplasm can be screened in a micronutrient-deficient environment to select accessions that demonstrate higher growth rates and exhibit fewer deficiency symptoms than other accessions. The selected accessions may be more efficient at taking up micronutrients and could be better accumulators under non-stress conditions.

In vitro screening may be more effective in developing cell lines with enhanced micronutrient uptake efficiency (Figure 3). The cell lines were screened using media that were deficient in micronutrients. A comparison of iron deficient ( $1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  FeNaEDTA) and iron optimum ( $100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  FeNaEDTA) concentration in the media showed the switching between the iron deficient genes and reduced expression of ferritin (the protein which oxidized the useable form of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  for storing large amount of iron in their cavity) as ferric hydroxide ( $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ ) [93]. The ferritin molecule stores excess  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and protects the cell from iron toxicity, while also preventing the production of reactive oxygen species during stress. The ferritin genes *FR1* and *FR2* regulate the synthesis of ferritin protein in response to iron deficiency or stress signals, which contribute to iron storage and management. The plant grown in high-iron concentration media accumulated more iron in its roots. In a study, cell lines were screened with low  $\text{Fe}^{++}$  ( $0\text{--}5 \text{ }\mu\text{M Fe}^{++}$ ) supply. Twenty-three percent of the callus-derived regenerants were considered  $\text{Fe}^{++}$  efficient based on reduced leaf chlorosis [94]. The regenerated  $\text{Fe}^{++}$  efficient plants were compared with the inefficient group, revealing that the  $\text{Fe}^{++}$  efficient plants had an extensive root system with more lateral roots and root hairs [94]. The mechanism of resistance was also studied, showing higher expression of ferritin (*fer3*) in the leaves and iron-regulated transporter (*irt1*) in the roots [94].

In vitro screening can identify cell variants within calli clumps that may have a better ability to survive in a micronutrient environment. The origin of these soma clone variants is generally attributed to point mutations or epigenomic changes that occur during the continuous selection of cells under a deficient environment. In a study, a two-step selection pressure was applied on calli in potato by growing the calli on half-strength MS media supplemented with the ( $0\text{--}5 \text{ }\mu\text{M FeNaEDTA}$ ). The calli, having the ability to proliferate under iron-deficient media, were continuously selected under pressure and subsequently shifted to regenerate shoots from these calli [95]. There were also differences between the two types of calli (compact and friable) regarding their response to iron deficiency. The compact calli exhibit greater resilience against stressful environments due to higher levels of ferric chelate reductase and improved phenolic compounds [96]. Although evidence was present showing that selected  $\text{Fe}^{++}$  efficient calli, when regenerated into plantlets, had a strong and robust root system [93], the validation of the selected calli after regeneration may be required to determine the effectiveness of in vitro selection.



**Figure 3.** Illustration showing the strategies for the development of breeding lines with higher micronutrient levels.

### 7.2. Engineered Fortification in Potato

The genes that may promote the translocation of micronutrients were identified. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* NICOTIANAMINE SYNTHASE 1 (*AtNAS 1*) gene, driven by a constitutive promoter, and the *Phaseolus vulgaris* FERRITIN (*PvFERRITIN*) gene, governed by a tuber-specific promoter, were used to transform the potato cultivar ‘FN 4’. The transgenic lines exhibited 2.2 and 1.2 times more accumulation of iron and zinc, respectively. This cultivar meets 33% of the daily iron and 20% of the daily zinc needs of women in the reproductive stage [97]. In another study, the overexpression lines for the *AtNAS 1* gene with the strong 35S promoter achieved Fe contents of 52.7  $\mu\text{g/g}$  dry weight, which is 2.4 times the amount found in wild-type tubers, without any adverse effect on the transformation of plant phenotype and yield between the wild type and transgenic lines [84]. The primary function of the gene is to promote the translocation of  $\text{Fe}^+$  from the leaves to the tubers, potentially enhancing the plant’s response to fertilization. However, there is also a need to identify genes that would facilitate a higher rate of soil micronutrient absorption and improve translocation from the stems and leaves to the tubers. The *StZIP 2* gene in roots enhances Zn pumping from the soil and its translocation to the leaves. Overexpression lines exhibited a significantly higher ratio of above-ground Zn content to root content compared to non-transgenic lines [91]. Transcriptome analysis has been utilized to identify genes associated with biofortification by comparing high- and low-accession genotypes. These analyses indicated that  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ -glutamyl hydrolase 1 (GGH 1) is related to the high folate contents in the potato accession “*Solanum boliviense*” [98]. The genes *GTPCHI* and *ADCS* had sufficiently produced folate in rice and tomatoes, but inefficiently produced folate in potato, which was supported by the transformation of the additional gene *HPPK/DHPS* and/or *FPGS* for the biosynthesis of folate in the mitochondrial genome. There was a 12-fold increase in folate production, and the postharvest folate contents did not degrade over time [99].

Vitamin A deficiency is a significant concern among nutrition specialists and dietitians. It is a leading cause of blindness, and its deficiency can cause morbidity and mortality in

children due to the increased susceptibility to infectious diseases. All major food crops, including rice, wheat, maize, and potato, are deficient in vitamin A. There is a lack of natural variability within potato germplasm; thus, it may not be improved by conventional selection methods and requires genetic engineering to increase the potato vitamin A content. There were three genes encoding phytoene synthase (*CrtB*), phytoene desaturase (*CrtI*), and lycopene beta-cyclase (*CrtY*) from *Erwinia*, under tuber-specific promoters, which were used for the transformation of potato [100]. The expression of these three genes resulted in the development of a golden yellow color in potatoes, with a 20-fold increase in carotenoids to 114 mcg/g dry weight and a 3600-fold increase in  $\beta$ -carotene to 47 mcg/g dry weight. In another study, it was demonstrated that golden potatoes contain provitamin A (up to 91  $\mu$ g/g dry weight), vitamin E (up to 78  $\mu$ g/g), and other carotenoids, such as lutein and phytoene [39]. Approximately 80% of key nutrients, including  $\beta$ -carotene and vitamin E, were retained after boiling. In comparison, 14–20% for provitamin A and xanthophylls, 43–45% for phytoene, 23–27% for phytofluene, 53% for  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (vitamin E) were absorbed in the simulated intestinal cells. A 150 g serving may provide up to 42% of children's and 23% of women's daily vitamin A needs, as well as a significant amount of vitamin E [39].

## 8. Challenges, Limitations, and Future Directions

Environmental stresses represent a significant impediment to the biofortification of the potato. Biofertilization success depends on the environmental conditions, cultivar, and soil pH, and these factors interact in a complex way. The soil pH, temperature, and salinity not only reduce the potato tuber yield but also restrict the absorption, translocation, and storage of micronutrients in the tubers. The change in the soil pH restricts the absorption of the mineral, and salinity makes them insoluble complexes and restricts their pumping from the soil.

The future breeding model should integrate a multi-trait genomic selection model that accounts for dry matter yield, mineral content, and stress tolerance (Figure 3). The stress sensitivity of the nutrient transport pathway is a fundamental challenge for breeding perspectives and the development of biofortified crops under a climate change scenario. Identification of genes that simultaneously regulate mineral uptake, stress signaling, and root system architecture could help develop varieties with a higher capacity for biofortification. Several transporter genes, e.g., *StIRT*, *StZIP*, *StYSL*, *StNAS*, and *StFRO* families, have been identified, but their role in the stress condition has not been clearly understood, which may require transcriptomics or proteomics, or CRISPR-mediated knock-out analysis under multiple environments and growth stages to clearly picture their role and the regulatory mechanism underlying them. Improving root traits such as deeper penetration, high lateral root density, and root hairs could help mitigate abiotic stress and also enhance micronutrient uptake. The integration of genome-wide SNP data with high-throughput phenotyping will enable the prediction of breeding value and reduce the linkage drag. Advanced statistical tools, such as multi-environment genomic prediction, could help select accessions with stable mineral content across multiple environments and stress conditions. Targeting CRISPR-based gene editing of transporter genes and regulatory elements helps to overcome translocation bottlenecks without the yield penalties.

The potato crop is considered a potentially useful food for long-duration space missions, which require the selection of crop germplasm for controlled conditions such as aeroponics and hydroponics through integrated agronomic–genetic potential systems. The protocols for optimizing the production system should be combined across biofortified cultivars and factors such as fertigation regimes, spectra, CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment, and stress regimes to maximize both yield and nutrient uptake. Simulated space-farming experiments

offer a unique platform for studying micronutrient uptake, translocation, and retention under microgravity. Breeding objectives for space-adapted potatoes include a shortened growth cycle, high nutrient use efficiency, a high harvest index, and enhanced micronutrient stability during storage.

## 9. Conclusions

Potatoes are a staple food and a favorite vegetable in many nations. Biofortification of potatoes holds great promise in addressing malnutrition, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Latin America [83]. There has been some progress in developing biofortified potatoes, such as golden potatoes. The potato germplasm was screened for various minerals, including Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn, which showed moderate to low genetic variation among the germplasm accessions. This indicates that wild germplasm accessions of potato may be screened to introgress diversified sources of genetic variation within elite accessions. However, the consequences of linkage drag from wide crosses may need to be addressed through marker-assisted selection. Field trials revealed negative relationships among the key micronutrients, which may complicate the simultaneous improvement of these micronutrients.

Association mapping may be used to elucidate the genetic basis of micronutrients and subsequently identify the locus linked with the trait of interest. Moreover, SNP markers can be applied to establish the breeding value of the selected materials, which can then be crossed to develop transgressive segregating populations for selection. To break the undesirable negative linkages between micronutrients, gene editing may be used to modify the genome and disrupt these associations. Tight genetic linkage or pleiotropic regulatory effects can be addressed through genome editing or targeted recombination. However, physiological limitations, including competition for shared transporters, constrained phloem mobility, and cellular homeostasis mechanisms generally represent fundamental biological trade-offs that are less amenable to direct genetic modification. Conventionally, recurrent selection for multiple traits; i.e., yield and micronutrient, may be practiced, where high tuber micronutrient contents are fine-tuned with high yield potential backgrounds. However, breeders must compromise the values at some potential.

Fertilizers have also been recommended when growing biofortified crops. However, the overuse and additional cost of these fertilizers may not be beneficial for biofortified crop production. The biofortification of tubers is also dependent on the translocation efficiency of cultivars and absorption potential from the roots and is significantly affected by the complex actions of metal transporters in the roots under a specific genetic background. To enhance the absorption and translocation potential of micronutrients, understanding the role of various metal transporters may be necessary.

In vitro screening methods using micronutrient-deficient media have successfully retrieved calli with high potential for survival in a micronutrient-deficient environment. The regenerated plantlet from micro-nutrient deficiency-resistant calli has higher potential and, consequently, a higher potential for metal absorption. A highly robust root system could also make the climate-resilient potato accessions more resilient and may also be helpful in the absorption of micronutrients. There is a strong need to develop biofortified potato cultivars with high yield potential and better resilience against stress. Otherwise, biofortified crops will command higher premiums, and farmers will be reluctant to adopt these cultivars in the field in the absence of a premium system.

The integration of biofortified crops, including the potato, into national food policies and international research organizations should include the development of cultivars suitable for biofortification and support for farmers adopting them. Partnerships with

organizations such as the Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) and CGIAR HarvestPlus could also accelerate the distribution and consumption of biofortified crops [21].

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.R. and R.O.; methodology, S.R.; literature search S.R. and F.K.; writing—original draft preparation, S.R.; writing—review and editing, S.R., F.K. and R.O.; project administration, R.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable because this review does not involve research with humans or animals per se.

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing does not, therefore, apply to this article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. FAOSTAT. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL> (accessed on 5 February 2026).
2. Navarre, D.A.; Goyer, A.; Shakya, R. Nutritional Value of Potatoes: Vitamin, Phytonutrient, and Mineral Content. In *Advances in Potato Chemistry and Technology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2009; pp. 395–424.
3. Hu, X.; Jiang, H.; Liu, Z.; Gao, M.; Liu, G.; Tian, S.; Zeng, F. The Global Potato-Processing Industry: A Review of Production, Products, Quality and Sustainability. *Foods* **2025**, *14*, 1758. [CrossRef]
4. Anitha, S.; Manivannan, A. Nutritional Evaluation of Potato Milk Produced by Ultrasonication—A Functional Alternative for Bovine Milk. *Food Humanit.* **2023**, *1*, 684–688. [CrossRef]
5. Salaria, N.; Thakur, K.; Singh, B.; Kukreja, S.; Goutam, U. Contemporary Techniques in Potato Biofortification for Sustainable Crop Improvement. In *Harnessing Crop Biofortification for Sustainable Agriculture*; Tiwari, S., Singh, B., Eds.; Springer Nature: Singapore, 2024; pp. 179–192, ISBN 978-981-97-3437-5.
6. FAOSTAT. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home> (accessed on 29 August 2025).
7. Shalini, R.; Nisha, M.; Vijaya, R.D.; Rajesh, K.; Kumar, G.D.; Kant, S.K.; Manish, K. Impact of Climate Change on Global Potato Production: A Review. *J. Glob. Agric. Ecol.* **2024**, *16*, 14–28. [CrossRef]
8. Rozentsvet, O.A.; Bogdanova, E.S.; Nesterov, V.N.; Bakunov, A.L.; Milekhin, A.V.; Rubtsov, S.L.; Dmitrieva, N.N.; Shevchenko, S.N. Prognostic of Yield of Potatoes of Promising Domestic Varieties under the Impact of Stress Abiotic Factors. In *Proceedings of the Doklady Biochemistry and Biophysics*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022; Volume 507, pp. 370–373.
9. Xie, X.; Hu, Y.; Li, X.; Li, S.; Li, X.; Li, Y. Measuring and Enhancing Food Security Resilience in China Under Climate Change. *Systems* **2025**, *13*, 1054. [CrossRef]
10. Kennedy, G.; Nantel, G.; Shetty, P. The Scourge of “Hidden Hunger”: Global Dimensions of Micronutrient Deficiencies. *FAO Food Nutr. Agric.* **2003**, *32*, 8–16.
11. Lowe, N.M. The Global Challenge of Hidden Hunger: Perspectives from the Field. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **2021**, *80*, 283–289. [CrossRef]
12. Bryan, J.; Osendarp, S.; Hughes, D.; Calvaresi, E.; Baghurst, K.; Van Klinken, J.-W. Nutrients for Cognitive Development in School-Aged Children. *Nutr. Rev.* **2004**, *62*, 295–306. [CrossRef]
13. Owais, A.; Merritt, C.; Lee, C.; Bhutta, Z.A. Anemia among Women of Reproductive Age: An Overview of Global Burden, Trends, Determinants, and Drivers of Progress in Low-and Middle-Income Countries. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 2745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Shlisky, J.; Mandlik, R.; Askari, S.; Abrams, S.; Belizan, J.M.; Bourassa, M.W.; Cormick, G.; Driller-Colangelo, A.; Gomes, F.; Khadilkar, A.; et al. Calcium Deficiency Worldwide: Prevalence of Inadequate Intakes and Associated Health Outcomes. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2022**, *1512*, 10–28. [CrossRef]
15. Cormick, G.; Belizán, J.M. Calcium Intake and Health. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 1606. [CrossRef]
16. Lazzarini, M.; Wanzira, H. Oral Zinc for Treating Diarrhoea in Children. In *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*; Cochrane Library: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2016.
17. Walker, C.L.F.; Rudan, I.; Liu, L.; Nair, H.; Theodoratou, E.; Bhutta, Z.A.; O’Brien, K.L.; Campbell, H.; Black, R.E. Global Burden of Childhood Pneumonia and Diarrhoea. *Lancet* **2013**, *381*, 1405–1416. [CrossRef]
18. Gadapati, S. Global Health Burden of Zinc Deficiency. In *Strategies to Alleviate Human Zinc Deficiency*; IGI Global Scientific Publishing: Hershey, PA, USA, 2025; pp. 65–90.
19. Hatch-McChesney, A.; Lieberman, H.R. Iodine and Iodine Deficiency: A Comprehensive Review of a Re-Emerging Issue. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 3474. [CrossRef]
20. Kim, C.K.; Han, J.-S.; Lee, H.-S.; Oh, J.-Y.; Shigaki, T.; Park, S.H.; Hirschi, K. Expression of an Arabidopsis CAX2 Variant in Potato Tubers Increases Calcium Levels with No Accumulation of Manganese. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2006**, *25*, 1226–1232. [CrossRef]

21. Bouis, H.E.; Saltzman, A. Improving Nutrition through Biofortification: A Review of Evidence from HarvestPlus, 2003 through 2016. *Glob. Food Secur.* **2017**, *12*, 49–58. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Tanumihardjo, S.A.; Ball, A.; Kaliwile, C.; Pixley, K.V. The Research and Implementation Continuum of Biofortified Sweet Potato and Maize in Africa. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2017**, *1390*, 88–103. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Neela, S.; Fanta, S.W. Review on Nutritional Composition of Orange-fleshed Sweet Potato and Its Role in Management of Vitamin A Deficiency. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2019**, *7*, 1920–1945. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Glahn, R.P.; Wiesinger, J.A.; Lung'aho, M.G. Iron Concentrations in Biofortified Beans and Nonbiofortified Marketplace Varieties in East Africa Are Similar. *J. Nutr.* **2020**, *150*, 3013–3023. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Vaiknoras, K.; Laroche, C. The Impact of Iron-Biofortified Bean Adoption on Bean Productivity, Consumption, Purchases and Sales. *World Dev.* **2021**, *139*, 105260. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Agrawal, S.; Kumar, A.; Gupta, Y.; Trivedi, A. Potato Biofortification: A Systematic Literature Review on Biotechnological Innovations of Potato for Enhanced Nutrition. *Horticulturae* **2024**, *10*, 292. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Tiwari, J.K.; Challam, C.; Chakrabarti, S.K.; Feingold, S.E. Climate-Smart Potato: An Integrated Breeding, Genomics, and Phenomics Approach. In *Genomic Designing of Climate-Smart Vegetable Crops*; Kole, C., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 1–46, ISBN 978-3-319-97414-9.
28. Singh, B.; Goutam, U.; Kukreja, S.; Sharma, J.; Sood, S.; Bhardwaj, V. Potato Biofortification: An Effective Way to Fight Global Hidden Hunger. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* **2021**, *27*, 2297–2313. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Wierzbowska, J.; Rychcik, B.; Świątły, A. The Effect of Different Production Systems on the Content of Micronutrients and Trace Elements in Potato Tubers. *Acta Agric. Scand. Sect. B—Soil Plant Sci.* **2018**, *68*, 701–708. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Islam, M.M.; Sultana, N.; Liu, C.; Mao, A.; Katsube, T.; Wang, B. Impact of Dietary Ingredients on Radioprotection and Radiosensitization: A Comprehensive Review. *Ann. Med.* **2024**, *56*, 2396558. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Pagliarello, R.; Bennici, E.; Di Sarcina, I.; Villani, M.E.; Desiderio, A.; Nardi, L.; Benvenuto, E.; Cemmi, A.; Massa, S. Effects of Gamma Radiation on Engineered Tomato Biofortified for Space Agriculture by Morphometry and Fluorescence-Based Indices. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 1266199. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Burgess, A.J.; Pranggono, R.; Escribà-Gelonch, M.; Hessel, V. Biofortification for Space Farming: Maximising Nutrients Using Lettuce as a Model Plant. *Future Foods* **2024**, *9*, 100317. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Giordano, M.; Ciriello, M.; Formisano, L.; El-Nakhel, C.; Pannico, A.; Graziani, G.; Ritieni, A.; Kyriacou, M.C.; Roupheal, Y.; Pascale, S.D.; et al. Iodine-Biofortified Microgreens as High Nutraceutical Value Component of Space Mission Crew Diets and Candidate for Extraterrestrial Cultivation. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 2628. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Woznicki, T.; Møllerhagen, P.J.; Heltoft, P.; Kusnierek, K.; Woznicki, T.; Møllerhagen, P.J.; Heltoft, P.; Kusnierek, K. Growing Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Hydroponically in Wood Fiber—A Preliminary Case-Study Report. *Agronomy* **2021**, *11*, 1369. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Nair, S. Gene-Edited Medicinal ‘Superplants’: Advancements for Prolonged Space Travel. *Plants J.* **2024**, *13*, 23–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Tunio, M.H.; Gao, J.; Shaikh, S.A.; Lakhari, I.A.; Qureshi, W.A.; Solangi, K.A.; Chandio, F.A.; Tunio, M.H.; Gao, J.; Shaikh, S.A.; et al. Potato Production in Aeroponics: An Emerging Food Growing System in Sustainable Agriculture For food Security. *Chil. J. Agric. Res.* **2020**, *80*, 118–132. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Wheeler, R.M. Potato and Human Exploration of Space: Some Observations from NASA-Sponsored Controlled Environment Studies. *Potato Res.* **2006**, *49*, 67–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Catauro, P.M.; Perchonok, M.H. Assessment of the Long-Term Stability of Retort Pouch Foods to Support Extended Duration Spaceflight. *J. Food Sci.* **2012**, *77*, S29–S39. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Chitchumroonchokchai, C.; Diretto, G.; Parisi, B.; Giuliano, G.; Failla, M.L. Potential of Golden Potatoes to Improve Vitamin A and Vitamin E Status in Developing Countries. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0187102. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Ierna, A.; Pellegrino, A.; Mauro, R.P.; Leonardi, C. Micronutrient Foliar Fertilization for the Biofortification of Raw and Minimally Processed Early Potatoes. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 1744. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Ledwożyw-Smoleń, I.; Smoleń, S.; Rożek, S.; Sady, W.; Strzetelski, P. Iodine Biofortification of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Grown in Field. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 1916. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Krzemińska, J.; Smoleń, S.; Kowalska, I.; Pitala, J.; Sularz, O.; Koronowicz, A. Effect of Biofortification with Iodine by 8-Hydroxy-7-Iodo-5-Quinolinesulfonic Acid and 5-Chloro-7-Iodo-8-Quinololinol on the Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Properties of Potato Tubers (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in a Pot Experiment. *Appl. Sci.* **2023**, *13*, 4659. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. White, P.J.; Thompson, J.A.; Wright, G.; Rasmussen, S.K. Biofortifying Scottish Potatoes with Zinc. *Plant Soil* **2017**, *411*, 151–165. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Coelho, A.R.F.; Lidon, F.C.; Pessoa, C.C.; Daccak, D.; Luís, I.C.; Marques, A.C.; Ramalho, J.C.; Semedo, J.M.N.; Silva, M.M.; Pais, I.P. Mineral Monitorization in Different Tissues of *Solanum tuberosum* L. during Calcium Biofortification Process. *Horticulturae* **2022**, *8*, 1020. [[CrossRef](#)]

45. Dobosy, P.; Endrédi, A.; Sandil, S.; Vetési, V.; Rékási, M.; Takács, T.; Záray, G. Biofortification of Potato and Carrot with Iodine by Applying Different Soils and Irrigation with Iodine-Containing Water. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 593047. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Singh, A.; Shukla, G.; Gaurav, S.S.; Rani, P. Nano-Biofortification of Zinc in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Crops. *Macromol. Symp.* **2023**, *407*, 2100403. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Mengist, M.F.; Milbourne, D.; Griffin, D.; McLaughlin, M.J.; Creedon, J.; Jones, P.W.; Alves, S. Zinc Uptake and Partitioning in Two Potato Cultivars: Implications for Biofortification. *Plant Soil* **2021**, *463*, 601–613. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Rashid, M.; Talukder, M.R. Plasma Fortification of Zinc in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*): Future Technology for the Enhancement of Micronutrients in Crops. *Plasma Med.* **2024**, *14*, 1–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Coelho, A.R.; Simões, M.; Almeida, J.; Reboredo, F.H.; Cawina, J.; Lidon, F. Uptake, Translocation, and Yield Assessment of Ca, K, S, and Fe in Three Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Cultivars (Agria, Désirée, and Red Lady) Grown Under Varying Soil Types. *Plants* **2025**, *14*, 1351. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Chauhan, H.; Alok, A.; Aiana; Upadhyay, S.K.; Pandey, A.; Singh, K. CRISPR/Cas9 Edited *StbHLLH47* Lines Exhibit Altered Expression Profiling of Iron Regulating Genes and Increased Iron Content in *Solanum tuberosum*. *Curr. Plant Biol.* **2024**, *38*, 100354. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Yadav, B.; Jogawat, A.; Lal, S.K.; Lakra, N.; Mehta, S.; Shabek, N.; Narayan, O.P. Plant Mineral Transport Systems and the Potential for Crop Improvement. *Planta* **2021**, *253*, 45. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Hajibarat, Z.; Saidi, A. Identification of Metal Tolerance Proteins (MTP) and Their Gene Expression under Drought Stress in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Acta Agric. Slov.* **2024**, *120*, 1–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Haynes, K.G.; Yencho, G.C.; Clough, M.E.; Henninger, M.R.; Sterrett, S.B. Genetic Variation for Potato Tuber Micronutrient Content and Implications for Biofortification of Potatoes to Reduce Micronutrient Malnutrition. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2012**, *89*, 192–198. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Seid, E.; Tessema, L.; Abebe, T.; Solomon, A.; Chindi, A.; Hirut, B.; Negash, K.; Shunka, E.; Mogse, Z.; Burgos, G. Genetic Variability for Micronutrient Content and Tuber Yield Traits among Biofortified Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Clones in Ethiopia. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 2625. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Calliope, S.R.; Beaufort, V.; Lobo, M.O.; Sammán, N.C. Mineral, Vitamin and Phytonutrients Content in Different Andean Potato Varieties Reintroduced in the North West Region, Argentina (NOA). *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2025**, *80*, 48. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Amoros, W.; Salas, E.; Hualla, V.; Burgos, G.; De Boeck, B.; Eyzaguirre, R.; Zum Felde, T.; Bonierbale, M. Heritability and Genetic Gains for Iron and Zinc Concentration in Diploid Potato. *Crop Sci.* **2020**, *60*, 1884–1896. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Rawat, N.; Neelam, K.; Tiwari, V.K.; Randhawa, G.S.; Friebe, B.; Gill, B.S.; Dhaliwal, H.S. Development and Molecular Characterization of Wheat—*Aegilops kotschyi* Addition and Substitution Lines with High Grain Protein, Iron, and Zinc. *Genome* **2011**, *54*, 943–953. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Yang, Q.; Zhang, C.; Chan, M.; Zhao, D.; Chen, J.; Wang, Q.; Li, Q.; Yu, H.; Gu, M.; Sun, S.S. Biofortification of Rice with the Essential Amino Acid Lysine: Molecular Characterization, Nutritional Evaluation, and Field Performance. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2016**, *67*, 4285–4296. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Pandey, J.; Gautam, S.; Scheuring, D.C.; Koym, J.W.; Vales, M.I. Variation and Genetic Basis of Mineral Content in Potato Tubers and Prospects for Genomic Selection. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 1301297. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
60. Brown, C.R.; Haynes, K.G.; Moore, M.; Pavek, M.J.; Hane, D.C.; Love, S.L.; Novy, R.G.; Miller, J.C. Stability and Broad-Sense Heritability of Mineral Content in Potato: Zinc. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2011**, *88*, 238–244. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Nassar, A.M.K.; Sabally, K.; Kubow, S.; Leclerc, Y.N.; Donnelly, D.J. Some Canadian-Grown Potato Cultivars Contribute to a Substantial Content of Essential Dietary Minerals. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 4688–4696. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Chaudhary, B.; Sharma, A.K. Nutritional Composition of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Genetic Resources. *Curr. Sci.* **2023**, *124*, 1454. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Robinson, B.R.; Sathuvalli, V.; Bamberg, J.; Goyer, A. Exploring Folate Diversity in Wild and Primitive Potatoes for Modern Crop Improvement. *Genes* **2015**, *6*, 1300–1314. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Paget, M.; Amoros, W.; Salas, E.; Eyzaguirre, R.; Alspach, P.; Apiolaza, L.; Noble, A.; Bonierbale, M. Genetic Evaluation of Micronutrient Traits in Diploid Potato from a Base Population of Andean Landrace Cultivars. *Crop Sci.* **2014**, *54*, 1949–1959. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Liu, W.; Hou, S.; Zhang, Q.; Yu, S.; Zhao, L.; Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Liu, X. Food-Derived Bioactive Peptides for Anxiety and Sleep Management: Mechanistic Insights, Bidirectional Interactions, Structure–Activity Relationship, and Targeted Preparation. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2026**, *168*, 105468. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Hamouz, K.; Lachman, J.; Pazderů, K.; Tomášek, J.; Hejtmánková, K.; Pivec, V. Differences in Anthocyanin Content and Antioxidant Activity of Potato Tubers with Different Flesh Colour. *Plant Soil Environ.* **2011**, *57*, 478–485. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Zarzecka, K.; Ginter, A.; Gugala, M.; Durakiewicz, W. Nutritional Value of Coloured Flesh Potato Tubers in Terms of Their Micronutrient Content. *Agronomy* **2024**, *14*, 1537. [[CrossRef](#)]

68. Lachman, J.; Hamouz, K.; Šulc, M.; Orsák, M.; Pivec, V.; Hejtmánková, A.; Dvořák, P.; Čepl, J. Cultivar Differences of Total Anthocyanins and Anthocyanidins in Red and Purple-Fleshed Potatoes and Their Relation to Antioxidant Activity. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *114*, 836–843. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Whitworth, J.L.; Novy, R.G.; Stark, J.C.; Thornton, M.; Knowles, N.R.; Pavek, M.J.; Spear, R.R.; Brown, C.R.; Charlton, B.A.; Sathuvalli, V.; et al. Targee Russet: A High Yielding, Dual Purpose Potato Variety with High Protein and Vitamin C Content and Resistance to Tuber Soft Rot. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2016**, *93*, 189–201. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Brown, C.R.; Edwards, C.G.; Yang, C.-P.; Dean, B.B. Orange Flesh Trait in Potato: Inheritance and Carotenoid Content. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* **1993**, *118*, 145–150. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Liu, Q.; Guo, Q.; Akbar, S.; Zhi, Y.; El Tahchy, A.; Mitchell, M.; Li, Z.; Shrestha, P.; Vanhercke, T.; Ral, J.; et al. Genetic Enhancement of Oil Content in Potato Tuber (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) through an Integrated Metabolic Engineering Strategy. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2017**, *15*, 56–67. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Usher, S.; Haslam, R.P.; Ruiz-Lopez, N.; Sayanova, O.; Napier, J.A. Field Trial Evaluation of the Accumulation of Omega-3 Long Chain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Transgenic *Camelina Sativa*: Making Fish Oil Substitutes in Plants. *Metab. Eng. Commun.* **2015**, *2*, 93–98. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Han, L.; Usher, S.; Sandgrind, S.; Hassall, K.; Sayanova, O.; Michaelson, L.V.; Haslam, R.P.; Napier, J.A. High Level Accumulation of EPA and DHA in Field-grown Transgenic Camelina—A Multi-territory Evaluation of TAG Accumulation and Heterogeneity. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2020**, *18*, 2280–2291. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Napier, J.A.; Olsen, R.-E.; Tocher, D.R. Update on GM Canola Crops as Novel Sources of Omega-3 Fish Oils. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2019**, *17*, 703–705. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Mohiuddin, M.; Irshad, M.; Sher, S.; Hayat, F.; Ashraf, A.; Masood, S.; Bibi, S.; Ali, J.; Waseem, M. Relationship of Selected Soil Properties with the Micronutrients in Salt-Affected Soils. *Land* **2022**, *11*, 845. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Seleiman, M.F.; Al-Selwey, W.A.; Ibrahim, A.A.; Shady, M.; Alsadon, A.A. Foliar Applications of ZnO and SiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Mitigate Water Deficit and Enhance Potato Yield and Quality Traits. *Agronomy* **2023**, *13*, 466. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Sachdev, S.; Ansari, S.A.; Ansari, M.I.; Fujita, M.; Hasanuzzaman, M. Abiotic Stress and Reactive Oxygen Species: Generation, Signaling, and Defense Mechanisms. *Antioxidants* **2021**, *10*, 277. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Saleem, A.; Zulfiqar, A.; Zulfiqar, U.; Hussain, S. Iron Uptake Strategies in Rice: Exploring YSL Genes and Nutritional Enhancement. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2025**, *43*, 484–502. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Wadas, W.; Kondraciuk, T. Effect of Silicon on Micronutrient Content in New Potato Tubers. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 10578. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Wang, X.; Zhang, F. Effects of Climate Warming on the Dynamics of Mineral Elements Accumulation in *Solanum tuberosum* L. In *Proceedings of the E3S Web of Conferences*; EDP Sciences: Les Ulis, France, 2024; Volume 536, p. 03020. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Koheal, H.K.; Eldamarawy, Y.; El-Azab, M.; Essa, I.; Aboud, F.S. Enhancing Potato Productivity and Nutritional Status Under Drought Stress: The Role of Humic Acid in Climate-Resilient Agriculture. *Egypt. J. Agron.* **2025**, *47*, 225–234. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Trehan, S.P.; Sharma, R.C. Root–Shoot Ratio as Indicator of Zinc Uptake Efficiency of Different Potato Cultivars. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **2003**, *34*, 919–932. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Jamali, I.A.; Zia-ul-hassan. Zinc-Induced Plant Resilience Against Abiotic Stresses: Physiological, Biochemical and Molecular Insights—A Review. *Pak. J. Agric.* **2025**, *2*, 27–36. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Zha, M.; Li, X.; Li, R.; Huang, J.; Fan, J.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, C. Overexpression of Nicotianamine Synthase (AtNAS1) Increases Iron Accumulation in the Tuber of Potato. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 2741. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Xiao, H. *Exploring Candidate Genes and Rhizosphere Microbiome in Relation to Iron Cycling in Andean Potatoes*; Virginia Tech: Blacksburg, VA, USA, 2017.
86. Papierniak, A.; Kozak, K.; Kendziorek, M.; Barabas, A.; Palusińska, M.; Tiuryn, J.; Paterczyk, B.; Williams, L.E.; Antosiewicz, D.M. Contribution of NtZIP1-Like to the Regulation of Zn Homeostasis. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 185. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. White, P.J.; Broadley, M.R.; Hammond, J.P.; Ramsay, G.; Subramanian, N.K.; Thompson, J.; Wright, G. Bio-Fortification of Potato Tubers Using Foliar Zinc-Fertiliser. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *87*, 123–129. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Kromann, P.; Valverde, F.; Alvarado, S.; Vélez, R.; Pisuña, J.; Potosí, B.; Taípe, A.; Caballero, D.; Cabezas, A.; Devaux, A. Can Andean Potatoes Be Agronomically Biofortified with Iron and Zinc Fertilizers? *Plant Soil* **2017**, *411*, 121–138. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Kurt, F.; Kurt, B.; Filiz, E.; Yildiz, K.; Akbudak, M.A. Mitochondrial Iron Transporter (MIT) Gene in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*): Comparative Bioinformatics, Physiological and Expression Analyses in Response to Drought and Salinity. *Biometals* **2022**, *35*, 875–887. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Ma, X.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, S.; He, M.; Zhou, Y.; Ye, G.; Wang, J. Physiological, Biochemical, and Transcriptomic Responses to Iron Deficiency in Two Potato Varieties. *Plants* **2025**, *14*, 2934. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Fan, J.; Zhu, C.; Si, X.; Xu, W.; Yang, L.; Wang, K.; Zhang, N.; Si, H. *StZIP2* Promotes Root Growth by Improving the Transport Efficiency of Zinc in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Physiol. Plant.* **2025**, *177*, e70153. [[CrossRef](#)]

92. Xi, Y.; Wang, D.; Yang, L.; Gao, Q.; Zeng, X.; Lv, C.; Lin, Y.; Xiong, X.; Hu, X. Zinc-Mediated Alleviation of Cadmium Stress in Potato via Suppressing *StZIP5* Induced Cd Uptake and Enhancing *StPCR9* Induced Cd Efflux. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2025**, *306*, 119310. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Legay, S.; Guignard, C.; Ziebel, J.; Evers, D. Iron Uptake and Homeostasis Related Genes in Potato Cultivated in Vitro under Iron Deficiency and Overload. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2012**, *60*, 180–189. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Boamponsem, G.A.; Leung, D.W.; Lister, C. Insights into Resistance to Fe Deficiency Stress from a Comparative Study of in Vitro-Selected Novel Fe-Efficient and Fe-Inefficient Potato Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1581. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Addae Boamponsem, G. In Vitro Selection and Characterisation of Iron-Efficient Potato Cell Lines. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, 2016.
96. Boamponsem, G.A.; Leung, D.W. Use of Compact and Friable Callus Cultures to Study Adaptive Morphological and Biochemical Responses of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) to Iron Supply. *Sci. Hort.* **2017**, *219*, 161–172. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Cortelezzi, J.I.; Zubillaga, M.; Scardino, V.R.; Fumagalli, M.; Muñoz García, M.N.; Capiati, D.A. Iron and Zinc Biofortification in Potato through the Introduction of NICOTIANAMINE SYNTHASE and FERRITIN Genes. *Mol. Breed.* **2025**, *45*, 96. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
98. Robinson, B.R.; Garcia Salinas, C.; Ramos Parra, P.; Bamberg, J.; Diaz de la Garza, R.I.; Goyer, A. Expression Levels of the  $\gamma$ -Glutamyl Hydrolase I Gene Predict Vitamin B9 Content in Potato Tubers. *Agronomy* **2019**, *9*, 734. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. De Lepeleire, J.; Strobbe, S.; Verstraete, J.; Blancquaert, D.; Ambach, L.; Visser, R.G.; Stove, C.; Van Der Straeten, D. Folate Biofortification of Potato by Tuber-Specific Expression of Four Folate Biosynthesis Genes. *Mol. Plant* **2018**, *11*, 175–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Diretto, G.; Al-Babili, S.; Tavazza, R.; Papacchioli, V.; Beyer, P.; Giuliano, G. Metabolic Engineering of Potato Carotenoid Content through Tuber-Specific Overexpression of a Bacterial Mini-Pathway. *PLoS ONE* **2007**, *2*, e350. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.